

1 HARRIS LAW PRACTICE LLC
2 NORMA GUARIGLIA, ESQ. (NSBN 16244)
3 Email: norma@harrislawreno.com
4 STEPHEN R. HARRIS, ESQ. (NSBN 1463)
5 Email: steve@harrislawreno.com
6 850 E. Patriot Blvd., Suite F
7 Reno, NV 89511
8 Telephone: (775) 786-7600

6 McDONALD CARANO LLP
7 SALLIE B. ARMSTRONG, ESQ. (NSBN 1243)
8 100 W. Liberty Street, 10th Floor
9 Reno, NV 89501
10 Telephone: (775) 788-2000
11 Email: sarmstrong@mcdonaldcarano.com

10 *Counsel for Debtor*

12 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
13 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

14 * * * * *

15 IN RE:

Case No.: BK- 25-50820-hlb
(Chapter 11)

16 NAVELLIER & ASSOCIATES INC.,

**DEBTOR'S SECOND MOTION TO
EXTEND EXCLUSIVITY PERIODS
UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 1121(d)(1)**

17 Debtor.

Hearing Date: May 6, 2026
Hearing Time: 2:30 p.m.
Est. Time: 10 minutes
Set By: Calendar Clerk

22 NAVELLIER & ASSOCIATES INC., a Nevada corporation (“**Debtor**”), the Debtor and
23 Debtor-in-Possession in the above-captioned Chapter 11 case, through its undersigned counsel,
24 hereby moves this Court for entry of an order for a second extension of the exclusivity periods in
25 which only the Debtor may file and obtain confirmation of a plan of reorganization.

26 This Motion is based on the following points and authorities, the papers and pleadings
27 on file in this case of which the Court may take judicial notice under Fed. R. Evid. 201, and the
28 oral argument of counsel at the hearing.

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction to consider and adjudicate this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b), 1334(b), and 1334(e)(2), and LR 1001(b)(1). This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b). Venue of the Debtor's Chapter 11 case in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409 because the Debtor is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business in Washoe County, Nevada.

2. Debtor confirms its consent, pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7008 and Local Rule 9014.2, to entry of a final order by the Court on this Motion to the extent it is later determined the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments on the Motion consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

3. The statutory predicate for the relief sought herein is 11 U.S.C. § 1121 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3016.

BACKGROUND

4. On September 5, 2025, Debtor filed a Chapter 11 *Voluntary Petition for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy*, initiating Case No. 25-50820-hlb. See ECF No. 1.

5. No trustee has been appointed in this case and Debtor acts as Debtor-in-Possession. No official committees have been appointed in this case.

6. The Debtor is a registered investment advisor as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 80b-2(a)(11) and a money management firm. The Debtor continues to operate its business as a debtor-in-possession pursuant to Sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

7. On October 20, 2025, Debtor filed its Schedules D, E, and F listing over 6,000 contingent, unliquidated potential creditors who consist of former customers of the Debtor who invested in certain “Vireo AlphaSector” investment products from 2011 through 2013. Although the Debtor believes no investors suffered losses and in fact profited, these investments became the basis for a judgment in favor of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) against the Debtor. *See Schedule F* (ECF No. 35). Notably, in obtaining the judgment, the SEC never proved that the affected investors suffered any pecuniary damages from the relevant investments.

8. The claims bar date for non-governmental creditors in this case was January 5, 2026, and March 4, 2026, for governmental creditors. On November 7, 2025, Debtor's noticing agent mailed the *Notice of Continued 341 First Meeting of Creditors*, with the stated claims bar dates, to all known and potential creditors. *See Certificate of Service* by Omni Agent Solutions, Inc. filed as ECF No. 49.

9. The initial 120-day deadline of the exclusive time period under 11 U.S.C. § 1121 for Debtor to file a plan was January 5, 2026, the same date as the claims bar date for non-governmental creditors. Accordingly, upon motion by the Debtor, this Court entered an order extending the plan filing exclusivity period to April 6, 2026, with an extension to June 4, 2026, of the exclusivity period to gain acceptances of any plan. *See* ECF No. 93.

