ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
51 West 52nd Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: 212 506-5000
Facsimile: 212 505-5151
Evan C. Hollander
Daniel A. Rubens
David Litterine-Kaufman
Michael Trentin

Counsel for the Petitioner
Raymond Chabot Inc., in its capacity as
Foreign Representative

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re	)	Chapter 15
Asbestos Corporation Limited, [1]	)	Case No. 25-10934 (mg)
Debtor in a Foreign Proceeding.	)	

FOREIGN REPRESENTATIVE'S SEVENTH STATUS REPORT

Raymond Chabot Inc. (the "**Monitor**"), the foreign representative (the "**Foreign Representative**" or "**Petitioner**") of the above-captioned debtor, Asbestos Corporation Limited (the "**Debtor**" or "**ACL**"), a debtor in a proceeding under Canada's Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended (the "**CCAA**"), pending before the Superior Court of Québec (the "**CCAA Proceeding**," and such court, the "**Canadian Court**"), by and through its undersigned counsel, submits this seventh status report with respect to the above-captioned case and the CCAA Proceeding pending in the Canadian Court.

[1] The Debtor in this chapter 15 case, along with its unique identifier, is Asbestos Corporation Limited (Canadian Federal Business Number: 104903273RC0001). The Debtor has a registered and business address in Canada of 840 Boul. Ouellet, Thetford Mines, QC G6G 7A5, Canada.

I. CCAA Proceeding

A. Filing of Monitor's Fifth Report

1. On May 4, 2026, the Monitor filed its *Fifth Report by Raymond Chabot Inc. in its Capacity as Monitor* with the Canadian Court (the "**Monitor's Fifth Report**"). A true and correct copy of the Monitor's Fifth Report is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.[2]

B. The Claims Bar Date Certificate and Related Notice Activities

2. As discussed in the Foreign Representative's Sixth Status Report [ECF No. 155], the Canadian Court entered the Asbestos Claims Order on January 6, 2026 (as amended on January 7, 2026), which, among other things, established procedures for asbestos claimants to receive notice and file proofs of claim in the CCAA Proceeding. As of the commencement of the CCAA Proceeding, there were 6,079 pending cases brought by asbestos claimants against ACL in the United States. *See Third Report by Raymond Chabot Inc. in its Capacity as Monitor*, dated September 2, 2025 [ECF No. 88-1] at Schedule C.

3. To help facilitate service of proofs of claim and other bar-date-related documents (the "**Bar Date Documents**"), the Asbestos Claims Order directed counsel for asbestos claimants to provide the Monitor with the mailing address of their clients. *See* Monitor's Fifth Report § 4.4. In early February 2026, the Monitor, through Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC ("**Kroll**"), sent notices to 88 law firms directing compliance, followed by a reminder notice in late March.

[2] Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the relevant documents that are referenced. In the event of any conflict between this Status Report and the documents referenced herein, the documents shall control.

Id. §§ 4.6 and 4.11. Although not all the 88 firms complied with this directive, the Monitor received mailing addresses for 108,758 purported asbestos claimants. *See id*. § 4.14.[3]

4. The Monitor served the service list with the Claims Bar Date Certificate on March 6, 2026. *See* Monitor's Fifth Report § 4.21.4. The Claims Bar Date Certificate established September 10, 2026, at 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) as the Claims Bar Date for both Existing Asbestos Claims and Non-Asbestos Claims. *See* Monitor's Fifth Report § 4.21.5.

5. On April 2 and 3, 2026, the Monitor, through Kroll, mailed the Bar Date Documents to the 108,758 asbestos-related claimants for whom mailing addresses had been obtained, and also sent Bar Date Documents to the law firms for the asbestos-related claimants (by mail and, where available, by email). Monitor's Fifth Report §§ 4.21.9-.10. The Monitor also mailed the Bar Date Documents to known non-asbestos-related claimants. *Id.* § 4.21.8.

6. On April 13, 2026, the Monitor, through Kroll, commenced the Canadian Court-approved Publication Notice Program contemplated by the Claims Bar Date Orders. *See* Monitor's Fifth Report § 4.21.11. The Monitor developed the Publication Notice Program in consultation with Kroll, which has extensive experience designing notice programs. *Id.* § 4.21.6. The program provides notice of the CCAA Proceeding, the Claims Bar Date, and information regarding the process for filing claims. *Id.*

7. The Publication Notice Program is implemented through Designated Newspapers, Designated Online Social Media and designated television networks (stations) in the U.S., and as of mid-May 2026, was in full swing. *See* Monitor's Fifth Report, Schedule E. In the United States

[3] Three of the firms that provided contact details of purported asbestos claimants (Wallace & Graham, Bevan & Associates, and Grunda Walsh LLP) were not among the 88 firms that had received the Monitor's directive to provide their client contact details, as these firms did not appear to have any pending cases against ACL. Yet these three firms provided contact details for 107,270 of the 108,758 alleged claimants. Monitor's Fifth Report § 4.14.

and its territories, a press release was distributed in English and Spanish on April 13, 2026, and print notices were published in the Wall Street Journal, Mealey's Asbestos Bankruptcy Report, Mealey's Litigation Report: Asbestos, the San Juan Daily Star, El Nuevo Dia, Primera Hora, the Virgin Island Daily News, Pacific Daily News (Guam), Marianas Variety and Samoa News on various dates between April 13 and April 29, 2026, with an additional publication scheduled for the May 2026 issue of the Prison Legal News. *Id.* Media campaigns, including television advertisements on national broadcasts of CNN and Fox News Network and social media advertisements (in English and Spanish) on Facebook, Instagram, and YouTube, have been running since April 13, 2026, and will continue through the Claims Bar Date of September 10, 2026. *Id*. Advertisements have also been running on the AARP website (AARP.org) since April 22, 2026, will continue through June 13, 2026, and appeared in the AARP e-newsletter on May 8, 2026. *Id.* As of May 22, 2026, over 44,000 users have visited the plain language landing page. www.aclclaims.com.

8. As of June 8, 2026, the Monitor has received 208 proofs of claim, of which 59 were submitted through the online claims submission platform, 144 were received by mail and 1 was received by email. As of the same date, the Monitor has received only one (1) proof of claim from a claimant who declared being assisted by an attorney in the submission of their proof of claim. Since the issuance by the Monitor of the Claims Bar Date Certificate on March 6, 2026 (establishing the Claims Bar Date of September 10, 2026), the call center has received 2,003 calls in connection with the Claims Process, and the asbestoscorp@rcgt.com mailbox has received 291 emails from claimants and potential claimants seeking information or assistance regarding the filing of Proofs of Claim and related process questions.

C. The Monitor's Disclosure of ACL's Historical Sales Information And The Canadian Opposing Parties'[4] Objection to the Proposed Restricted Use Undertaking

9. On January 8, 2026, the Monitor's Canadian counsel transmitted summaries of ACL's historical U.S. and Canadian sales (the "**Historical Sales Summaries**") to counsel for the Opposing Parties. *See* Monitor's Fifth Report § 4.23. On January 9, 2026, the Historical Sales Summaries were posted on the Monitor's website for access by potential claimants. *Id.* § 4.24.

10. Additional historical sales information has been digitized and made available in a secure virtual data room, accessible to any law firm or claimant that executes a Confidentiality and Restricted Use of Protected Information Undertaking (the "**Restricted Use Undertaking**"). Monitor's Fifth Report §§ 4.26-4.34. A draft of the Restricted Use Undertaking was shared with counsel for the Opposing Parties on January 8, 2026. *See id*. § 4.30.

11. Counsel for the Canadian Opposing Parties (Davies) disputed the need for a Restricted Use Undertaking as a condition to access, challenging the confidential nature of the additional sales information (the "**Restricted Use Undertaking Dispute**"). Monitor's Fifth Report § 4.31. The parties were unable to resolve this dispute, which the Canadian Court agreed to take up at the hearing scheduled on the Claims Adjudication Order Application (defined and described below), beginning on May 11, 2026. Prior to that hearing, on March 27, 2026, the Monitor offered to provide the Opposing Parties with access to the additional sales information

[4] The term "**Canadian Opposing Parties**" refers to the group represented by Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg LLP ("**Davies**") in the CCAA Proceeding, which, at the time of the May 2026 hearings (discussed below), consisted of Charles Forman in his capacity as the chapter 7 trustee of National Service Industries, Inc. (the "**NSI Trustee**"), and three U.S. law firms, which in turn represent 248 individuals asserting personal injury claims in the United States against ACL. The term "**U.S. Opposing Parties**" refers to the NSI Trustee and approximately 85 individuals who have asserted asbestos-related claims against ACL in the U.S. and who are represented by Cooley LLP, Wyche, P.A. and Dean Omar Branham Shirley, LLP (together with the Canadian Opposing Parties, the "**Opposing Parties**"). On May 28, 2026, the law firm of Bennett Jones LLP filed a Notice of Substitution in the CCAA Proceeding advising that it had been substituted as counsel for Davies.

upon execution of the Restricted Use Undertaking and to relieve them of the undertaking if they prevail in the Restricted Use Undertaking Dispute. *Id.* § 4.37. None of the Opposing Parties has chosen to execute a Restricted Use Undertaking on these terms; Davies instead chose to press its objections before the Canadian Court.

D. The Co-Applicants' Proposed Claims Review and Adjudication Procedures and the Canadian Opposing Parties' Objection

12. On May 4, 2026, the Debtor and Certain London Market Insurers ("**CLMI**" and, together with the Debtor, the "**Co-Applicants**") filed the Claims Adjudication Order Application, with the Monitor's support. Monitor's Fifth Report § 9. The Claims Adjudication Order Application sought to establish a multi-stage claims review process. *Id.* § 9.3. In the first step in the process, the Co-Applicants proposed that the Monitor conduct an administrative review to determine whether a given claim complies with the procedural requirements set out in the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order and is complete. *Id.* § 9.4. Where the procedural requirements were met, claims would be subjected to a substantive review, and, where liability was confirmed, a determination of the allowed amount of the claim would be made. *See id*. §§ 9.3–9.7.

13. As proposed on March 27, 2026, the Co-Applicants provided a copy of the Claims Adjudication Order Application to counsel to the Canadian Opposing Parties on April 21, 2026, two weeks prior to filing the application with the Canadian Court on May 4, 2026. In support of the Claims Adjudication Order Application, the Co-Applicants relied on the Report of Stephanie Plancich (the "**Plancich Report**"). *See* Monitor's Fifth Report, Schedule M.

14. On May 8, 2026, the Canadian Opposing Parties filed an objection to the Claims Adjudication Order Application along with an expert report prepared by a former U.S. bankruptcy

judge, Melanie L. Cyganowski, which compared the proposed claims adjudication process with features of trust distribution procedures ("**TDPs**") adopted in certain U.S. asbestos bankruptcies.

15. Other aspects of the Claims Adjudication Order Application were uncontested, including (i) approving claims review and adjudication procedures with respect to non-asbestos claims and (ii) extending the stay period to September 25, 2026. The Canadian Court issued orders granting those aspects of the application on May 12, 2026, attached hereto as **Exhibits B** and **C**, respectively.

E. The Canadian Court's Hearings on the Claims Adjudication Order Application and the Restricted Use Undertaking Dispute

16. The Canadian Court conducted hearings on the Confidentiality Undertaking Dispute and the Claims Adjudication Order Application on May 11, 12, 15, and 22, 2026.[5] At those hearings, the court heard testimony from the Monitor (through Jean Gagnon), Dr. Plancich, and Judge Cyganowski (Ret.), as well as oral argument from counsel. Translated copies of the hearing minutes are attached hereto as **Exhibits D, E, F,** and **G**, in chronological order.

17. At the conclusion of the hearing on May 15, 2026, the Canadian Court shared its initial impressions regarding the provisions of the Claims Adjudication Order Application and encouraged the parties to meet to attempt to narrow the points of contention prior to reconvening for the fourth and final hearing session on May 22, 2026. Although the Co-Applicants proposed several accommodations to the Claims Adjudication Order, the parties were unable to agree on a form of order in advance of the final hearing session on May 22. As a result, the Canadian Court

[5] During the course of the hearings, several U.S. law firms purportedly representing claimants with claims pending against ACL in the United States submitted letters to the Monitor and the Canadian Court in support of the objections being pursued by Davies on behalf of the Canadian Opposing Parties.

took the remaining issues under advisement and informed the parties that it would issue a ruling promptly.

18. Similarly, the Canadian Court encouraged the parties to narrow the areas of disagreement with respect to the Confidentiality Undertaking Dispute. Although the Monitor proposed certain revisions to the draft Confidentiality Undertaking to accommodate concerns raised by the Canadian Opposing Parties and the Canadian Court, the parties were unable to reach agreement, and the Canadian Court took the Confidentiality Undertaking Dispute under advisement.

II. Chapter 15 Appeal of Recognition Opinion and Order

19. As discussed in the Sixth Status Report, on November 12, 2025, the U.S. Opposing Parties filed a Notice of Appeal [ECF No. 144] seeking review of this Court's Recognition Opinion [ECF No. 141] and Recognition Order [ECF No. 142]. The appeal was assigned to the Honorable Jed S. Rakoff of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York.

20. On January 28, 2026, the District Court approved a stipulated briefing schedule for the appeal. On April 10, 2026, the U.S. Opposing Parties filed their opening brief in support of the appeal. The Foreign Representative, CLMI, and General Dynamics filed responsive briefs on May 26, 2026. The U.S. Opposing Parties' reply brief is to be filed by June 25, 2026. The District Court has scheduled oral argument for August 12, 2026.

[*remainder of page intentionally left blank*]

Dated: New York, New York
June 9, 2026

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

By: */s/ Evan C. Hollander*
Evan C. Hollander

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
51 West 52nd Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 506-5000
Facsimile: (212) 506-5151
Daniel A. Rubens
David Litterine-Kaufman
Michael Trentin

Counsel for the Petitioner
Raymond Chabot Inc. in its capacity as
Foreign Representative

EXHIBIT A

Monitor's Fifth Report

C A N A D A
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT OF FRONTENAC
No. COURT: 235-11-000008-259

S U P E R I O R C O U R T
"*Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (RSC 1985, c. C-36), as amended"

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT AND COMPROMISE OF

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED

Debtor and Co-Applicant

- and -

CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON

- and -

TENECOM LIMITED (as successor to Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company, formerly known as Accident & Casualty Insurance Company of Winterthur, Switzerland)

- and -

THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED (as successor to liabilities of Commercial Union Assurance Company Limited, The Edinburgh Assurance Company, The Indemnity Marine Assurance Company Limited, The Northern Assurance Company Limited, The Road Transport & General Insurance Company Limited, United Scottish Insurance Company Limited, and The Victoria Insurance Company Limited)

- and -

NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED (as successor to liabilities of New London Reinsurance Company Limited)

- **and**-

THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

Co-Applicants

- and -

RAYMOND CHABOT INC.

Monitor

FIFTH REPORT BY RAYMOND CHABOT INC.
IN ITS CAPACITY AS MONITOR

TO THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE JEAN-FRANÇOIS ÉMOND OF THE SUPERIOR COURT SITTING IN THE COMMERCIAL DIVISION IN AND FOR THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF FRONTENAC:

In connection with the hearing on an Application for the issuance of (i) a Claims Review and Adjudication Order for Asbestos Claims, (ii) a Claims Review and Adjudication Order for Non-Asbestos Claims, and (iii) an Order Extending the Stay Period, we respectfully submit to you the fourth report of the Monitor.

Signed in Montréal, on May 4, 2026.

RAYMOND CHABOT INC.
Monitor

Jean Cagnon, CPA, CIRP, LIT

RAYMOND CHABOT INC.
Monitor

Ayman Chaaban, CPA, CIRP, LIT

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This report of the Monitor (the "**Fifth Report**") should be read in conjunction with the Monitor's fourth report dated December 5, 2025 (the "**Fourth Report**"), the Monitor's third report dated September 2, 2025 (the "**Third Report**"), the Monitor's supplemental report dated June 16, 2025 (the "**Supplemental Report**"), the Monitor's report dated June 13, 2025 (the "**Second Report**"), the Monitor's report dated May 14, 2025 (the "**First Report**"), and the report prepared by Raymond Chabot Inc. ("**RCI**"), then acting as Proposed Monitor, dated May 5, 2025 (the "**Pre-Filing Report**"), all in connection with the ongoing proceedings under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (the "**CCAA Proceedings**").

1.2 More specifically, the Fifth Report is submitted to the Court in connection with the hearing on:

- The request by the Co-Applicants to extend the Stay Period until **September 25, 2026** (the "**Stay Extension Date**") and approve the Second Amending Agreement Interim Financing Loan Agreement;
- The request by the Co-Applicants for the issuance of a Claims Review and Adjudication Order for the Existing Asbestos-Related Claims and for the issuance of a Claims Review and Adjudication Order for the Non-Asbestos-Related Claims (collectively, the "**Claims Review and Adjudication Orders**"); and
- The application by the CCAA Opposition Parties titled "Application of the Asbestos Claimants for an Order Compelling the Monitor to Comply with its Judicial Undertaking" pertaining to the access to the historical sales information of ACL and the terms and conditions for such access (the "**ACL Sales Information Access Issues**").

1.3 Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Fifth Report have the meaning ascribed to them in the Pre-Filing Report, the First Report, the Second Report, the Third Report and the Fourth Report.

1.4 This report is divided into the following sections:

- **Section 1**: Introduction;
- **Section 2**: The CCAA Proceedings;
- **Section 3**: The Chapter 15 Proceedings;
- **Section 4**: Actions taken by the Monitor since the issuance of the Fourth Report;
- **Section 5:** Claims Process Status;
- **Section 6:** Historical Settlement Analysis and Claims Review Process;
- **Section 7**: Changes in Cash Position;
- **Section 8**: Projected Cash Flow Statement;
- **Section 9:** Proposed Claims Review and Adjudication Process;
- **Section 10**: Proposed Restructuring process;
- **Section 11**: Conclusion and recommendation.

2. THE CCAA PROCEEDINGS

2.1 On May 6, 2025, further to an application filed under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act ("**CCAA**") before the Superior Court of Québec, Commercial Division (the "**Court**"), seeking a first-day initial order ("**First Day Order**") and an amended and restated initial order ("**Initial Order**") granting, inter alia, a stay of proceedings in favour of Asbestos Corporation Limited (the "**Debtor**" or "**ACL**") and several other parties, appointing RCI as Monitor of the Debtor's CCAA Proceedings and authorizing RCI to act as the foreign representative of ACL (in such capacity, the "**Foreign Representative**") in proceedings as may be initiated in other jurisdictions, including in the United States, the Court, issued the First Day Order and ordered, among other things, that the comeback hearing take place on May 15, 2025.

2.2 On May 13, 2025, Charles Forman, as trustee for Delaware Bankruptcy Estate of National Service Industries, Inc. ("**NSI**") and certain U.S. law firms (collectively with NSI, the "**CCAA Opposition Parties**") submitted to the Court a *Written Grounds of Contestation* (the "**Contestation**") contesting, among other things, the stay of proceedings in favour of third parties, the Centre of Main Interest ("**COMI**") of ACL, the assets (i.e., insurance proceeds) covered by the Administration Charge and the Interim Lenders Charge.

2.3 During the comeback hearing, on May 15, 2025:

2.3.1 The Court granted the Amended and Restated Initial Order (as modified and extended from time to time, the "**ARIO**"), determining that ACL's COMI was in Québec, Canada, and granted the Administration Charge and the Interim Lenders Charge. The Initial Order also extended the third-party stay of proceedings in favour of the Debtor, CLMI, other insurers, Resolute, General Dynamics and their legal advisors on a provisional basis pending a hearing (the "**Contestation Hearing**") to be held on June 17 and 18, 2025, to consider that issue.

2.3.2 The Court also ruled on the objections submitted by the Co-Applicants with respect to the discovery requests propounded by the CCAA Opposition Parties, after which the Co-Applicants responded to the discovery requests, on May 23, 2025 and on June 6, 2025.

2.4 On June 17 and 18, 2025, the Contestation Hearing was held before the Court.

2.5 On June 18, 2025, the Court issued an Order for the Appointment of a Chief Restructuring Officer, appointing LTL Advisory LLC (Mr. Leslie T. Lederer) as Chief Restructuring Officer ("**CRO**") of the Debtor pursuant to the CRO Engagement Letter.

2.6 On the same day, the Court requested that the Monitor inform each known Asbestos Claimant of the Court's statements regarding a centralized resolution process (the "**June 2025 Communication Order**"), *i.e.*:

> *Bearing in mind that the present hearing is being transcribed from day to day, the Court **REQUESTS** that everything said in connection with the claims procedure to be put in place and the plan of arrangement to be eventually proposed, which will ultimately be subject to the approval of creditors in sufficient number and value, be communicated to each of the 1,600 objectors identified in the representation statements filed with the Court. The Court makes this order because it considers it*

important that each of the person not present at the hearing be duly informed of the issues revealed by the evidence.

The same order applies to identify and identifiable claimants.

[Unofficial translation]

2.7 On June 27, 2025, Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg LLP (“**Davies**”) filed an Amended Representation Statement on behalf of the CCAA Opposition Parties in response to the irregularities raised during the Contestation Hearing.

2.8 On July 11, 2025, at the request of the Court (the Honorable Justice Émond), a virtual hearing was held via Microsoft Teams during which the Monitor testified and responded to certain of the Court’s inquiries.

2.9 On July 30, 2025, the Court rendered a judgment dismissing the Contestation and upholding the ARIO (the “**Contestation Judgment**”).

2.10 On August 20, 2025, the CCAA Opposition Parties sought leave to appeal in connection with the Contestation Judgment (the “**Leave to Appeal Application**”).

2.11 On September 4, 2025, following a hearing before the Court on the Co-Applicants’ requests to extend the Stay Period and the approval of a settlement agreement, the Court rendered a second ARIO extending the Stay Period until December 15, 2025 and an order authorizing transactions contemplated in a settlement agreement entered into in November 2024 with the City of Thetford Mines, Raymond Chabot Inc. in its capacity as trustee in bankruptcy of 9075-6453 Québec Inc. and Mazarin Inc. providing namely for exchanges of land properties.

2.12 On September 25, 2025, the Québec Court of Appeal heard the Leave to Appeal Application, which was limited to the portion of the ARIO that extended the stay of proceedings to certain insurers, namely CLMI and their third-party claims administrator Resolute. The Leave to Appeal Application was not challenging the extension of the stay of proceedings granted in the ARIO to the Debtor, General Dynamics Corporation, or their current, former, or future directors and officers, nor the other insurers.

2.13 On October 3, 2025, the Honorable Suzanne Gagné of the Court of Appeal issued an order dismissing the Leave to Appeal Application.

2.14 On December 11, 2025, the Court heard application seeking three orders under the CCAA: (i) an order establishing a bar date for existing asbestos claims, (ii) an order establishing a bar date for non-asbestos claims, and (iii) an order extending the Stay Period and increasing the Interim Facility and the Interim Lender’s Charge provided by the ARIO.

2.15 On December 12, 2025, the Court issued the order (i) establishing a bar date for Non-Asbestos Claims, (ii) approving the Non-Asbestos Claim Proof of Claim form, and (iii) approving notice to claimants (the “**Non-Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order**”).

2.16 On December 12, 2025, the Court also issued an order amending the ARIO by (a) extending the Stay Period until April 30, 2026 and (b) approving an increase of the Interim Facility from US$20,000,000 to US$45,000,000 and an increase of the Interim

Lender's Charge from US$23,000,000 to US$54,000,000.

2.17 On January 6, 2026 (as rectified on January 7, 2026), the Court issued the order (i) establishing a bar date for Existing Asbestos Claims, (ii) approving the Proof of Claim form, and (iii) approving notice to claimants (the "**Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order**").

2.18 On March 17, 2026, Davies filed its application relating to the ACL Sales Information Access Issues. Further details regarding these issues are set out in Sections 4.22 to 4.29 below under the heading "ACL Sales Information Issues – Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking".

2.19 On April 21, 2026, the Court issued an order extending the Stay Period to May 15, 2026.

2.20 On May 4, 2026, the Co-Applicants filed an application seeking an extension of the Stay Period until September 25, 2026 and the issuance of the Claims Review and Adjudication Orders.

3. THE CHAPTER 15 PROCEEDINGS

3.1 As noted in the previous Monitor reports, on May 6, 2025, the Monitor, in its capacity as the proposed Foreign Representative of ACL, commenced a proceeding pursuant to Chapter 15 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code seeking entry of an order granting, inter alia, recognition of the CCAA Proceedings as a foreign proceeding (as defined in the U.S. Bankruptcy Code (the "**Chapter 15 Proceeding**"). The Monitor filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "**U.S. Bankruptcy Court**") (i) a Chapter 15 Petition for Recognition of Foreign Proceeding, (ii) a Verified Petition and Motion of the Foreign Representative for (A) Recognition of the CCAA Proceeding as a Foreign Main Proceeding or, in the Alternative, as a Foreign Nonmain Proceeding, and (B) Certain Related Relief (the "**Verified Petition and Motion for Recognition**" and the hearing related thereto, the "**Recognition Hearing**"), (iii) a Motion for (I) Ex Parte Emergency Relief and (II) Provisional Relief (the "**Stay Motion**"), (iv) an Application of Foreign Representative for Order (I) Scheduling Hearing on Verified Petition of Asbestos Corporation Limited and Motion for Recognition and (II) Specifying Form and Manner of Service and Notice (the "**Scheduling Application**"), and (v) declarations of Ayman Chaaban and Alain Tardif in support of the Verified Petition and Motion for Recognition.

3.2 Simultaneously with the commencement of the Chapter 15 Proceedings, the Foreign Representative obtained on an *ex parte* basis a temporary restraining order staying all persons and entities from taking any and all actions with respect to the Stay Parties (as defined in the Stay Motion) and/or their U.S. Interests (as defined in the Stay Motion) (the "**TRO Relief**"), and scheduling a hearing on notice to parties in interest to extend TRO Relief on a provisional basis pending a ruling on the Verified Petition and Motion for Recognition.

3.3 On May 16, 2025, the Monitor, in its capacity as the proposed Foreign Representative in the Chapter 15 Proceeding, filed its First Status Report with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court advising that court of, among other things, (a) the "comeback hearing" with respect to the initial CCAA application, (b) the First Monitor's Report, (c) the entry of the Amended Initial Order, and (d) the scheduling of the Contestation Hearing before this Court.

3.4 On August 11, 2025, the Monitor, in its capacity as the proposed Foreign Representative, filed the Second Status Report with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court advising that court of (i) the issuance by this Court of its July 30, 2025 Contestation Judgment, (ii) the filing of the Second Monitor's Report (and the Supplement thereto) with this Court, (iii) the initial draw under the Interim Financing Facility, and (iv) the appointment by this Court of the CRO.

3.5 On August 19, 2025, the Monitor, in its capacity as the proposed Foreign Representative, filed a Supplemental Declaration of Ayman Chaaban in support of the Verified Petition and Motion for Recognition addressing, among other things, ACL's historical management of its asbestos claims and the progress made toward the establishment of a claims resolution process in the CCAA proceeding.

3.6 On August 29, 2025, the Monitor, in its capacity as the proposed Foreign Representative, filed the Third Status Report with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court advising that court of, among other things, (i) the Leave to Appeal Motion, (ii) the Co-Applicants' request for the issuance of a second ARIO, and the incorporation of a schedule identifying certain additional insurers covered by the ARIO.

3.7 On September 2, 2025, the NSI Trustee and certain asbestos claimants (collectively with NSI, the "**Chapter 15 Opposition**") filed their objection to the Verified Petition and Motion for Recognition with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court (the "**Chapter 15 Opposition's Recognition Objection**").

3.8 On September 9, 2025, the Monitor, in its capacity as the proposed Foreign Representative, filed (i) its response to the Recognition Objection, (ii) a memorandum in further support of the Verified Petition and Motion for Recognition, and (iii) a reply declaration of Alain Tardif, Canadian counsel to the Monitor. These submissions addressed and rebutted the objections raised by the Chapter 15 Opposition in its Recognition Objection, addressing certain mischaracterizations of the CCAA process and emphasizing that ACL's centre of main interests is in Canada and that the CCAA Proceedings satisfy standards of fairness and due process. General Dynamics Corporation, CLMI, and various other parties filed statements in support of the Verified Petition and Motion for Recognition.

3.9 Also on September 9, 2025, the Monitor, in its capacity as the proposed Foreign Representative, filed its Fourth Status Report with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court advising that court of, among other things, (i) the progress of the CCAA Proceedings and the development of the claims procedure, and (ii) the approval of the Second ARIO extending the provisions of the ARIO to December 15, 2025.

3.10 On October 8 and 9, 2025, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court held the Recognition Hearing.

3.11 On October 30, 2025, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court issued a Memorandum Opinion and an Order ("**Recognition Order**"), granting recognition of the CCAA proceedings as foreign main proceedings and overruling the Chapter 15 Oppositions' Recognition Objection in its entirety.

3.12 The Recognition Order extends the scope of the relief in the Chapter 15 Proceedings to be coextensive with the relief granted in the CCAA Proceedings and establishes the operative framework for the cross-border administration of the two proceedings.

3.13 On November 12, 2025, the Chapter 15 Opposition filed a notice of appeal of the Memorandum Opinion and the Recognition Order (the "**Recognition Appeal**"). The appeal has been assigned to Judge Jed S. Rakoff of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "**U.S. District Court**,") and has been docketed as Case No. 25-cv-09889.

3.14 On November 13, 2025, the Chapter 15 Opposition filed a motion to amend or alter the bankruptcy court's judgment granting recognition (the "**Motion to Alter or Amend the Judgment**"), requesting that the U.S. Bankruptcy Court rule on the admissibility of certain deposition and hearing transcripts that the Chapter 15 Opposition had designated and submitted to the court. Said motion did not seek to relitigate issues decided in the Recognition Order, but it had the effect of suspending the effectiveness of the notice of appeal until the motion was resolved.

3.15 On December 1st, 2025, the Monitor's U.S. counsel, in its capacity as Foreign Representative in the Chapter 15 Proceedings, filed a response objection to the Motion to Alter or Amend the Judgment.

3.16 On January 16, 2026, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court denied the Motion to Alter or Amend the Judgment, concluding that the deposition and hearing transcripts in question had no bearing on the U.S. Bankruptcy Court's decision to grant recognition and additional relief.

3.17 On January 12, 2026, the Foreign Representative filed its Sixth Status Report with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, advising that the Canadian Court approved the bar date framework (including the Non-Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order dated December 12, 2025, and the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order issued on January 6, 2026, as rectified on January 7, 2026), and that the Canadian Court also extended the stay through April 30, 2026 and approved an increase of the interim financing from US$20 million to US$45 million.

3.18 On January 28, 2026, the U.S. District Court approved a stipulated briefing schedule for the Recognition Appeal.

3.19 On April 10, 2026, the Chapter 15 Opposition filed their opening brief in support of the Recognition appeal. Under the stipulated briefing schedule, any brief or briefs of the appellees must be filed by May 26, 2026, and the Chapter 15 Opposition's reply brief must be filed by June 25, 2026.

3.20 On April 25, 2026, the Foreign Representative and CLMI filed a joint motion for an order restating and enforcing the stay provisions of the Recognition Order (the "**Stay Enforcement Motion**").

3.20.1 As explained in the Stay Enforcement Motion, that relief was made necessary by recent activity in two individual asbestos wrongful death lawsuits (the "***Berley* and *Jenkins* Proceedings**") pending in the South Carolina Court of Common Pleas in the Fifth Judicial Circuit (the "**South Carolina Court**").

3.20.2 Specifically, actions taken by the South Carolina Court, and by plaintiffs' counsel at the direction of the South Carolina Court, in the *Berley* and *Jenkins* Proceedings resulted in the continuation of the cases notwithstanding the bankruptcy stay, and implicated insurance assets subject to the Recognition Order.

3.20.3 At the April 17, 2026 hearing, the South Carolina Court stated its view that: (1) the stay of proceedings granted under the Recognition Order does not apply to the *Berley* and *Jenkins* Proceedings; (2) proceeds of ACL's insurance policies are not assets of ACL; and (3) those proceeds are not within the jurisdiction of the Canadian Court or the U.S. Bankruptcy Court.

3.21 On April 27, 2026, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court held a hearing on the Stay Enforcement Motion.

3.22 On April 29, 2026, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court entered an order restating and enforcing the bankruptcy stay (the "**Stay Enforcement Order**"). The Stay Enforcement Order, *inter alia*, confirmed that the bankruptcy stay bars the continuation of any proceedings against ACL or any of the Stay Parties (as defined in the Recognition Order); that any further activity in the *Berley* and *Jenkins* Proceedings violates the bankruptcy stay, provided that the U.S. Bankruptcy Court made no ruling on certain *Berley* settlement funds presently held in trust by plaintiff's counsel in *Berley*; and that ACL's insurance assets, to the extent located within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States, are subject to the jurisdiction of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, and the exclusive control and administration of the Foreign Representative, on behalf of ACL.

3.23 On April 30, 2026, the South Carolina Court issued orders scheduling hearings in the Berley and Jenkins Proceedings for May 12, 2026. The orders state that the South Carolina Court expects attorneys involved in the Berley and Jenkins Proceedings, including attorneys for CLMI and Resolute to be present and deliver their views on the effect of the Stay Enforcement Order on continuing the Berley and Jenkins Proceedings. The orders also require an employee of Resolute to be present at the hearing.

4. ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE MONITOR SINCE THE ISSUANCE OF THE FOURTH REPORT

4.1 Since the issuance of its Fourth Report, the Monitor has taken the following actions.

Communication with Existing Asbestos Known Claimants and their counsel

4.2 As further detailed in the Third Report, pursuant to the June 2025 Communication Order, on June 30, 2025, the Monitor had sent a notice to 85 law firms representing asbestos claimants, requesting the current physical and email contact information for their individual clients who have asserted, or may assert, claims for damages arising from alleged exposure to ACL asbestos (the **"June 2025 Notice to Counsel"**). Only limited claimant contact information was obtained following this initial outreach.

4.3 On or about July 10, 2025, the Monitor received a collective response from 19 U.S. law firms, some forming part of the CCAA Opposition Parties and some not, in response to the June 2025 Notice to Counsel (the "**July 2025 Collective Letter**"). The firms objected to any direct communication with their individual clients.

4.4 Pursuant to paragraph [28] of the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, the Court ordered that counsel for any Known Claimant must, upon the Monitor's written request, provide the Monitor with the Known Claimant's mailing address no later than twenty-one (21) days after the later of (a) the date of the request and (b) the date of the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate (the "**January 2026 Communication Order**"). If counsel fails to do so, counsel may be held in contempt of Court and, together with any

Claimants it purportedly represents, may be precluded from making any further submissions or pleadings in these CCAA proceedings absent further order of the Court.

4.5 Details regarding the communications made and received by the Monitor since the January 2026 Communication Order are attached as **Schedule A** to this Report, and are described hereunder.

4.6 On or about February 4, 2026, further to the January 2026 Communication Order, the Monitor, through its notice and media agent in the US, Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC ("**Kroll**"), an established servicing party in US insolvency proceedings, sent 116 notices by messenger to counsel of 88 different law firms representing Existing Asbestos Known Claimants. The notices requested that such counsel provide to the Monitor the mailing address of each Known Claimant they represent in accordance with the January 2026 Communication Order (the **"First Notice to Known Claimants Counsel"**). Most of the notices were sent to the attention of the attorney in charge of the matter according to the information available to the Monitor; for those for which this information could not be obtained, it was sent to the law firm without a specific attorney's name.

4.7 This notice expressly advised that, in accordance with the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, failure to comply by the (then contemplated) deadline of March 27, 2026 could result in a finding of contempt of court and related sanctions, including, without limitation, the loss of the right to make any claims, representations, or pleadings on behalf of their clients in the CCAA Proceedings. A copy of the template of the First Notice to Known Claimants Counsel is attached as **Schedule B** to this report.

4.8 The Monitor received confirmation of delivery for 107 of the 116 letters that were sent to 80 different law firms by messenger through Kroll.

4.9 On February 6, 2026, in parallel to the 116 notices that were sent by messenger by Kroll, the Monitor also transmitted 73 of this same First Notice to Known Claimants Counsel by email, to the counsel of 54 different law firms for which email contact information was available, in order to supplement the messenger service and facilitate timely receipt and follow-up communications.

4.10 On February 18, 2026, following receipt of additional specific names of attorneys representing Known Claimants within certain law firms to whom a general notice had been addressed on February 4, 2026, the Monitor, via Kroll, sent seven (7) updated notices to the specific attention of said identified attorneys. The Monitor received confirmation of delivery for all of those letters that were served by messenger through Kroll.

4.11 On March 24, 2026, as the March 27, 2026 deadline was approaching, the Monitor issued a Second Notice to Counsel who had not yet complied with the First Notice request (the **"Second Notice to Known Claimants Counsel"**) to remind them of their obligation to provide the Monitor, in writing, with the mailing addresses of each Known Claimant they represent, and of the consequences of failing to do so.

4.12 The Second Notice to Known Claimants Counsel confirmed that the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate was issued on March 6, 2026, such that the requested mailing addresses had to be provided to the Monitor no later than March 27, 2026, and reiterated

the importance of timely compliance by expressly reminding counsel of the consequences for not doing so, all as provided by the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order. A copy of the template of the Second Notice to Known Claimants Counsel is attached as **Schedule C** to this report.

4.13 The Monitor transmitted this Second Notice to 103 U.S. counsel from 77 different law firms in total, namely to 65 attorneys (46 law firms) by email on March 24, 2026, and 38 by messenger (35 law firms) via Kroll on March 26, 2026, to recipients for whom no email address was available.

4.14 As of March 27, 2026, the Monitor had received mailing addresses for 108,758 Known Claimants from 26 different law firms. This outcome was largely driven by three law firms, which collectively provided contact information for 107,270 of those individuals, namely Wallace & Graham, Bevan & Associates, and Grunda Walsh LLP. These three law firms do not form part of the CCAA Opposition Parties (while two of them were a signatory of the July 2025 Collective Letter) and, based on ACL's records available to the Monitor, they did not appear to have any pending cases against ACL.

4.15 For information purposes, Davies, according to its Amended Representation Statement (*Acte de représentation amendé*) dated June 27, 2025, indicates that it acts on behalf of 1,579 individuals represented by seven (7) U.S. law firms. However, the Monitor received contact information for only 248 of these 1,579 individuals, which information was provided by only three (3) of the seven (7) law firms represented by Davies, namely Brayton Purcell LLP, Dean Omar Branham Shirley and Zamler, Shiffman & Karfis.

4.16 As such, four (4) of the seven (7) law firms forming part of the CCAA Opposition Parties represented by Davies, have not complied with the January 2026 Communication Order, subjecting themselves to the consequences of paragraph [28] of the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, namely that they may be held to be in contempt of court and be precluded, along with the claimants they represent from making any further submissions or pleadings in the CCAA Proceedings until further order of the Court.. As a result, the Monitor has not been able to provide the Bar Date Documents to the Known Claimants represented by the following four (4) firms represented by Davies: Howard & Reed (listed in the Amended Representation Statement as representing 1,425 individuals), Serling & Abramson (1 individual), Waddell Anderman (3 individuals) and Weitz & Luxenberg (49 individuals).

4.17 Further, and notwithstanding the position taken by certain U.S. law firms in the July 2025 Collective Letter, as of March 27, 2026, the Monitor has received mailing addresses from twelve (12) of the nineteen (19) U.S. law firms which are signatories of that July 2025 Collective Letter, representing a total of 87,908 Known Claimants.

4.18 Subsequent to March 27, 2026, the Monitor received additional contact information from two (2) law firms concerning forty-nine (49) additional Known Claimants. As a result, the total number of mailing addresses received for Existing Asbestos Known Claimants increased to 108,807 as of April 30, 2026. Upon receipt of those additional contact information, the Monitor caused the Bar Date Documents to be sent to those additional Known Claimants, in accordance with the Monitor's court-ordered obligations.

4.19 Twelve (12) of the responses received from U.S. counsel enclosing (or accompanying) mailing coordinates for certain Known Claimants also included statements to the effect

that counsel objected to any direct communications by the Monitor with represented claimants and requested that counsel be copied on any communications sent to their clients. Those letters further raised confidentiality and privacy concerns regarding the handling of claimants' contact information, including concerns about potential misuse or improper disclosure, and generally reserved rights in that regard.

4.20 The Monitor, through its Canadian counsel, sent responses to each of those counsel addressing these points and clarifying the Monitor's role and the purpose of its communications under the Court-ordered claims process. In substance, the responses explain that the Monitor is an independent court-appointed officer, and not a counsel representing any party, acting in accordance with the CCAA and the order of this Court and confirmed that the Bar Date Documents were transmitted in accordance with an order of the Court (and that counsel would receive copies of the same materials transmitted to the Claimants and that these materials could also be found on the Monitor's website). The response also explained that the residential mailing addresses provided would not be made public and would be used solely by the Monitor for communicating with claimants as part of the CCAA proceedings, in conformity with the Court's order and standard Canadian insolvency practice, without interfering with the role of claimants' counsel.

Claim Process related actions

4.21 Following the issuance of the Claims Bar Date Orders, the Monitor has taken the operational steps required to implement the Claims Process within the timelines contemplated by those orders, including the establishment of the Claims Process web infrastructure, the publication and mailing of the "Bar Date Documents" and related materials, and the rollout of the unknown claimant notification program developed with the assistance of Kroll, and approved by this Court:

4.21.1 The Monitor posted the Claims Bar Date Orders and related Bar Date Documents on the Monitor's public website in accordance with the provisions of the Claims Bar Date Orders.

4.21.2 The Monitor established and "penetration tested" the Claims Process web architecture, including: (i) ACLClaims.com, which functions as the publicly advertised "landing" URL and redirects users to the online claims portal, and (ii) asbestoscorp.rcgt.com, the online Proof of Claim portal where Proofs of Claim may be filed and supporting documents uploaded.

4.21.3 The Monitor established a toll-free call centre (1-877-788-0179), a general email address asbestoscorp@rcgt.com and a Fax Number (1-800-711-1070) to assist Existing Asbestos Claimants with questions relating to the claims process, including the filing of a Proof of Claim.

4.21.4 On Friday, March 6, 2026, namely 59 days after the entry of the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, the Monitor issued the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate, served it to the Service List and filed it with this Court. For reference, a copy of the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate is attached as **Schedule D** to this report.

4.21.5 As indicated in the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate, the Claims Bar Date for Existing Asbestos Claims and Non-Asbestos Claims is **September 10, 2026, at 5:00 p.m. (ET)**, being approximately six (6) months after the issuance of the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate, in accordance with the Existing Asbestos

Claims Bar Date Order and the Non-Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

4.21.6 The Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate further lists the Designated Newspapers and the Designated Social Media where notice of the Bar Date for filing claims will be posted, as well as the television stations where notice of these proceedings and information relating to the process for filing claims and the Bar Date will be advertised. The program for notifying unknown claimants was established by the Monitor in consultation with Kroll, which has extensive experience in developing notice programs designed to reach select groups of claimants. For reference, a summary of the Publication Notice Program Status is attached as **Schedule E** to this report.

4.21.7 The Monitor published on its website the Bar Date Documents, including the Instruction Letter (**Schedule F** to this report), the Notice to Claimants (**Schedule G** to this report), the Proof of Claim form (**Schedule H** to this report), and the List of Known Claimants published on the Monitor's Website and updated on April 20, 2026.

4.21.8 On March 31, 2026, the Monitor sent by mail the Bar Date Documents to six (6) potential Non-Asbestos Known Claimants.

4.21.9 On April 2 and 3, 2026, the Monitor, through Kroll, sent by mail the Bar Date Documents to the 108,758 Existing Asbestos Known Claimants for whom mailing addresses had been obtained before the March 27 deadline (as detailed in the previous section).

4.21.10 On April 2 and 3, 2026, the Monitor also sent through Kroll the Bar Date Documents to the law firms for which contact information was available, by mail and, where email addresses were available, also by email.

4.21.11 The Monitor, through its notice and media agent, Kroll, implemented the Publication Notice Program contemplated by the Claims Bar Date Orders. The program commenced on April 13, 2026 and is ongoing, with components including Designated Newspaper, Online banner ads, Designated Social Media, television ads and press releases.

4.21.12 The Publication Notice Program is ongoing only in Canada and in the US. It includes components in three (3) languages, namely English, French and Spanish.

Disclosure of ACL historical sales information

4.22 As indicated to the Court during the December 2025 hearing, the Monitor prepared two summaries of ACL's historical sales based on ACL's annual sales registers that were available electronically. One summary covers U.S. clients for the years 1955 to 1985, and the other covers Canadian clients for the years 1960 to 1980 (the "**Historical Sales Summaries**"). Each summary identifies, for each client, the client's name and location (city and state or province), the years during which sales were recorded, as well as the aggregate quantities sold (in tons) and sales amounts (in dollars) for the relevant period. The U.S. summary includes 1,166 client entries, and the Canadian summary includes 131 client entries. For reference, a copy of the Historical Sales Summaries is attached as **Schedule I** to this report.

4.23 On January 8, 2026, the day after the issuance of the rectified Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, the Monitor's Canadian counsel transmitted the Historical Sales

Summaries to Davies and to the US counsel forming part of the CCAA Opposition Parties.

4.24 On January 9, 2026, the Historical Sales Summaries were published on the Monitor's website in the "Claims Process" section of same, for access by any potential Claimants.

4.25 The Historical Sales Information Summaries include notes to the effect that they are "*Confidential - Solely for the 'Permitted Purpose' of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order*" and that access to additional historical sales data can be made available, subject to the execution of a Confidentiality Agreement and, for documents available in paper format only, to a protocol governing any access to ACL's premises and handling of these other documents available in paper format only.

4.26 In addition to the Historical Sales Summaries, the Monitor posted to a secure virtual data room (the "**Virtual Data Room**"), additional historical sales information in electronic format. This additional information includes the annual sales registers for 1955 to 1985 (U.S.) and 1960 to 1980 (Canada), as well as an Excel spreadsheet containing annual sales data for those years. Since mid-January 2026, the Virtual Data Room is open for access to any party signing a satisfactory confidentiality and restricted use undertaking.

4.27 At such time, some of the sales documents, namely those prior to 1955 in the US and to 1960 in Canada, were not yet available in an electronic format. The Monitor conducted on-site work at ACL's premises in Thetford Mines to locate sales registers and other sales documentation that were stored physically and had not yet been digitized. This work resulted in the recovery and digitization of:

4.27.1 Canadian sales registers for the years 1955 to 1959 and 1985;

4.27.2 detailed sales information for the years 1932 to 1952 and 1971 to 1978 relating to 178 Canadian clients and 1,146 U.S. clients; and

4.27.3 sales summaries for the years 1982 and 1983 covering 214 clients.

4.28 For reference, a table of contents of all historical sales materials available on the Virtual Data Room as of the date of this Report is attached as **Schedule J** to this Report.

4.29 All of the foregoing sales information has been digitized and is available for consultation in the Virtual Data Room since April 2026 (being the **"Other Information"** pertaining to the historical sales of asbestos by ACL, in addition to the Historical Sales Summaries), subject to the execution by the recipient of a Confidentiality and Restricted Use of Protected Information Undertaking (the **"Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking"**).

ACL Sales Information Issues – Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking

4.30 When the Monitor's Canadian counsel transmitted the Historical Sales Summaries to counsel for the CCAA Opposition Parties on January 8, 2026, the accompanying cover materials expressly indicated that the Other Documents Available Electronically would be provided only on a strictly confidential basis and subject to the execution by the recipient of a Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking. The Monitor further advised that such additional information would be made available only to a "Recipient"

who signs the Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking, a draft of which was shared with the Opposition Parties on January 8, 2026.

4.31 Almost three weeks later, on January 27, 2026, counsel for the CCAA Opposition Parties (Davies) provided a substantive response disputing the need for a Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking as a condition to access additional information. They took the position that the Monitor's undertaking to render historical sales information available did not impose the Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking requirement, challenged the confidential nature of sales information, and reserved their rights to contest confidentiality, while submitting comments, on a without prejudice basis, to the draft Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking that had been submitted to them.

4.32 From late January through February 2026, counsel exchanged multiple drafts and comments on the Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking. The Monitor's position is that the Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking is necessary in light of the extraordinary disclosure to which the Debtor agreed in the context of the Insolvency Proceedings and the scope of the Monitor's mandate, which are both limited to the CCAA proceedings and the related U.S. Chapter 15 proceedings.

4.33 On February 23, 2026, the Monitor and the CCAA Opposition Parties remained at an impasse on key elements of the Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking. The Monitor therefore finalized the Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking in two forms (Law Firm Form and Claimant Form) and made it publicly available on the Monitor's website so that any law firm or claimant could execute it. The Monitor also confirmed that, upon execution and acknowledgment, access would be granted to the Virtual Data Room where the additional sales information is available. For reference a copy of the two forms of Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking is attached as **Schedule K** to this report.

4.34 The Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking is intended to confirm that the Other Information (namely both the "Other Documents Available Electronically" and the "Other Documents Available Physically" once all of them have since been digitized) can be accessed by any law firm representing claimants, or claimants themselves, but solely for the "Permitted Purpose" of evaluating or advancing a claimant's position in the Insolvency Proceedings, including preparing proofs of claim, and could not be used or disseminated for any other purpose or in any other proceeding, namely outside of the CCAA proceedings and the related U.S. Chapter 15 proceedings.

4.35 On March 17, 2026, the CCAA Opposition Parties served and filed their application relating to the ACL Sales Information Issues. This application followed the continued disagreement as to whether access to the additional sales information could be conditioned on execution of the Confidentiality Undertaking.

4.36 Following service of said application, the Monitor advised the CCAA Opposition Parties that it was available to proceed on March 27, 2026, being the date proposed by this Court. The CCAA Opposition Parties advised the Monitor that they were not available prior to April 15, 2026.