10. On December 5, 2025, the SEC filed a complaint ("**Complaint**"), initiating adversary case 25-05047-hlb, seeking a determination that the SEC judgment is excepted from discharge under 11 U.S.C. §§ 523(a)(2) and 1141(d)(6)(A).

11. On January 13, 2026, the SEC filed a motion to dismiss the Debtor's chapter 11 case, or in the alternative, to convert to chapter 7. *See* ECF No. 76 ("**Motion to Dismiss**"). The Motion to Dismiss was scheduled for hearing on March 18, 2026.

12. On March 17, 2026, the Debtor and SEC entered into a *Stipulation to Continue Hearing on Commission's Motion to Dismiss Chapter 11 Case, or in the Alternative, to Convert Case to Chapter 7* [ECF No. 102] ("**Stipulation**").

13. In the Stipulation, the parties agreed to continue the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss to May 6, 2026, at 2:30 p.m., so that the parties could continue discussions to attempt to settle and resolve their disputes in this bankruptcy case, including the Complaint and treatment and payment of the SEC's judgment. *See Stipulation* ¶¶ D, E, and 1. The parties also agreed that the Debtor would seek an additional 60-day extension of its plan exclusivity periods, and the SEC would not oppose the request. *See Stipulation* ¶ 2.

14. The Debtor has several other creditors besides the SEC, but only one former investor connected with the Vireo AlphaSector products has filed a proof of claim in this case. Additionally, the Supreme Court granted certiorari in *Ongkaruck Sripetch v. Securities and*

1 *Exchange Commission*, Case No. 25-466 (Jan. 9, 2026), to consider “[w]hether the SEC may seek
 2 equitable disgorgement under 15 U.S.C. 78u(d)(5) and (d)(7) without showing investors suffered
 3 pecuniary harm.” *See Sripetch v. SEC*, No. 25-466, 2026 U.S. LEXIS 5*; 2026 WL 73091 (Jan.
 4 9, 2026).

5 15. Debtor contends that it would have grounds for reversal of, or relief from, its SEC
 6 judgment if the Supreme Court finds in *Sripetch* that the SEC may not seek equitable
 7 disgorgement without showing that investors suffered pecuniary harm. The SEC disagrees, but
 8 this issue is one that the parties are also seeking to resolve in continuing discussions. Eight parties
 9 filed amici briefs supporting Sripetch’s position, including former attorneys of the SEC. *See*
 10 [https://www.supremecourt.gov/search.aspx?filename=/docket/docketfiles/html/public/25-](https://www.supremecourt.gov/search.aspx?filename=/docket/docketfiles/html/public/25-466.html)
 11 [466.html](https://www.supremecourt.gov/search.aspx?filename=/docket/docketfiles/html/public/25-466.html) The Supreme Court has scheduled oral arguments in *Sripetch* for April 20, 2026. *See*
 12 *id.*

13 16. The SEC’s judgment represents the largest claim against the Debtor, which has
 14 resulted in the pending contested matters mentioned above. The Debtor and SEC entered into the
 15 Stipulation because they recognize that it is worthwhile to continue discussions to ascertain
 16 whether some or all of these contested matters can be resolved consensually. The outcome of the
 17 parties’ discussions would guide the terms of the Debtor’s proposed plan.

18 17. Based on these developments, the Debtor requests that the Court extend the
 19 exclusive period during which only the Debtor may file a plan under 11 U.S.C. § 1121(d)(1) for
 20 an additional sixty days from April 6, 2026, with a similar extension of the 180-day period to
 21 obtain acceptances of the Plan. Under these facts, there is ample cause for such an extension, and
 22 Debtor believes an extension is in the best interest of its estate and creditors.