4.37 On March 27, 2026, once the hearing on the ACL Sales Information Issues was scheduled to proceed in the week of May 11, 2026, along with the hearing on the claims

review and adjudication process, the Monitor proposed that access to the Virtual Data Room be granted upon execution of the Confidentiality Undertaking, without prejudice to the parties' respective positions and subject to the Court's determination of the Judicial Undertaking Application.

4.38 As of the date of this Report, the Monitor has not received any executed Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking.

4.39 The Monitor submits that the Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking is a fair and reasonable mechanism to give access to all parties who request it (law firms representing claimants or claimants) to all available information relating to the historical sales of asbestos by ACL, while ensuring that this information which is exceptionally made available with the consent of the Debtor by the Monitor for its sharing is solely used for the purpose and as part of the CCAA proceedings and the related U.S. Chapter 15 proceedings, and not for any other purpose or outside of such proceedings.

4.40 The Monitor further confirms that, all paper-format documents having been scanned and digitized to the Monitor's satisfaction, the additional historical sales data is now available in electronic format and may be accessed remotely, subject to the execution of a Confidentiality and Restricted Use Undertaking. The Monitor is of the view that, in these circumstances, attendance at ACL's premises is no longer justified and that the protocol previously contemplated for on-site access and the handling of paper documents has become moot.

Other Actions

4.41 In addition to the foregoing, the Monitor:

4.41.1 With the support of its legal counsel, continued the comprehensive review of the Debtor's insurance policies and related documentation;

4.41.2 Uploaded additional relevant documents pertaining to the CCAA Proceedings and the Chapter 15 Proceedings on the Monitor's Website;

4.41.3 Held numerous meetings with different stakeholders, alongside its legal advisors;

4.41.4 Assisted ACL's management in its discussions with stakeholders;

4.41.5 Reviewed the Debtor's actual receipts and disbursements;

4.41.6 Assisted ACL's management in preparing updated projected cash flow statements;

4.41.7 Assisted ACL's management in assessing the tax implications, if any, associated with various restructuring scenarios, including the potential implementation of a sale process for certain mining assets;

4.41.8 Participated in meetings relating to the CCAA Proceedings and the Chapter 15 Proceedings, alongside its legal advisors;

4.41.9 With the assistance of its legal counsel, continued the review and analysis of the validity of the security held by Mazarin, alongside its legal advisors;

4.41.10 Participated and testified at the December 11th Hearing.

5. CLAIMS PROCESS STATUS

5.1 Following the issuance of the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate on March 6, 2026, the Monitor monitored and tracked the level of activity across the various submission and inquiry channels established for the Claims Process.

5.2 As of April 30 2026, the Monitor has received 68 Proofs of Claim in respect of Existing Asbestos Claims, of which 27 were submitted through the online claims submission platform, 41 were received by mail and 1 was received by email.

5.3 For information purposes, none of the Proofs of Claim received as of April 30, 2026 were submitted by Injured Parties identified by ACL in its list of pending cases. As of April 30, 2026, the Monitor had not received any Proofs of Claim from claimants who declared being assisted by an attorney in the submission of their Proof of Claim.

5.4 As of April 30, 2026, the call centre has received 474 calls in connection with the Claims Process since March 6, 2026. Over the same period, the mailbox asbestoscorp@rcgt.com has received more than 165 emails from claimants and/or potential claimants seeking information or assistance regarding the filing of Proofs of Claim and related process questions.

5.5 The Monitor's Canadian and US counsel have also received several calls from Known Claimants' counsel regarding the Claims Process and questions relating to same.

6. HISTORICAL SETTLEMENT ANALYSIS AND CLAIMS REVIEW PROCESS

6.1 As indicated in the Fourth Report, the Monitor retained Stephanie Plancich, Ph.D., from National Economic Research Associates, Inc. ("**NERA**"), to consider methodologies to estimate the value of compensable asbestos personal injury claims against ACL (her resume is attached as **Schedule L** to this report).

6.2 Following the issuance of the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, the Monitor, with the assistance of Dr. Plancich, conducted a structured analysis during the first months of 2026 to support the development of an evidence-based approach for the upcoming review and adjudication of Existing Asbestos Claims.

6.3 After considering various alternatives, Dr. Plancich concluded that ACL's historical settlement values provide the most reasonable and reliable basis to estimate the quantum of compensable asbestos personal injury claims against ACL in the U.S. tort system, as settlements reflect the negotiated outcome between plaintiff and defendant. ACL's settlement history spans multiple decades and economic conditions and ACL has resolved cases with over 600 different plaintiff firms in 45 different states. NERA, in consultation with the Monitor, reviewed ACL's historical settlement data by disease category and time period.

6.4 Based on the data available and Dr. Plancich's professional judgment, NERA concluded that a ten-year reference period (the 2015–2024 period) was the most reasonable and appropriate for the purposes of the proposed adjudication framework, as it reflects more recent settlement experience while providing a sufficiently robust and meaningful data set. NERA also excluded 2025 from the historical settlement average calculation, on the basis that 2025 includes only a partial year of data and may be atypical in light of the

insolvency filing and the resulting stay of litigation.

6.5 NERA applied inflation adjustments to the selected sample of historical settlements (the 2015–2024 period) by adjusting settlement values from the year of settlement to May 2025 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, allowing settlements from that period to be compared on a consistent monetary basis and to derive reference values that are not distorted by changes in price levels over time.

6.6 To place ACL's historical experience in context, NERA, in consultation with the Monitor, also reviewed asbestos compensation frameworks used in the U.S., in particular the publicly-available Trust Distribution Procedures (TDPs) and Claim Resolution Procedures (CRPs) commonly applied in connection with U.S. mass tort asbestos bankruptcy trusts (including a review of TDPs/CRPs for 63 trusts where publicly available). This review served as a reasonableness check for the structure of disease categorization and the relative value ratios across disease categories, while maintaining ACL's own historical settlements as the primary anchor for the proposed framework.

6.7 The foregoing analytical work supported the development of ACL's Inflation-Adjusted 2015-2024 Settlement Values (Table 1) referenced in Schedule B of the proposed Existing Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order. Where applicable, NERA also calculated maximum values by disease category using the 95th percentile of the historical settlement distribution to exclude rare outlier settlements.

6.8 These values are intended to provide a consistent and transparent reference point for the determination of the quantum of claims to be submitted in the Claim Process. Consistent with the Monitor's intended process, NERA's historical average calculations were limited to the subset of claims resolved for positive payment (excluding dismissed or zero-pay claims), while preserving the Monitor's ability to assess each claim, based, among other things, on the procedural requirements, the applicable law, the evidence submitted and a range of factors described in paragraph 9.8 hereto.

6.9 On May 4, 2026, NERA delivered a report that presents the foregoing analysis in greater detail. A copy of this report is attached as **Schedule M** to this Report.

7. CHANGES IN CASH POSITION

7.1 Since the Fourth Report, the Monitor continued to supervise the business, operations and financial situation of the Debtor on a weekly basis and obtained all the necessary collaboration from the Debtor's management.

7.2 Furthermore, the financial situation and change in cash position are reviewed and communicated monthly to the Interim Lender and its counsel, as part of the reporting obligations under the Interim Financing Facility.

7.3 The Monitor's analysis essentially consists of inquiries, analytical procedures and discussions related to information supplied by the Debtor's management. This work does not constitute an audit or review of the financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards established by CPA Canada or by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). No audit work has been carried out by the Monitor and, consequently, it does not express an opinion on this financial

information and assumptions.

7.4 The following presents a comparison between actual and projected changes in cash position for the five-month period from November 2025 to March 2026, as well as the actual changes in cash position from the commencement of the CCAA Proceedings in May 2025 through March 2026.

Operational cash flow

(In 000 of CA$ - unaudited)	From November 2025 to March 2026			Actual CUM 11 months
	Actual	Budget	Variance	
Receipts				
Other operating receipts and accounts receivable	1 116	1 128	(12)	2 208
Easement agreement	155	618	(463)	155
Pension Plan Termination	-	-	-	405
Asbestos-related claims - Management fees	341	335	6	767
GST/QST refund	1 082	150	932	1 519
Advance received from SAL ADM Trust	1 000	-	1 000	1 750
	3 694	**2 231**	**1 463**	**6 803**
Disbursements				
Salaries and benefits	(664)	(578)	(86)	(1 360)
Other operating disbursements and accounts payables	(988)	(1 130)	142	(2 574)
Professional fees	(245)	(390)	145	(518)
Transfer of GST/QST refunds	(484)	(308)	(176)	(688)
Transfer to Mazarin	(148)	(162)	14	(348)
	(2 529)	**(2 568)**	**39**	**(5 488)**
Variation	**1 166**	**(337)**	**1 503**	**1 315**
Beginning Balance	617	617	-	467
Ending Balance	**1 783**	**280**	**1 503**	**1 783**

7.4.1 **Easement agreement**: Temporary variance. The anticipated receipt associated with the easement agreement, originally projected for December 2025, did not occur as scheduled. Management now expects these funds to be received in July 2026.

7.4.2 **Asbestos-related claims – Management fees:** Management fees relate to services provided in connection with asbestos-related litigations. These fees are reimbursed by insurers and/or funded through investments held in trust and are administered via the asbestos-restricted bank account. They represent compensation to the Debtor for services rendered in managing asbestos-related litigations.

7.4.3 **GST/QST refund**: The amount of $1,082,000 represents GST/QST refunds in connection with professional fees paid under the Interim Financing Facility that ACL received. After reception, those fees are transferred to the Monitor's trust account for the Interim Financing Facility. On May 1st, 2026, an additional amount of $736,000 was transferred to the Monitor's trust account.

7.4.4 **Advance from SAL ADM Trust**: As mentioned in the Monitor's previous reports, ACL benefits from a trust ("SAL ADM Trust") that would have been created to maintain the corporate existence of the Debtor and its affiliates. On March 23, 2026, an Advance of $1,000,000 was received from the SAL ADM Trust to meet cash requirements for the coming months. This advance was made to cover cash-flow needs for the upcoming months and was made in accordance with the ACL Annual Budget for 2026 that was approved by its board of directors.

7.4.5 As of March 31, 2026, the balance of the SAL ADM Trust stood at $3,322,299. The changes in this account since March 31, 2025, are summarized below:

(In 000 of $USD - unaudited)	SAL ADM
Value as of March 31, 2025	**5 315**
(+) Change in investment value	257
(-) Transfers to ACL operation bank account:	
- April 4, 2025 (prior to the CCAA procedures)	(500)
- May 21, 2025	(250)
- September 8, 2025	(500)
- March 23, 2026	(1 000)
Value as of March 31, 2026	**3 322**

7.4.6 **Professional fees**: Temporary variance. Management had included, within professional fees, an advance payment to the Debtor's auditors in light of the CCAA proceedings and budgeted $150,000 for this purpose. No advance was ultimately required by the auditors.

7.4.7 **GST/QST refund reimbursement on the Interim Financing**: An amount of $484,000 has been transferred to the Monitor's Trust account by ACL in respect of QST on CCAA professional fees related to invoices issued from June to October 2025. ACL remitted an additional amount of $736,000 to the DIP account on May 1st 2026, relating to GST on invoices from June to December 2025 ($503,000) and QST on invoices from November to December 2025 ($233,000).

7.4.8 **Transfer to Mazarin:** ACL provided cash advances to its parent company, Mazarin Inc. According to ACL's management, those advances were necessary to support Mazarin's cash flow requirements and enable it to meet its financial obligations. The Monitor understands that the SAL Admin Trust account from which an advance of $1,000,000 was made to ACL in March 2026 can be used to maintain the corporate existence of ACL, its common control companies, and its parent company (Mazarin).

Asbestos-Related Cash Flow

	From November 2025 to March 2026			Actual CUM
(In 000 of CA$ - unaudited)	Actual	Budget	Variance	11 months
Receipts				
Insurance reimbursements	81	451	(370)	2 017
Funds from ACL trusts	96	-	96	453
	177	451	(274)	2 470
Disbursements				
Account Payables - US Legal fees	(54)	(283)	229	(1 831)
Asbestos-related claims settlement	-	-	-	(192)
Asbestos-related claims - Management fees	(343)	(335)	(8)	(773)
Foreign exchange impact	(10)	-	(10)	(2)
	(407)	**(618)**	**210**	**(2 798)**
Variation	**(231)**	**(167)**	**(64)**	**(329)**
Beginning Balance	1 093	1 093	-	1 191
Ending Balance	**863**	**927**	**(64)**	**863**

7.4.9 **Insurance reimbursements**: This variance is attributable to outstanding insurance reimbursements for fees incurred by ACL in the third and fourth quarters of 2025, totaling approximately $373,000.

7.4.10 **Funds from ACL Trusts**: ACL has established two trusts, the SAL-100 Trust and

the SAL-101 Trust, whose primary purpose is to hold and manage funds dedicated to the administration and defense of asbestos-related litigations. These funds are used to cover legal fees, settlements, and other defense costs associated with ongoing and future asbestos litigation. As of March 31, 2026, the combined balance of these trusts was approximately US$21 million.

The changes in these trust balances since May 31, 2025, are summarized below:

(In 000 of $USD - unaudited)	SAL-100	SAL-101	Total
Value as of May 31, 2025	**12 326**	**7 310**	**19 636**
(+/-) Change in investment value, net of annual fees	1 078	611	1 688
(-) Withdraw from SAL- 101 to ACL accounts			
June 18, 2025	-	(148)	(148)
September 23, 2025	-	(111)	(111)
December 11, 2025	-	(69)	(69)
Value as of March 31, 2026	**13 403**	**7 593**	**20 996**

The withdrawals from the SAL-101 Trust, totaling US$328,000, correspond to the total receipts reported under *"Funds from ACL Trusts"* in the Asbestos-Related Cash Flow, amounting to CA$453,000.

7.4.11 **Account Payables – Legal fees**: Management based its estimates for this item on historical expenses incurred prior to the CCAA process. The initiation of the CCAA proceedings and their recognition in the United States, resulting in a stay of proceedings, significantly reduced U.S. professional fees incurred in connection with the defense of litigation claims in the U.S

CCAA proceedings and Chapiter 15 proceedings Cash Flow

	From November 2025 to March 2026			Actual CUM
(In 000 of USD$ - unaudited)	Actual	Budget	Variance	11 months
Receipts				
Interim Financing	20 525	30 525	(10 000)	30 000
Transfers from operating account (GST/QST refunds)	387	220	168	557
Interest	58	-	58	108
	20 970	30 745	(9 774)	30 665
Disbursements				
Restructuring fees and expenses	(16 576)	(25 554)	8 979	(25 194)
	(16 576)	(25 554)	8 979	(25 194)
Variation	**4 395**	**5 190**	**(796)**	**5 471**
Beginning Balance	1 076	1 076	-	-
Ending Balance	**5 471**	**6 266**	**(796)**	**5 471**

7.4.12 **Interim Financing**: Temporary variance. In light of lower-than-expected Restructuring fees and expenses from November 2025 to March 2026, the Monitor did not draw on the $10 million funding that had been budgeted for January 2026.

7.4.13 **GST/QST refund**: Transfer from ACL's operating account to the Monitor's Trust account of GST/QST reimbursement for amounts related to professional fees paid under the Interim Financing Facility.

7.4.14 **Restructuring fees and expenses**: Temporary variance explainable by the fact

that professional fees for services rendered by the different professionals involved in the proceeding for the months of November 2025 to March 2026 were approximately $10,5M lower than expected.

The table below presents the total professional fees, expenses, and taxes per firm as of March 31, 2026, including both paid and unpaid amounts:

	As at March 31, 2026		
(In 000 of USD$ - unaudited)	Paid	Unpaid	Total
Professionals			
Orrick LLP	5 802	324	6 125
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP	1 579	187	1 767
McCarthy Tetrault LLP	3 442	333	3 775
Raymond Chabot inc.	2 839	176	3 015
Stikeman Elliott LLP	2 583	605	3 188
Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP	2 348	195	2 543
Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC	3 148	1 279	4 427
Oxaro Inc	599	56	655
NERA	316	268	584
Steven J. Fink PLLC	15	2	17
LTL Advisory LLC	1 068	-	1 068
Asbestos Corporation Limited (expenses)	60	-	60
CCAA disbursements (notices, etc)	17	-	17
GST/QST from CCAA professionnal	1 378	114	1 492
	25 194	**3 540**	**28 733**

As of March 31, 2026,the paid amounts include outstanding checks for invoices relating to services rendered before February to the amount of $1,8 million.

8. PROJECTED CASH FLOW STATEMENT

8.1 The Debtor's management, with the assistance of the Monitor, prepared an updated projected cash flow statement (the "**Projections**"), which is summarized on **Schedule N.** The Projections were prepared on a consolidated basis as management manages cash on a consolidated basis (i.e., the Debtor and its wholly owned subsidiary, 3R Minéral Inc.).

8.2 The Projections have been prepared on a going-concern basis and are based on assumptions which reflect the general direction that the Debtor's management shall be adopting given the financial and economic conditions, which in the opinion of the Debtor's management is the most probable. The Projections also consider objections and challenges to the CCAA Proceedings and the Chapter 15 Proceedings.

8.3 Our review consisted of inquiries, analytical procedures and discussions related to information supplied to us by the Debtor. Since hypothetical assumptions need not be supported, our procedures with respect to them were limited to evaluating whether they were consistent with the purpose of the Projections. We have also reviewed the support provided by the Debtor for the probable assumptions and the preparation and presentation of the Projections.

8.4 Since the Projections are based on assumptions regarding future events, actual results will vary from the information presented even if the hypothetical assumptions occur, and the variations may be material. Accordingly, we express no assurance as to whether the Projections will be achieved (this should not be interpreted as the expression of an opinion).

8.5 In light of the Projections, the US $45,000,000 court-approved Amended Interim Financing Facility is sufficient to cover fees and expenses until September 25, 2026, being the Stay Extension Date.

9. PROPOSED CLAIMS REVIEW AND ADJUDICATION PROCESS FOR EXISTING ASBESTOS CLAIMS AND NON-ASBESTOS CLAIMS

9.1 The Co-Applicants are seeking issuance of two separate Claims Review and Adjudication Orders (an Existing Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order and a Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order). Following the issuance and implementation of the Claims Bar Date Orders, those orders aim at establishing a structured, centralized and Court-supervised process for the review, assessment and adjudication of claims (the **''Review and Adjudication Process''**) filed in respect of Existing Asbestos Claims and Non-Asbestos Claims (the **''Claims''**). The proposed Claims Review and Adjudication Process is summarized below. Capitalized terms have the meaning ascribed to them in the proposed Existing Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order.

9.2 The proposed adjudication framework is intended to provide a fair, transparent and administratively workable process that allows for the orderly determination of Existing Asbestos Claims, while preserving appropriate procedural safeguards and maintaining Court supervision throughout the process.

9.3 Central to the proposed Review and Adjudication Process framework is the Monitor Review and Adjudication Process set out in Schedule "B" to the Existing Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order. This Schedule "B" establishes a structured, multi-stage review process to be conducted by the Monitor, beginning with an initial administrative verification and culminating, where appropriate, in a determination of the value of the Claim.

9.4 **Initial Monitor Review:** As a first step, the Monitor will conduct an initial review to ascertain that each Proof of Claim submitted by a Claimant complies with the procedural requirements set out in the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order and is complete. This initial verification stage is intended to confirm that the Proof of Claim is properly constituted for substantive review and that the necessary information and evidence have been provided to permit a meaningful assessment.

9.5 **Eligibility Assessment:** Following this initial verification, the Monitor will assess whether the Claim could be eligible for compensation under applicable law. This assessment includes an evaluation of the legal elements of the Claim, ACL's available defenses, and the evidence submitted in support of the Claim. In this context, the Monitor's review may include, without limitation, evidence of exposure to asbestos supplied by ACL, evidence supporting attribution of the underlying illness to the Debtor's product, and medical evidence relating to the alleged disease. The Monitor may hire

experts to get assistance in respect of these issues.

9.6 Where, following the eligibility assessment, the Monitor determines that a Claim could be eligible for compensation based on applicable law and available evidence, and that the underlying illness is attributable to the Debtor's product, the Monitor will proceed to determine the appropriate amount of the Proven Asbestos Claim.

9.7 **Determination of the amount of the Proven Asbestos Claim:** In determining the quantum of the Proven Asbestos Claim, the Monitor will take into account ACL's settlement history for comparable claims, as reflected in Table 1 to Schedule "B", entitled "ACL's Inflation-Adjusted 2015-2024 Settlement Values." These values are intended to serve as standardized analytical reference points to promote consistency and proportionality, while recognizing that each Claim must ultimately be assessed individually.

9.8 The Monitor's determination of the quantum of the Proven Asbestos Claim may consider a range of claim-specific factors, including, without limitation, the Claimant's age, number of dependents, life status, economic loss, duration of exposure, smoking history and severity of disease and information concerning compensation already received by the Claimant from other trusts, settlements or defendants, as well as the proportionate share of exposure attributable to ACL. The Monitor may hire experts to get assistance in respect of these issues, and will consult with NERA on the application of ACL's Inflation-Adjusted 2015-2024 Settlement Values to make a determination regarding the quantum of a Proven Asbestos Claim in accordance with the Existing Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order.

9.9 **Notice of Determination or Disallowance:** Upon completion of its review of a Proof of Claim, the Asbestos Claims Adjudication Order provides that the Monitor shall issue a Notice of Determination or Disallowance to the Claimant and/or its legal counsel, with a copy to the Co-Applicants and their counsel.

9.10 The Notice of Determination or Disallowance is the formal mechanism by which the Monitor advises the Claimant of the outcome of the Review and Adjudication process at first instance. The notice identifies whether the Monitor has determined the quantum of the Proven Asbestos Claim or has disallowed the Claim.

9.11 The Notice of Determination or Disallowance will set out the grounds supporting the Monitor's determination or disallowance, thereby ensuring transparency and enabling any potential dispute to be clearly defined. The Notice also clarifies that the determination is made for the purpose of a distribution to be determined and approved at a later stage in the proceedings.

9.12 **Appeal Process:** The Asbestos Claims Adjudication Order further provides a defined dispute resolution mechanism for Claimants or Co-Applicants who wish to contest a Notice of Determination or Disallowance.

9.13 Specifically, a Claimant or a Co-Applicant wishing to dispute a Notice of Determination or Disallowance must, within thirty (30) calendar days of the issuance of the Notice, serve an Appeal Application and pay a deposit in the amount of $500 to the Monitor. The deposit is intended to promote procedural discipline and efficiency and is to be returned to the Claimant or Co-Applicant if the Appeal Application is successful.

9.14 Absent service of a timely Appeal Application and payment of the required deposit, the Claimant or Co-Applicant is deemed to have accepted the Monitor's determination, which then becomes final and binding, subject only to further order of the Court.

9.15 Where an Appeal Application is properly filed, the Asbestos Claims Adjudication Order provides that the Monitor may, in its discretion, seek to resolve the dispute consensually or determine that the appeal will proceed to adjudication, either before a Claims Officer or before the Court, in accordance with the procedures set out in the Order.

9.16 The Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order provides for a similar process whereby: (i) the Monitor will review each Non-Asbestos Claim and Claim against Directors and Officers (as these terms are defined in the Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order) in consultation with the Co-Applicants to value the amounts and terms set out therein for voting and distribution purposes, (ii) once the Monitor sends a claimant a notice of revision or disallowance, claimants who wish to dispute said notice of revision or disallowance will have 30 days to serve a copy of an appeal application on the Monitor, and (iii) the Monitor may seek to resolve the appeal application consensually, or proceed with the adjudication of such appeal before a claims officer or the Court.

9.17 In the Monitor's view, the proposed Claims Review and Adjudication Process is reasonable and necessary to establish a structured, centralized and Court-supervised process for the review, assessment and adjudication of claims.

9.18 The Monitor respectfully recommends that the Court grant the relief sought and issue the Claims Review and Adjudication Order.

10. PROPOSED RESTRUCTURING PROCESS

10.1 As detailed in the Fourth Monitor Report, the restructuring process proposed to be continued by the Co-Applicants (the "**Restructuring Process**") contemplates:

- the continuation of a transparent court-supervised process aimed at stabilizing ACL's operations and ensuring that sufficient liquidity is available via the Interim Financing Facility;
- the continuation of the Claims Process and the implementation of the Claims Review and Adjudication Process to ensure the fair and efficient determination of Existing Asbestos Claims and Non-Asbestos Claims;
- the implementation of a plan of compromise or arrangement or a distribution process to claimants with Proven Asbestos Claims;
- the potential development and implementation of a sale and investment solicitation process with respect to the Debtor's assets; and
- the preservation and maximization of the value of ACL for its various stakeholders.

10.2 The continuation of the Claims Process and the implementation of the Claims Review and Adjudication Process are in the best interests of all stakeholders and potential stakeholders of ACL, including, among others, the present and future asbestos claimants in connection with asbestos-related injuries and claims, in order to allow for an efficient, uniform, fair and reasonable process pursuant to which all Existing

Asbestos Claims and Non-Asbestos Claims in Canada and the U.S. will be filed with the Monitor who will review and adjudicate their claims in accordance with the Claims Review and Adjudication Order.

10.3 The Restructuring Process is fair and reasonable and is to the benefit of the stakeholders of ACL.

11. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

11.1 In light of this report, the Monitor is of the opinion that it is in the interest of all stakeholders that the Court:

11.1.1 Grant the relief sought and issue the Claims Review and Adjudication Orders;

11.1.2 Extend the stay of proceedings until September, 25, 2026.

SCHEDULE A

Summary of the Monitor's Communications with Known Claimants' Counsel **Schedule A**
As of April 30, 2026

		Known Claimants by Firm — Source Comparison[4]		First Notice to Known Claimants' Counsel				Second Notice to Known Claimants' Counsel		Responses received by the Monitor	
	Law Firm	Claimants (Davies Amended representation	Claimants (Monitor appendix to the First Notice)[3]	Number of notices sent[5]	First Messenger delivery confirmed (date)[6]	Second Messenger delivery	Email sent (date)	Messenger delivery confirmed (date)[6]	Email sent (date)	Claimant addresses provided (count)	Monitor response letter sent (date)
Law firms represented by Davies and included in the July 2025 Collective Letter											
1	Brayton Purcell LLP	34	33	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	99	2026-04-30
2	Dean Omar Branham Shirley (DOBS)	53	64	7	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	-	135	2026-03-20
3	Howard & Reed	1 425	1 367	1	Undelivered	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	-
4	Serling & Abramson	1	2	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	-
5	Waddell Anderman	3	1	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	-
6	Weitz & Luxenberg (Peter G. Angelos)	49	31	4	2026-02-05	2026-02-18	2026-02-06	2026-03-27	2026-03-24	-	-
7	Zamler, Shiffman & Karfis	14	14	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	-	14	-
		1 579	**1 512**	**16**	**7**	**1**	**5**	**3**	**3**	**248**	**2**
Other Law firms included in the July 2025 Collective Letter											
8	Bevan & Associates	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	26 533	2026-04-15
9	Clapper Patti Schweizer and Mason	-	9	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
10	Cooney & Conway	-	3	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	-	9	2026-03-31
11	Early, Lucarelli, Sweeney & Meisenkothen, LLC	-	2	1	2026-02-05	2026-02-18	-	2026-03-27	-	3	2026-04-15
12	Landry & Swarr	-	10	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	-	99	2026-03-20
13	Lipsitz, Ponterio & Comerford LLC	-	11	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	-	12	2026-03-20
14	Maune Raichle Hartley French & Mudd	-	11	4	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	911	2026-04-30
15	Richardson Thomas, LLC	-	1	2	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
16	Simmons Hanly Conroy	-	3	3	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	2026-03-27	2026-03-24	66	2026-04-30
17	Wyche	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
18	Wallace & Graham[7]	-	-	-	Not applicable	-	-	-	-	59 979	2026-04-15
19	Lanier Law Firm[7]	-	-	-	Not applicable	-	-	-	-	48	2026-04-30
		-	**52**	**16**	**10**	**1**	**9**	**2**	**6**	**87 660**	**9**
Other Law firms											
20	Grunda Walsh LLP[7]	-	-	-	Not applicable	-	-	-	-	20 758	2026-04-15
21	Amato & Creely	-	1	1	Undelivered	-	-	2026-03-30	-	-	
22	Ardoin Law Firm	-	4	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	
23	Baggett McCall & Burgess	-	1	1	2026-02-06	-	-	2026-03-30	-	-	
24	Baron & Budd	-	3 627	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
25	Belluck Law, LLP	-	6	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	7	
26	Blackwell & Assoc.	-	1	1	2026-02-06	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	
27	Boechler, P.C.	-	14	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-04-02	-	-	
28	Boling Law firm	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-31	-	-	
29	Brent Coon & Assoc.	-	19	2	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	
30	Brookman, Rosenberg	-	5	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
31	Bruce L. Ahnfeldt	-	7	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
32	C Jerome D'Aquila	-	1	1	2026-02-06	-	-	2026-03-30	-	-	
33	Cleveland & Conley LLC	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	
34	Climaco Lefkowitz Peca Wilcox & Garofoli	-	1	1	2026-02-06	-	-	2026-03-30	-	-	
35	Cohen, Placitella	-	2	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
36	Connell Foley	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
37	David C. Thompson	-	36	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
38	Deblase Brown Eyerly	-	-	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
39	F. Gerald Maples	-	522	1	Undelivered	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
40	Flint Cooper	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	1	
41	Frost Law Firm	-	-	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	8	
42	Galante & Bivalacqua	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-31	-	-	
43	Glenn E. Diaz	-	1	1	Undelivered	-	-	2026-03-30	-	-	
44	Gold Law Firm	-	23	1	2026-02-06	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
45	Gori Law Firm	-	2	2	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
46	Halpern Law Firm	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
47	Harowitz & Tigerman	-	6	1	Undelivered	-	-	Undelivered	-	-	
48	Heard Robins	-	2	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	
49	Jacobs & Crumplar PA	-	1	1	2026-02-05	2026-02-18	-	-	-	1	
50	John F. Dillon	-	60	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-28	-	-	
51	Jones & Bendon	-	-	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
52	Karst & von Oiste	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	
53	Kassel McVey	-	42	1	2026-02-07	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
54	Kazan McClain Satterley & Greenwood	-	-	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	3	
55	Keller, Fishback & Jackson LLP	-	18	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	18	
56	Law Offices of Sharita Lynn Cannon	-	3	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	
57	Leblanc & Waddell, LLP	-	2	1	2026-02-06	-	-	Undelivered	-	-	
58	Leo J. D'Aubin	-	1	1	Undelivered	-	-	-	-	5	
59	Levy, Konigsberg	-	8	2	2026-02-05	2026-02-18	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	68	
60	Lewis & Scholnick	-	18	1	2026-02-05	-	-	Undelivered	-	-	
61	Lewis, Brisbois & Smith LLP	-	-	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	

Summary of the Monitor's Communications with Known Claimants' Counsel **Schedule A**
As of April 30, 2026

		Known Claimants by Firm — Source Comparison[4]		First Notice to Known Claimants' Counsel				Second Notice to Known Claimants' Counsel		Responses received by the Monitor	
	Law Firm	Claimants (Davies Amended representation	Claimants (Monitor appendix to the First Notice)[3]	Number of notices sent[5]	First Messenger delivery confirmed (date)[6]	Second Messenger delivery	Email sent (date)	Messenger delivery confirmed (date)[6]	Email sent (date)	Claimant addresses provided (count)	Monitor response letter sent (date)
62	Lowe Law firm	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	
63	Martzell, Bickford, & Centola, APC	-	5	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	-	6	
64	McGiverney Kluger Clark Intoccia	-	-	3	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
65	Meirowitz & Wasserberg	-	2	1	2026-02-05	2026-02-18	2026-02-17	2026-03-27	2026-03-24	-	
66	Michael P. Joyce	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	1	
67	Motley Rice	-	16	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
68	Murray Law Firm	-	8	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
69	Napoli Shkolnik	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
70	Nass Cancelliere	-	9	1	2026-02-05	2026-02-18	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	8	
71	Nix, Patterson & Roach, LLP	-	2	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
72	Paul & Hanley (The Paul Law firm)	-	2	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	
73	Pearce Lewis	-	-	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
74	Pourciau Law Firm	-	2	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	9	
75	Rickard & Protopapas	-	-	3	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	-	-	
76	Robein, Urann	-	2	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	1	
77	Robichaux Law Firm	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-30	-	-	
78	Ron Austin & Associates	-	2	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
79	Roussel & Clement, Attorneys at Law	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	-	1	
80	Saltz Mongeluzzi & Bendesky	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
81	Shingler Law	-	8	1	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24		
82	Sims & Re, PLLC	-	1	1	Undelivered	-	-	2026-03-30	-	-	
83	Simon Greenstone Panatier	-	-	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	
84	SWMW Law	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	
85	Venezia & Associates	-	1	1	2026-02-19	-	-	2026-03-30	-	-	
86	Wartnick, Chaber	-	1	1	Undelivered	-	-	Undelivered	-	-	
87	Weinstein Caggiano	-	1	1	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	
88	Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.	-	5	2	2026-02-05	2026-02-18	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	4	
89	Zelbst, Holmes & Butler	-	1	2	2026-02-05	-	-	2026-03-27	-	-	
90	Gallivan White Boyd	-	-	4	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
91	Smith Robinson	-	-	2	2026-02-05	-	2026-02-06	-	2026-03-24	-	
		-	**4 516**	**84**	**71**	**5**	**40**	**30**	**37**	**20 899**	**1**
Total		**1 579**	**6 080**	**116**	**88**	**7**	**54**	**35**	**46**	**108 807**	**12**

Complementary notes:

1 This Schedule is a summary prepared by the Monitor based on information available as of [April 30, 2026]. Information received after that date is not reflected unless expressly indicated.