23 **LEGAL ARGUMENT**

24 Under section 1121(b) of the Code, only the debtor may file a plan until 120 days after
 25 the order for relief (“**Filing Exclusivity Period**”). If the debtor files a plan within the Filing
 26 Exclusivity Period, then under section 1121(c)(3), no other party in interest may file a plan until
 27 180 days after the order for relief under certain circumstances (“**Additional Exclusivity Period**”).

28 Congress recognized, however, that in many cases, 120 days will not be adequate for a

debtor to formulate and file a plan. Section 1121(d) of the Code grants this Court the authority to extend the Filing Exclusivity Period and the Additional Exclusivity Period (collectively, the “**Exclusive Periods**”) “for cause” after notice and a hearing. Although the term “cause” is not defined by the Bankruptcy Code, the legislative intent of section 1121(d) is to “promote maximum flexibility to suit various types of reorganization.” *In re Public Service Co. of New Hampshire*, 88 B.R. 521, 534 (Bankr. D.N.H. 1988).

To facilitate this legislative intent, cause has been found to extend the exclusive periods where the case is large and complex, the debtor has worked diligently to propose a plan, and the proposed extension is neither indefinite nor intended to force a creditor to accept an undesirable plan. *In re Dow Corning*, 208 B.R. 661, 664–65 (Bankr. E.D. Mich 1997). In *In re Henry Mayo Newhall Memorial Hosp.*, 282 B.R. 444, 452 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2002), the Ninth Circuit Bankruptcy Appellate Panel agreed “with the *Dow Corning* court that a transcendent consideration is whether adjustment of exclusivity will facilitate moving the case forward toward a fair and equitable resolution.” *Id.*

Here, the Debtor is seeking a second short extension of the Exclusive Periods because the extensions will help move the case toward a fair and equitable resolution of Debtor’s reorganization, and there is ample “cause.” As mentioned previously, the terms of any plan proposed by the Debtor are affected by whether or not the Debtor is able to resolve some or all of its disputes with the SEC. Moreover, the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is scheduled for May 6, 2026. The Debtor believes it is prudent and beneficial to wait for the outcome of the Motion to Dismiss before Debtor incurs legal fees in preparing and filing a plan and disclosure statement.

The extensions sought by the Debtor are neither indefinite nor being used to force any creditor to accept an undesirable plan. The extension is sought primarily to conserve legal resources and attempt to first resolve disputes with the Debtor’s largest creditor, the SEC. Given the foregoing, an extension of the Exclusive Periods makes legal and practical sense.

The Debtor’s creditors will not be prejudiced by extensions of the Exclusive Periods and the SEC has already agreed not to oppose the request. The Debtor has shown that ample cause exists under the standards described by the Ninth Circuit B.A.P., thus supporting the requested

1 extensions.

2 Finally, unless the Court orders otherwise, Debtor will provide notice of this Motion only
3 to the United States Trustee, the twenty highest unsecured creditors including the SEC, any
4 creditors who have filed claims or notices of appearance, and any parties who automatically
5 receive electronic notice via ECF. Debtor believes such notice is appropriate and sufficient under
6 the Bankruptcy Code and rules.

7 **CONCLUSION**

8 Based on the foregoing, the Debtor respectfully requests that the Court enter an order: (1)
9 extending the Filing Exclusivity Period for sixty days from the existing deadline of April 6, 2026,
10 through and including June 5, 2026; (2) extending the Additional Exclusivity Period with a like
11 extension of sixty days from June 4, 2026, through and including August 3, 2026; and (3) granting
12 the Debtor any other proper relief.

13 Dated April 6, 2026.

14 HARRIS LAW PRACTICE LLC

15 /s/ Norma Guariglia
16 NORMA GUARIGLIA, ESQ.

17 McDONALD CARANO LLP

18 /s/ Sallie B. Armstrong
19 SALLIE B. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.

20 *Counsel for Debtor*
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On April 6, 2026, the foregoing document was served via ECF automated system to all parties registered with ECF in this case on the date and time I filed the document with the Court's ECF system.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated April 6, 2026.

/s/ Norma Guariglia

Norma Guariglia