2 "Claimants (Davies Amended Representation Statement)" refers to claimant counts stated in the Amended Representation Statement filed by Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg S.E.N.C.R.L., dated June 27, 2025.

3 "Claimants (Monitor appendix to the First Notice)" refers to claimant counts reflected in the firm-specific appendix that accompanied each individualized letter forming part of the Monitor's First Notice to Known Claimants' Counsel, based on information then available to the Monitor for noticing purposes.

4 Differences between the Davies counts and the Monitor appendix counts may arise from differences in source documents, reference dates, identification details available, and the manner in which certain law firms are identified or grouped (including consolidated naming or affiliations) for tracking and noticing purposes.

5 "Number of notices sent" reflects the number of notices/letters issued by the Monitor to counsel recipients identified for service for the relevant law firm, as recorded by the Monitor. Where multiple notices or letters were transmitted in respect of the same law firm, the dates shown under "Messenger delivery confirmed (date)" and "Email sent (date)" reflect the earliest recorded confirmation/transmission date for that law firm.

6 "Undelivered" indicates that messenger delivery could not be completed at the address used for service (as recorded at the time).

7 For Wallace & Graham, Lanier Law Firm, and Grunda Walsh LLP, the First Notice fields are marked "Not applicable" because those firms were not within the service scope for the First Notice mailing based on the information then available to the Monitor for noticing purposes. Notwithstanding, those firms subsequently provided a significant volume of claimant mailing addresses to the Monitor for noticing purposes under the Court-ordered claims process.

SCHEDULE B

Raymond Chabot Inc.

An affiliate of
Raymond Chabot Grant Thornton
LLP
Suite 2000
600, rue de La Gauchetière Ouest
Montréal (QC) H3B 4L8
Phone: (855)724-2268
Fax: (450)676-2202
www.raymondchabot.com

Notice to Counsel to Holders of Asbestos Related Damage Claims Against Asbestos Corporation Limited

February 2, 2026

BY EMAIL AND BY MESSENGER

Re: In the matter of the proceedings under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (the "CCAA Proceedings") of Asbestos Corporation Limited ("ACL") in Court file 235-11-000008-259

Dear Sir or Madam,

We, Raymond Chabot Inc., are the independent court-appointed monitor (the "**Monitor**") of ACL in the above referenced CCAA Proceedings.

The CCAA Proceedings have been commenced before the Superior Court of Québec (Commercial Division) (the "**Canadian Court**") for the purpose of establishing a centralized, fair and efficient forum to address ACL's asbestos-related liabilities under the supervision of the court-appointed Monitor. Proceedings ancillary to the CCAA Proceedings have also been commenced in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "**U.S. Court**") pursuant to Chapter 15 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code (the "**U.S. Proceedings**" and together with the CCAA Proceedings, the "**Proceedings**").[23]

On June 18, 2025, the Canadian Court issued an Order (the "**Canadian Order**") directing the Monitor to advise each known plaintiff asserting an asbestos-related damage claim against ACL of certain statements made by the Canadian Court during a hearing held in Quebec City on June 17 and 18, 2025 regarding the process to be established for the resolution of all of ACL's asbestos related liabilities. In accordance with the Canadian Order, on or about July 3, 2025, we wrote to many counsel representing client(s) who have asserted a claim for damages resulting from purported exposure to ACL asbestos ("**Known Claimant(s)**"), seeking to obtain the contact information for each such Known Claimant(s) in the context of the Canadian Order. Very few contact information of Known Claimant(s) have been obtained since, and the Monitor reported this fact to the Canadian Court.

[23] All proceedings, orders and reports filed by the Monitor related to the CCAA Proceedings are accessible online at https://www.raymondchabot.com/fr/entreprise/dossiers-publics/asbestos-corporation/ and all the proceedings related to the US Proceedings are accessible online at https://cases.ra.kroll.com/asbestoscorporation/.

On January 6, 2026, the Canadian Court issued an Order Establishing a Bar Date for Existing Asbestos Claims (the "**Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order**"). Pursuant to paragraph [28] of the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, the Canadian Court directed that:

> *… any attorney representing a Known Claimant shall provide the Monitor, at the Monitor's written request, and by no later than twenty-one (21) days following the later of (a) the date of such request, and (b) the date of the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate, the mailing address of said Known Claimant in order to permit the Monitor to send the Bar Date Documents directly to said Known Claimants. In the event such attorney refuses or fails to provide such mailing address within the prescribed time, this attorney may be held in contempt of Court and will be precluded, along with any of the Claimants that it allegedly represents, from making any further submissions or pleadings in these CCAA proceedings until further order of the Court;*

In accordance with this paragraph 28 of the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, the Monitor hereby requests that you provide it in writing with the mailing address for each Known Claimant that you represent. For your convenience, we have attached as a courtesy a list of your clients who have asserted claims against ACL according to the records made available to the Monitor by ACL. Please note, however, that this request applies to all Known Claimant(s) you represent, regardless of whether such clients appear on the attached list.

Please further note that all information provided in response to this letter will be used exclusively by the Monitor, unless otherwise ordered by the Canadian Court, for purposes of sending claimants information and documents relating to the Proceedings, including in particular with respect to the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, and will not be used for any other purpose or shared with any other party.

In accordance with paragraph 28 of the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, the requested mailing addresses each Known Claimant that you represent must be provided to the Monitor **no later than March 27, 2026** (being twenty-one (21) days following the later of: (a) the date of this notice and (b) the date of issuance of the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate, expected to be March 6, 2026, namely no later than sixty (60) days after the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order). **Failure to comply could result in a ruling of contempt of court being made against you. Possible sanctions for contempt of court include, without limitation, loss of the right to make any claims, representations or pleadings on behalf of your clients in the CCAA Proceedings**.

This request is made for the purpose of enabling the Monitor to comply with its court-ordered notice obligations to Known Claimants pursuant to the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

This notice has been sent to you by email and messenger, in accordance with paragraph 33 of the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Best regards,

Raymond Chabot Inc., in its capacity as Court-appointed Monitor of Asbestos Corporation Limited

Jean Gagnon, CPA, CIRP, LIT

In the matter of the plan of compromise or arrangement of Asbestos Corporation Limited,
Court file No. 235-11-000008-259

List of Plaintiffs

The list below is provided as a convenience. It is the list of plaintiffs represented by your firm in cases against Asbestos Corporation Limited ("ACL") according to information provided to counsel to the Monitor of ACL. The enclosed documents, however, apply to all plaintiffs or potential plaintiffs represented by your firm regardless of whether their names appear on the list.

Plaintiff Name

SCHEDULE C

Second Notice to Counsel to Holders of Asbestos Related Damage Claims Against Asbestos Corporation Limited

March 24, 2026

Re: In the matter of the proceedings under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (the "CCAA Proceedings") of Asbestos Corporation Limited ("ACL") in Court file 235-11-000008-259

Dear Sir or Madam,

We, Raymond Chabot Inc., act as independent court-appointed monitor (the "**Monitor**") of ACL in the above referenced CCAA Proceedings.

Pursuant to a notice dated February 2, 2026 (the "**Monitor's Original Notice**"), the Monitor wrote to you to request that you provide it in writing with the mailing address for each Known Claimant that you represent in accordance with paragraph 28 of the Order Establishing a Bar Date for Existing Asbestos Claims (the "**Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order**") issued by the Superior Court of Québec (Commercial Division) on January 6, 2026 (as rectified on January 7, 2026)[4].

As expected and as indicated in the Monitor's Original Notice, the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate was issued on March 6, 2026. As such, the requested mailing addresses of each Known Claimant that you represent (i.e. any of your client(s) who have asserted a claim for damages resulting from purported exposure to ACL asbestos, including those listed in the Schedule attached to the Monitor's Original Notice) must be provided to the Monitor no later than **March 27, 2026**.

We remind you that, pursuant to paragraph [28] of the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, "*[i]n the event that such attorney [who is requested to provide to the Monitor the mailing addresses of the Known Claimants who are his/her clients] refuses or fails to provide such mailing address within the prescribed time, this attorney may be held in contempt of Court and will be precluded, along with any of the Claimants that it allegedly represents, from making any further submissions or pleadings in [the] CCAA proceedings until further order of the Court*".

Yours truly,

Raymond Chabot Inc., in its capacity as Court-appointed Monitor of Asbestos Corporation Limited



Jean Gagnon, CPA, CIRP, LIT

[4] All proceedings, orders and reports filed by the Monitor as part of the CCAA Proceeding are accessible online at https://www.raymondchabot.com/en/business/public-records/asbestos-corporation/ and all the proceedings related to the US Proceeding are accessible online at https://cases.ra.kroll.com/asbestoscorporation/.

SCHEDULE D

SUPERIOR COURT
(Commercial Division)

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT FRONTENAC
No: 235-11-000008-259

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT AND COMPROMISE OF:

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED

Debtor/Co-Applicant

- and -

CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON
TENECOM LIMITED
THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED
NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED
THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

Co-Applicants

- and -

RAYMOND CHABOT INC.

Monitor

MONITOR'S CLAIMS BAR DATE CERTIFICATE

RECITALS:

WHEREAS, on May 6, 2025, the Superior Court of Québec (Commercial Division) (the"**Canadian Court**") issued an initial order pursuant to the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act ("**CCAA**"), appointing Raymond Chabot Inc. (the "**Monitor**") as monitor of Asbestos Corporation Limited ("**ACL**" or the "**Debtor**"), which order was rectified on May 7, 2025, and amended and restated on May 15, 2025, and thereafter from time to time (the "**CCAA Proceeding**");

WHEREAS, also on May 6, 2025, the Monitor commenced proceedings ancillary to the CCAA Proceedings in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "**US Court**") pursuant to Chapter 15 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the "**US Proceeding**");

WHEREAS, on October 30, 2025, the US Court entered an order recognizing the CCAA Proceeding as a foreign main proceeding and the Monitor as the foreign representative of the Debtor in the US proceeding;

WHEREAS, on December 12, 2025, the Canadian Court rendered an order establishing a bar date for Non-Asbestos Claims, approving the Non-Asbestos Claim Proof of Claim Form and approving notice to claimants (the "**Non-Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order**");

WHEREAS, on January 6, 2026, as rectified on January 7, 2026, the Court rendered an order establishing a bar date for Existing Asbestos Claims, approving the Proof of Claim form and approving notice to claimants (the "**Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order**" and collectively with the Non-Asbestos Bar Date Order, the "**Claims Bar Date Orders**");

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Claims Bar Date Orders, the Monitor is required to issue a Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate establishing the Claims Bar Date and identifying the Designated Newspapers, Designated Social Media and applicable forms of notice;

WHEREAS, capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order and the Non-Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order;

THE MONITOR HEREBY CERTIFIES :

(a) The claims bar date for Existing Asbestos Claims, Non-Asbestos Claims, and Claims against Directors and Officers (as defined in the Claims Bar Date Orders and hereunder) is hereby established as **September 10, 2026, at 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** (which is the Asbestos Claims Bar Date and Non-Asbestos Claims Bar Date as defined in the Claims Bar Date Orders).

(b) The Designated Newspapers and applicable forms of notice are set out in Schedule "**A**" attached hereto.

(c) The Designated Social Media platforms are set out in Schedule "**B**" attached hereto.

(d) The television networks where the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order will be advertised are set out in Schedule "**C**" attached hereto.

(e) The designated press release distribution channels where the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order will be publicized is set out in Schedule **"D"** attached hereto.

TAKE NOTICE THAT:

For the purposes of this Certificate and in accordance with the Claims Bar Date Orders:

- An "Existing Asbestos Claim" means any Claim against the Debtor or General Dynamics, and/or any of their respective predecessors, current or former employees, directors, officers, agents, representatives, assigns, or any of their respective Insurers, that (i) has been advanced (including, without limitation, in any outstanding or pending litigation), that could have been advanced or that could be advanced by, on behalf of, or based on actual or alleged harm to, any Person that has been diagnosed with symptoms or injury as of **March 6, 2026**, and (ii) arises

from, is based upon, is related to, or is in any way connected, directly or indirectly to, exposure to asbestos or an asbestos-containing product which was at any time prior to **May 6, 2025**, distributed, supplied, or sold, or otherwise placed into the stream of commerce, by the Debtor or any of its predecessors or affiliates. For greater certainty, "Existing Asbestos Claim" includes, without limitation, any Claim for personal injury, sickness, disease, death, wrongful death, fear of disease or other injury, medical monitoring, medical expenses, loss of consortium, loss of support, lost wages, lost household service, economic or business loss, indemnity, contribution, reimbursement, subrogation, property damage, punitive or exemplary damages, statutory or regulatory relief, equitable relief, or any other form of damages or remedy whatsoever, provided, however, that an Existing Asbestos Claim shall not include any Future Asbestos Claim;

- A "Non-Asbestos Claim" means any claim against the Debtor and/or any of its predecessors, current or former employees, agents, representatives, assigns, which is based in whole or in part on facts existing prior to **May 6, 2025**, or which would have been claims provable in bankruptcy had the Debtor become bankrupt on **May 6, 2025**, and, without limitation, shall include (i) the right or ability of any Person to advance a claim for contribution, indemnity or otherwise with respect to any matter, action or cause, which indebtedness, liability or obligation is based in whole or in part on facts existing as at May 6, 2025; (ii) any Equity Claim; (iii) any Unaffected Claim; or (iv) any claim which would constitute a claim under the CCAA as at **May 6, 2025**; provided, however, that it no case shall a Claim include an Excluded Claim;

- A "Claim against Directors and Officers" means a claim, as defined in paragraph 11.03(1) of the CCAA, against any present or former, ***de jure*** or ***de facto***, directors or officers of the Debtor, as well as any other individuals legally entitled to administer the affairs of the Debtors ("**Directors and Officers**"), as well as any Non-Asbestos Claim for which one or more of the Directors and Officers are or could be held liable, in whole or in part; provided, however, that in no case shall a Claim against a Directors and Officers include an Excluded Claim;

- "Excluded Claim" means for the purposes of "Non-Asbestos Claim" and "Claim against Directors and Officers" (i) the claims of the beneficiaries of the Administration Charge (as defined in the Initial Order) provided for in the Initial Order; (ii) any Claim and/or Claim against Directors and Officers in connection with any indebtedness or obligation of any kind which came into existence after May 6, 2025 and any interest thereon; (iii) any Existing Asbestos Claim; and (iv) any Future Asbestos Claim;

- A "Future Asbestos Claim" means any Claim of a Person that would otherwise qualify as an Existing Asbestos Claim but for the fact that such Person had not been diagnosed with symptoms or injury as of the date of **March 6, 2026**.

- "Insurers" means CLMI and all other insurers of ACL, including, without limitation, those insurers listed in Schedule "A" to the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order;

- "CLMI" means Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London, and Tenecom Limited (as successor to Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company, formerly known as Accident & Casualty Insurance Company of Winterthur, Switzerland, and to Yasuda Fire

and Marine Insurance Company (UK) Limited and now known as Tenecom Ltd.), The Ocean Marine Insurance Company (as successor to liabilities of Commercial Union Assurance Company Limited, The Edinburgh Assurance Company, The Indemnity Marine Assurance Company Limited, The Northern Assurance Company Limited, The Road Transport & General Insurance Company Limited, United Scottish Insurance Company Limited, and The Victoria Insurance Company Limited), NRG Victory Reinsurance Limited, as successor to liabilities of New London Reinsurance Company Limited, and The Scottish Lion Insurance Company Limited;

IF YOU DO NOT FILE A PROOF OF CLAIM WITH RESPECT TO ANY EXISTING ASBESTOS CLAIM, NON-ASBESTOS CLAIM OR CLAIM AGAINST DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS BY SEPTEMBER 10, 2026, AT 5:00 P.M. (EASTERN TIME), SUCH CLAIM SHALL BE FOREVER BARRED AND EXTINGUISHED, AND YOU WILL BE PRECLUDED FROM ASSERTING OR ENFORCING SUCH CLAIM AGAINST ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED, GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION OR ANY OF THEIR AFFILIATES, INSURERS, DIRECTORS OR OFFICERS, AND FROM PARTICIPATING IN ANY DISTRIBUTION UNDER A PLAN OR COURT-APPROVED DISTRIBUTION.

For greater certainty, Future Asbestos Claims are not subject to the Claims Bar Date.

This Certificate is issued by the Monitor, on the 6th day of March 2026.

Raymond Chabot Inc., in its capacity as court-appointed monitor of Asbestos Corporation Limited, and not in its personal or corporate capacity.

Jean Gagnon, CPA, CIRP, LIT
Partner, Restructuring and Insolvency

SCHEDULE "A"

DESIGNATED NEWSPAPERS[1]

Name of Newspaper	Area / Region	Language	Type of Form
Globe and Mail	Canada (National)	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
National Post	Canada (National)	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
Le Journal de Montreal	Québec	French	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
Montreal Gazette	Québec	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
Yukon News	Yukon	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
Toronto Star	Ontario	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
Toronto Sun	Ontario	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
Ottawa Citizen	Ontario	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
Vancouver Sun	British Columbia	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
The Telegram	Newfoundland	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
The Wall Street Journal	United States (National)	English	Schedule B – Version 1 (Formal)
Mealey's Litigation Report Asbestos	United States – National (legal and litigation audience)	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
Mealey's Asbestos Bankruptcy Report	United States – National (bankruptcy and asbestos litigation audience)	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
Prison Legal News	United States – National	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
El Nuevo Dia	Puerto Rico	Spanish	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
Primera Hora	Puerto Rico	Spanish	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
San Juan Daily Star	Puerto Rico	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
Samoa News	American Samoa – Territory-wide (Pago Pago and surrounding islands)	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)

[1] Media selections are subject to change based on availability and other considerations. A full report of media selections implemented will be provided following the conclusion of the program.

Marianas Variety	Northern Mariana Islands – Territory-wide (Saipan, Tinian, Rota)	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
Virgin Island Daily News	United States Virgin Islands – Territory-wide	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)
Pacific Daily News (Guam)	Guam – Island-wide	English	Schedule B – Version 2 (Informal)

SCHEDULE "B"

DESIGNATED SOCIAL MEDIA[2]

1. Facebook
2. Instagram
3. YouTube

[2] Media selections are subject to change based on availability and other considerations. A full report of media selections implemented will be provided following the conclusion of the program.

SCHEDULE "C"

TELEVISION NETWORKS[3]

Name of Channel	Area / Region
CBC	Canada (National)
CTV	Canada (National)
TSN Sports	Canada (National)
ICI Tele	Canada (National)
CNN	United States (National)
Fox News Network	United States (National)

[3] Media selections are subject to change based on availability and other considerations. A full report of media selections implemented will be provided following the conclusion of the program.

SCHEDULE “D”

PRESS RELEASE DISTRIBUTION CHANNELS

1. Cision PR Newswire, Canadian Bilingual (English and French)

2. Cision PR Newswire, National Hispanic + US1, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands, and Pacific Islands (English and Spanish)

SCHEDULE E

ACL MEDIA STATUS

5/4/2026

Country	Media Type	Media Product	Language	Start Date / Publication Date	Status
US + Territories	Digital	Online Display	English / Spanish	4/13/26 - 9/10/26	running
US + Territories	Digital	Search Ad	English / Spanish	4/13/26 - 9/10/26	running
US + Territories	Digital	Social Media	English / Spanish	4/13/26 - 9/10/26	running
US + Territories	Digital	AARP.org	English	4/22/26 - 6/13/26	running
US + Territories	E-Newsletter	AARP.org eNewsletter	English	8-May-26	scheduled
US + Territories	PR	Press Release	English / Spanish	13-Apr-26	complete
US + Territories	Print	San Juan Daily Star	English	23-Apr-26	complete
US + Territories	Print	San Juan Daily Star	English	29-Apr-26	complete
US + Territories	Print	El Nuevo Dia	Spanish	23-Apr-26	complete
US + Territories	Print	Marianas Variety	English	22-Apr-26	complete
US + Territories	Print	Pacific Daily News (Guam)	English	21-Apr-26	complete
US + Territories	Print	Primera Hora	Spanish	23-Apr-26	complete
US + Territories	Print	Samoa News	English	23-Apr-26	complete
US + Territories	Print	Virgin Island Daily News	English	23-Apr-26	complete
US + Territories	Print	Mealey's Asbestos Bankruptcy Report	English	24-Apr-26	complete
US + Territories	Print	Wall Street Journal	English	13-Apr-26	complete
US + Territories	Print	Mealey's Litigation Report: Asbestos	English	29-Apr-26	complete
US + Territories	Print	Prison Legal News	English	May Issue (Approx. 5/10/26)	scheduled
US + Territories	TV	Television	English	5/4/26 - 5/24/26	running
Canada	Digital	Online Display	English / French	4/13/26 - 9/10/26	running
Canada	Digital	Search Ad	English / French	4/13/26 - 9/10/26	running
Canada	Digital	Social Media	English / French	4/13/26 - 9/10/26	running
Canada	Digital	Zoomer.com	English	4/22/26 - 5/21/26	running
Canada	E-Newsletter	Zoomer.com eNewsletter	English	20-Apr-26	complete
Canada	PR	Press Release	English / French	13-Apr-26	complete
Canada	Print	Montreal Gazette (Quebec)	English	22-Apr-26	complete
Canada	Print	National Post (National)	English	22-Apr-26	complete
Canada	Print	Ottawa Citizen (Ontario)	English	22-Apr-26	complete
Canada	Print	Toronto Sun (Ontario)	English	22-Apr-26	complete
Canada	Print	Vancouver Sun (British Columbia)	English	22-Apr-26	complete
Canada	Print	Globe and Mail (National)	English	23-Apr-26	complete
Canada	Print	Le Journal de Montreal (Quebec)	French	23-Apr-26	complete
Canada	Print	Toronto Star (Ontario)	English	23-Apr-26	complete
Canada	Print	The Telegram (Newfoundland)	English	24-Apr-26	complete
Canada	Print	Yukon News (Yukon)	English	24-Apr-26	complete
Canada	TV	Television	English / French	5/4/26 - 5/24/26	running

SCHEDULE F

INSTRUCTION LETTER TO CLAIMANTS
EXISTING ASBESTOS CLAIMS

Re: ***In the Matter of the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C., 1985, c. C-36, of Asbestos Corporation Limited*** **(the "Debtor") – Instruction Letter to Claimants**

Dear Sir or Madam,

This letter provides instructions intended to assist you in completing and submitting a Proof of Claim in respect of any Existing Asbestos Claim[1], in accordance with the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order issued by the Superior Court of Québec (Commercial Division) on January 6, 2026, as rectified on January 7, 2026, (the "**Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order**"). Please note that this letter is only a guide. In case of discrepancy between the terms of this letter and those of the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, the terms of the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order shall prevail.

Capitalized terms in this letter have the meanings ascribed to them in the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, which can be found on the Monitor's website, along with summaries of the sales of asbestos by ACL in Canada and the U.S. for the sole purpose of evaluating or advancing your position in the Insolvency Proceedings including for the completion of a proof of claim and all documentation relating to the restructuring process, at the following address:

https://www.raymondchabot.com/en/business/public-records/asbestos-corporation/.

Covered by this notice is any person who may have an Existing Asbestos Claim. Any such person should carefully review and comply with the provisions of the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order. In accordance with the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, any person having an Existing Asbestos Claim must submit a Proof of Claim, so as to be received by the Monitor **by no later than September 10, 2026** (being the **"Claims Bar Date"** as established by the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate).

EXISTING ASBESTOS CLAIMS WHICH ARE NOT RECEIVED BY THE CLAIMS BAR DATE WILL FOREVER BE BARRED AND EXTINGUISHED.

[1] An "Existing Asbestos Claim" means any Claim against the Debtor or General Dynamics, and/or any of their respective predecessors, current or former employees, directors, officers, agents, representatives, assigns, or any of their respective Insurers, that (i) has been advanced (including, without limitation, in any outstanding or pending litigation), that could have been advanced or that could be advanced by, on behalf of, or based on actual or alleged harm to, any Person that has been diagnosed with symptoms or injury as of March 6, 2026, and (ii) arises from, is based upon, is related to, or is in any way connected, directly or indirectly to, exposure to asbestos or an asbestos-containing product which was at any time prior to May 6, 2025, distributed, supplied, or sold, or otherwise placed into the stream of commerce, by the Debtor or any of its predecessors or affiliates. For greater certainty, "Existing Asbestos Claim" includes, without limitation, any Claim for personal injury, sickness, disease, death, wrongful death, fear of disease or other injury, medical monitoring, medical expenses, loss of consortium, loss of support, lost wages, lost household service, economic or business loss, indemnity, contribution, reimbursement, subrogation, property damage, punitive or exemplary damages, statutory or regulatory relief, equitable relief, or any other form of damages or remedy whatsoever, provided, however, that an Existing Asbestos Claim shall not include any "Future Asbestos Claim" (being any Claim of a Person that would otherwise qualify as an Existing Asbestos Claim but for the fact that such Person had not been diagnosed with symptoms or injury as of March 6, 2026).

For any additional information on how to complete the Proof of Claim, please refer to the Monitor's website, or communicate with the Monitor, whose contact information is below.

We invite you to refer to the following documents, copies of which are attached to this letter:

1. Notice to Claimants;
2. Blank Proof of Claim.

The below guide is designed to assist those willing to submit a Proof of Claim.

Section 1 – Particulars of the Claimant

1. Any Claimant who wishes to assert an Existing Asbestos Claim in the CCAA Proceedings, including any Claimant who is already a party to legal proceedings in respect of an Existing Asbestos Claim is required to file a Proof of Claim with the Monitor. You will find the complete definition of "Existing Asbestos Claim" in the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, as complemented by the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate.

2. The Claimant must indicate its full legal name, address, and contact information.

Section 2 – Proof of Claim

1. The Claimant must identify which of the Debtor, General Dynamics, and/or Insurers, and their respective director and officers (if applicable), it wishes to assert an Existing Asbestos Claim against.

Section 3 – Particulars of Claim

1. The Claimant must provide the particulars requested and set out the basis for its Existing Asbestos Claim, including the description of any facts and evidence giving rise to the Existing Asbestos Claim, as specifically listed and requested in the Proof of Claim.

2. The Claimant is under a duty to timely supplement his or her responses in the Proof of Claim if he or she learns that prior information is in some material respect incomplete or incorrect, and if the additional or corrective information has not otherwise been made known to the Debtor, General Dynamics, and/or Insurers. The duty to supplement is not limited to providing documents or information that existed at the time of filing an initial or prior Proof of Claim but, rather, includes any additional information without reference to the date of its existence. This would include, for example, new information learned about exposures to asbestos.

3. The Claimant may attach additional pages to the Proof of Claim, if necessary, including replicating Part E of the Proof of Claim, if there are several locations of exposure.

Section 4 – Supporting Documentation

1. Each Claimant must include copies of the following documents with the Proof of Claim:

    a. All depositions taken in any lawsuits listed that relate in any way to the Claimant's alleged exposures to asbestos or asbestos-containing products;

b. All written discovery (including interrogatories and requests for admission) answered on behalf of the Claimant in any of the lawsuits listed in the Proof of Claim;

c. All expert reports produced by any party in a lawsuit listed in the Proof of Claim;

d. Social Security printout and copy of union, employment, or work-history records evidencing the Claimant's alleged exposure to the Debtor's asbestos (where available) and any other relevant evidence of exposure to the Debtor's asbestos. In the case of alleged Secondary or Household exposure, provide the Social Security printout and copy of union or employment of the person who worked with or around asbestos or asbestos-containing products who brought home asbestos fibers on his or her clothes (i.e., the Primary Exposed Person);

e. Copy of medical records (or autopsy report) confirming diagnosis and establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the disease;

f. Other medical evidence for the review of the Proof of Claim, including :

For Malignancies:

- Evidence establishing the latency period between the date of first exposure to asbestos or asbestos-containing products and the diagnosis;
- Physical examination by the physician providing the diagnosis; and/or
- Diagnosis by a board-certified pathologist; and/or
- Diagnosis by a pathology report prepared at or on behalf of a hospital accredited by JCAHO (Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations).

For Non-Malignancies

- Physical examination by the physician providing the diagnosis; and/or
- ILO (International Labor Office Classification System) report showing either bilateral interstitial fibrosis, bilateral pleural plaques, bilateral pleural thickening, or bilateral pleural calcification; and/or
- Pathology report showing either bilateral interstitial fibrosis, bilateral pleural plaques, bilateral pleural thickening, or bilateral pleural calcification. Pathological proof of asbestosis may be based on the pathological grading system for asbestosis in the Special Issue of the Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, "Asbestos-associated Diseases," Vol. 106, No.11, App.3 (October 8, 1982); and/or
- Written report of chest x-rays read by a qualified B reader or other qualified physician showing either bilateral interstitial fibrosis, bilateral pleural plaques, bilateral pleural thickening, or bilateral pleural calcification; and/or
- Written report of CT scans read by a qualified physician showing either bilateral interstitial fibrosis, bilateral pleural plaques, bilateral pleural thickening, or bilateral pleural calcification; and/or
- Pulmonary function testing (PFT) in compliance with the American Thoracic Society (ATS) ; and/or

- Forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in one second to forced vital capacity ratio (FEV1/FVC) ; and/or
- Total lung capacity (TLC).

g. Other relevant evidence for the review of the Proof of Claim, including :

- Death certificate;
- Certificate of official capacity (administrator, executor, guardian, etc.) or other estate documentation;
- Power of attorney;
- Letters testamentary or letters of administration.

General

Additional Proofs of Claim may be downloaded from the Monitor's website or may be sent to you if you contact the Monitor using the contact information below and provide your name, mailing address, email address, and fax number. Each Proof of Claim must be signed by the Claimant, before a witness, indicating the place and date, or respect the instructions for online submission on the Monitor's Claims Process Website if the Proof of Claim is filed online.

The completed and signed Proof of Claim may be sent to the Monitor by one of the following means:

By mail, courier or registered mail – Canada:	**Raymond Chabot Inc.** **Attention: Asbestos Corporation Limited** National Bank Tower 600 De La Gauchetière Street West Suite 2000 Montréal, Québec H3B 4L8
By mail, courier or registered mail – U.S.	**Grant Thornton NYC** **Attention: Asbestos Corporation Limited** 757 Third Ave. 9th Floor New York, NY 10017
By facsimile:	**800-711-1070**
By email:	**asbestoscorp@rcgt.com**
Online (on the Monitor's Claims Process Website) only for Existing Asbestos Claims:	**ACLClaims.com**

Each completed Proof of Claim and supporting documents must be sent and received by the Monitor no later than September 10, 2026, being the Claims Bar Date as established by the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate.

If you have any questions regarding the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order or the attached documents, please consult the Monitor's Claims Process Website at ACLClaims.com or contact the Monitor at: asbestoscorp@rcgt.com

Yours very truly,

Montréal, March 11, 2026.

RAYMOND CHABOT INC.
In its capacity as Monitor of the Debtor, and
not in its personal capacity

*****

SCHEDULE G

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT OF FRONTENAC

No.: 235-11-000008-259

SUPERIOR COURT
Commercial Division

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C., 1985, C. C-36, OF:

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED

Debtor/Co-Applicant

-and-

CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON

-and-

TENECOM LIMITED

-and-

THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

-and-

NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED

-and-

THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

CLMI/Co-Applicants

-and-

RAYMOND CHABOT INC.

Monitor

NOTICE TO CLAIMANTS

TAKE NOTICE that on May 6, 2025 (the "**Determination Date**"), the Superior Court of Québec (Commercial Division) (the "**Court**") issued an Initial Order (which was rectified on May 7, 2025, and amended and restated on May 15, 2025, and thereafter from time to time) pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (the "**CCAA**") appointing Raymond Chabot Inc. (the "**Monitor**") to act as Monitor with regard to the entirety of the property, assets, rights, and obligations of Asbestos Corporation Limited (the "**Debtor**").

On December 12, 2025 and January 6, 2026 (as rectified on January 7, 2026), the Court issued two claims bar date orders, which will allow the Debtor and the Monitor to identify all existing claims, including asbestos claims against the Debtor, General Dynamics and/or the Insurers (collectively, the "**Bar Date Orders**").

On March 6, 2026, the Monitor issued the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate.

Capitalized terms in this Notice have the meanings ascribed to them in the Bar Date Orders, which can be found on the Monitor's website, along with summaries of the sales of asbestos by ACL in Canada and the U.S. for the sole purpose of evaluating or advancing your position in the Insolvency Proceedings including for the completion of a proof of claim and all documentation relating to the restructuring process, at the following address: https://www.raymondchabot.com/en/business/public-records/asbestos-corporation/.

Covered by this notice is any person who may have an Existing Asbestos Claim against the Debtor or General Dynamics Corporation or its affiliates and any successors, and/or any of their respective predecessors, successors, current or former employees, directors, officers, agents, representatives, assigns, or any of their respective Insurers, or another type of claim against the Debtor and/or any of its predecessors, current or former employees, directors, officers, agents, representatives, assigns (an "**Other Existing Claim**" and, together with any Existing Asbestos Claim, an "**Existing Claim**"). Any such person should carefully review and comply with the provisions of the applicable Bar Date Orders. In accordance with the Bar Date Orders, any person having an Existing Claim must send a Proof of Claim to the Monitor, to be received by the Monitor **by no later than September 10, 2026** (being the **"Claims Bar Date"** as established by the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate) at one of the following coordinates:

By mail, courier or registered mail – Canada:	**Raymond Chabot Inc.** **Attention: Asbestos Corporation Limited** National Bank Tower 600 De La Gauchetière Street West Suite 2000 Montréal, Québec H3B 4L8
By mail, courier or registered mail – U.S.	**Grant Thornton NYC** **Attention: Asbestos Corporation Limited** 757 Third Ave. 9th Floor New York, NY 10017
By facsimile:	**800-711-1070**
By email:	**asbestoscorp@rcgt.com**
Online (on the Monitor's Claims Process Website) only for Existing Asbestos Claims:	**ACLClaims.com**

Claimants who fail to file a Proof of Claim regarding an Existing Claim with the Monitor (at the contact information listed above) by no later than the Claims Bar Date shall not be entitled to any further notice whatsoever, unless another order is issued by the Court. Moreover, these Claimants (i) shall not be entitled to any further notice; (ii) shall be forever barred from pursuing an Existing Claim; (iii) shall not be entitled to participate as a Claimant in the CCAA Proceedings; (iv) shall not be entitled to vote on any matter in the CCAA Proceedings, including the Plan (if any); (v) shall not be entitled to file an Existing Asbestos Claim or Other Existing Claim; or (vi) shall not be entitled to receive a distribution under the Plan (if any) or under a distribution order approved by the Court.

ANY EXISTING ASBESTOS CLAIM OR OTHER EXISTING CLAIM FOR WHICH A PROOF OF CLAIM IS NOT RECEIVED BY THE CLAIMS BAR DATE SHALL BE FOREVER BARRED AND EXTINGUISHED.

Persons requiring additional information about claims bar process or documents, including the Bar Date Orders and the Instruction Letter, may consult the Monitor's Claims Process Website at ACLClaims.com or may contact the Monitor at the following coordinates: asbestoscorp@rcgt.com.

Montréal, March 11, 2026.

RAYMOND CHABOT INC.
In its capacity as Monitor of the Debtor, and
not in its personal capacity

*****

SCHEDULE H

Raymond Chabot Grant Thornton

Raymond Chabot inc.
Suite 2000
600, De La Gauchetière Street West
Montréal (Québec)
H3B 4L8

PROOF OF CLAIM FORM

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C., 1985, C. C-36, OF ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED

(A Claimant who seeks reimbursement for amounts paid to an Injured Party for an Existing Asbestos Claim that Claimant asserts should have been paid by the Debtor, General Dynamics and/or any of their respective predecessors, successors, current or former employees, directors, officers, agents, representatives, assigns, or any of their respective Insurers, must begin at Part J. All other Claimants must begin at Part A.)

PART A: INJURED PARTY INFORMATION

Last Name:_____

First Name:_____

Middle Initial:_____ Suffix:_____

Date of Birth (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Gender: _____

Social Security Number/Social Insurance Number (as applicable):_____

Foreign Tax ID (if applicable):_____

Estate Tax ID (if applicable):_____

Is the Injured Party deceased: ☐ YES ☐ NO

If so, date of death (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Was death asbestos-related? ☐ YES ☐ NO

Place of Residence (*of the Injured Party, including last known residence if the Injured Party is deceased*)

City:_____

State/Province:_____

Address:_____

Postal Code/ZIP Code:_____

Country:_____

Country of Birth:_____

Email:_____

Telephone No:_____

PART B: RELATED CLAIMANT INFORMATION (*if different than INJURED PARTY*)

Last Name:_____

First Name:_____

Middle Initial:_____ Suffix:_____

Date of Birth (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Social Security Number/Social Insurance Number (as applicable):_____

Foreign Tax ID (if applicable):_____

Estate Tax ID (if applicable):_____

Place of Residence

City:_____

State/Province:_____

Address:_____

Postal Code/ZIP Code:_____

Country:_____

Country of Birth:_____

Email:_____

Telephone No:_____

Relationship to Injured Party:_____

_____

Additional Related Claimants (and use additional copies of this page to provide information above for such claimants):_____

_____

If the Claimant is the succession of a deceased Injured Party, please provide and attach hereto the following applicable documents relating to the Injured Party:

☐ Death certificate

☐ Pathology report or autopsy report

☐ Wills or last testaments and will search reports

PART C: LAW FIRM INFORMATION (*if applicable*)

Are you represented by an attorney in connection with this Existing Asbestos Claim?

☐ YES

☐ NO

(If YES, please provide the following information requested below)

Name of the primary Attorney representing you:_____ Attorney's Address:_____ Attorney's Telephone No:_____ Attorney Email:_____	Name of Law Firm representing you:_____ Firm City:_____ Firm State/Province:_____ Firm Mailing or Street Address:_____ Firm Postal Code/ZIP Code:_____ Firm Telephone No:_____ Firm Telephone No:_____

Other attorneys or Law Firms that represent Claimant with respect to an Existing Asbestos Claim (whether in lawsuits, in making claims against bankruptcy trusts, or otherwise):_____

_____

Do you have a fee arrangement with your attorney(s) for this Existing Asbestos Claim?

☐ YES

☐ NO

(If YES, please check the fee arrangement structure outlined below)

☐ Contingency Fee

☐ Hourly Rate

☐ Flat Rate

☐ Other (please specify):_____

_____

Did your attorney assist you in completing this claim form?

☐ YES

☐ NO

PART D: ASBESTOS-RELATED INJURY INFORMATION ***Please check off all diagnoses that apply to the Injured Party.***	
Has the Injured Party been diagnosed with **Mesothelioma**: ☐ YES ☐ NO Date of first diagnosis of Mesothelioma (mm/dd/yyyy):_____ Name of physician having made the diagnosis: _____	Type of Mesothelioma: ☐ Pleural ☐ Peritoneal ☐ Testicular ☐ Pericardial
Has the Injured Party been diagnosed with **Lung Cancer**: ☐ YES ☐ NO Date of first diagnosis of Lung Cancer (mm/dd/yyyy):_____ Name of physician having made the diagnosis: _____	Please specify how the Lung Cancer diagnosed was linked to asbestos exposure and provide supporting documentation: ☐ Pathology report/biopsy ☐ X-rays/scans indicating asbestos scarring ☐ Other:_____ _____
Has the Injured Party been diagnosed with **another form of cancer**: ☐ YES ☐ NO If yes, please specify the form of cancer: _____ Date of first diagnosis of cancer (mm/dd/yyyy):_____ Name of physician having made the diagnosis: _____	Please specify how the form of asbestos-related cancer diagnosed was linked to asbestos exposure: _____ _____ _____ _____ _____ _____ _____
Has the Injured Party been diagnosed with Asbestosis: ☐ YES ☐ NO Date of first diagnosis of Asbestosis (mm/dd/yyyy):_____ Are you submitting PFT tests/ILO scores from scans as supporting documentation to this form: ☐ YES ☐ NO	

Name of physician having made the diagnosis:_____

Has the Injured Party been diagnosed with another form of disease caused by asbestos exposure: ☐ YES ☐ NO

If yes, please specify the form of disease caused by asbestos exposure:_____

_____

Date of first diagnosis (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Name of physician having made the diagnosis:_____

I have at least one report from a qualified physician confirming the diagnosis of the above-listed asbestos-related diseases that apply to me: ☐ YES ☐ NO

*(Proof of supporting medical documentation, such as diagnoses, treatment records, and imaging scans **must** be attached to the Proof of Claim when submitted).*

Do you consent to the Monitor obtaining additional medical information and documentation, if needed and subject to the Monitor complying with Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA): ☐ YES ☐ NO

PART E1: ASBESTOS EXPOSURE HISTORY

This Part E1 should be filled out for each location of alleged exposure to asbestos produced or supplied by the Debtor. If more than one location is applicable, fill out Part E1 separately for each location. You can replicate this Part E1 as many times as necessary.

Does the Injured Party allege exposure to asbestos **produced or supplied by the Debtor**:

☐ YES ☐ NO

(If yes, please fill out the information requested below)

Name of location of alleged exposure (only one):_____

_____

_____

Type of alleged asbestos exposure at this location *(Check only one)*:

☐ Occupational Exposure (Injured Party experienced direct exposure or secondary exposure to asbestos **produced or supplied by the Debtor** because of their job, whether full-time or part-time)

☐ Non-Occupational Exposure (Injured Party experienced direct exposure to asbestos **produced or supplied by the Debtor** for reasons unrelated to their job)

☐ Take Home Exposure (Injured Party alleges contact with someone who experienced direct Occupational or Non-Occupational exposure ("**Primary Exposed Person**") to asbestos **produced or supplier by the Debtor**)

(*Based upon the box checked above, fill out only one of the below sections associated with the above checked box: Occupational Exposure, Non-Occupational Exposure or Take-Home Exposure.*)

Occupational Exposure (if applicable)

Please provide the below requested information for the Injured Party's alleged Occupational Exposure to asbestos **produced or supplied by the Debtor**:

Location of Occupational Exposure (must be same location listed at beginning of Part E1):___

_____

Employer name:_____

_____

Dates of employment with Employer:

Begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

End (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Union name, number and location (if applicable):_____

_____

Dates worked at location:

Begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

End (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Address of location:

City:_____

State/Province:_____

Street Address:_____

_____

Country:_____

Industry Code(s) of the Employer (see table on next page):_____

Industry Codes

10. Asbestos mining	24. Petrochemical
11. Aerospace/aviation	25. Insulation
12. Asbestos abatement	27. Railroad
13. Automobile/mechanical friction	30. Shipyard-construction/repair
16. Chemical	31. Textile
17. Construction trades	32. Tire/rubber
18. Iron/steel	33. Utilities
19. Longshore	34. Asbestos products manufacturer
20. Maritime	36. Building occupant
21. Military	37. Other
23. Non-asbestos products manufacturing	

Indicate circumstances of exposure to asbestos products or activities (check all that are applicable):

☐ Injured Party handled raw asbestos fibers distributed, supplied, or sold, or otherwise placed into the stream of commerce by the Debtor on a regular basis (direct)

☐ Injured Party manufactured asbestos-containing products such that the Injured Party in the manufacturing process was exposed on a regular basis to raw asbestos fibers distributed, supplied, or sold, or otherwise placed into the stream of commerce by the Debtor (direct)

☐ Injured Party altered, repaired or otherwise worked with an asbestos-containing product such that the Injured Party was exposed on a regular basis to asbestos fibers distributed, supplied, or sold, or otherwise placed into the stream of commerce by the Debtor (direct)

☐ Injured Party was employed in an industry or occupation such that the Injured Party worked on a regular basis in close proximity to workers who did one or more of the above three activities (secondary)

Occupation of Injured Party:_____

Type of work performed by Injured Party:_____

_____

_____

List of the asbestos-containing product(s) to which the Injured Party was exposed:_____

_____

_____

_____

(Please provide all supporting evidence and documentation in support of this section, including, if applicable, social security records, work history records, affidavits, depositions from company confirming existing of ACL product, etc.)

Please fill out the below section for each asbestos containing product listed above:

Product #1:

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from the above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Occupational Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Occupation Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

Product #2 (if applicable):

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from the above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Occupational Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Occupation Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

Product #3 (if applicable):

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from the above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Occupational Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Occupation Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

(if more products are at issue for this location, please attach an addendum with the above listed information for each product)

Non-Occupational Exposure (if applicable)

Please provide the below requested information for the Injured Party's alleged Non-Occupational Exposure to asbestos **produced or supplied by the Debtor**:

Location of Non-Occupational Exposure (must be the same location listed at beginning of Part E1):_____

Address of location:

City:_____

State/Province:_____

Street Address:_____

_____

Country:_____

List of the asbestos-containing product(s) to which Injured Party was exposed:_____

_____

_____

(Please provide all supporting evidence and documentation in support of this section, including, if applicable, social security records, work history records, affidavits, depositions from company confirming existing of ACL product, etc.)

Please fill out the below for each asbestos-containing product listed above:

Product #1:

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from the above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Non-Occupational Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Non-Occupational Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

Product #2 (if appliable):

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from the above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Non-Occupational Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Non-Occupational Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

Product #3 (if applicable):

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from the above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Non-Occupational Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Non-Occupational Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

(if more products are at issue for this location, please attach an addendum with above listed information for each product)

Take Home Exposure *(if applicable):*

Please provide the below requested information for the Injured Party's alleged take-home exposure, to asbestos **produced or supplied by the Debtor**, via contact with the Primary Exposed Person:

Location of Take-Home Exposure (must be same location listed at beginning of Part E1):_____

_____

Dates of exposure to Injured Party:

Begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

End (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Address of location:

City:_____

State/Province:_____

Street Address:_____

_____

Country:_____

Name of Primary Exposed Person that allegedly had contact with the Injured Party:_____

Relationship of Primary Exposed Person to the Injured Party:_____

Describe in detail how the Injured Party was exposed to asbestos produced or supplied by the Debtor via the Primary Exposed Person:_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

(Please provide all supporting evidence and documentation in support of this section, including, if applicable, social security records, work history records, affidavits, depositions from company confirming existing of ACL product, etc.)

List the location(s) where the Primary Exposed Person was allegedly directly exposed to asbestos **produced or supplied by the Debtor** and then took home to the Injured Party:_____

_____

_____

*(**For <u>each location</u> listed above, please fill out the below regarding the Primary Exposed Person. If more than one location is applicable, attach an addendum, with the below requested information, separately for each location.)***

Location of exposure to Primary Exposed Person (only one from above):_____

_____

Fill out below information if there is alleged Occupational Exposure to the Primary Exposed Person (if alleged Non-Occupational Exposure to Primary Exposed Person, please leave blank):

Employer name:_____

Dates of employment with Employer:

Begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

End (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Union name, number and location (if applicable):_____

Industry Code(s) of the Employer (see table above):_____

Indicate circumstances of exposure to asbestos products or activities (check all that are applicable):

☐ Primary Exposed Person handled raw asbestos fibers distributed, supplied, or sold, or otherwise placed into the stream of commerce by the Debtor on a regular basis (direct)

☐ Primary Exposed Person manufactured asbestos-containing products such that the Injured Party in the manufacturing process was exposed on a regular basis to raw asbestos fibers distributed, supplied, or sold, or otherwise placed into the stream of commerce by the Debtor (direct)

☐ Primary Exposed Person altered, repaired or otherwise worked with an asbestos-containing product such that the Injured Party was exposed on a regular basis to asbestos fibers distributed, supplied, or sold, or otherwise placed into the stream of commerce by the Debtor (direct)

Occupation of the Primary Exposed Person:_____

Type of work performed by the Primary Exposed Person:_____

_____

_____

Fill out for both Occupational Exposure and Non-Occupational Exposure to Primary Exposed Person:

Dates worked/present at Location of exposure to Primary Exposed Person:

Begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

End (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Address of Location:

City:_____

State/Province:_____

Street Address:_____

_____

Country:_____

List of the asbestos-containing product(s) to which Primary Exposed Person was exposed:__

_____

_____

_____

(Please provide all supporting evidence and documentation in support of this section, including, if applicable, social security records, work history records, affidavits, depositions from company confirming existing of ACL product, etc.)

Please fill out the below for each asbestos containing product listed above:

Product #1:

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Occupational/Non-Occupational Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Occupation/Non-Occupational Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

Product #2 (if applicable):

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Occupational/Non-Occupational Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Occupation/Non-Occupational Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

Product #3 (if applicable):

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Occupational/Non-Occupational Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Occupation/Non-Occupational Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

(if more products are at issue for this location, please attach an addendum with the above listed information for each product)

PART E2: OTHER ASBESTOS EXPOSURE HISTORY

Does the Injured Party allege exposure to asbestos from **other asbestos sources that were not produced or supplied by the Debtor**:

☐ YES ☐ NO

(If yes, please fill out the information requested below)

List all asbestos-containing product(s) to which the Injured Party was exposed from **other asbestos sources that were not produced or supplied by the Debtor** (including all Occupational Exposure, Non-Occupational Exposure and Take Home Exposure):_____

_____

_____

_____

(Please provide all supporting evidence and documentation in support of this section, including, if applicable, social security records, work history records, affidavits, and depositions regarding exposure to all other asbestos sources that were not produced by the Debtor.)

Please fill out the below section for each asbestos containing product listed above:

Product #1:

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from the above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

Location of exposure (for occupational exposure, please provide employer name; for non-occupational and take-home exposure please provide name of location of exposure):_____

Address of location:

City:_____

State/Province:_____

Street Address:_____

_____

Country:_____

Product #2 (if applicable):

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from the above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

Location of exposure (for occupational exposure, please provide employer name; for non-occupational and take-home exposure please provide name of location of exposure):_____

Address of location:

City:_____

State/Province:_____

Street Address:_____

_____

Country:_____

Product #3 (if applicable):

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from the above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

Location of exposure (for occupational exposure, please provide employer name; for non-occupational and take-home exposure please provide name of location of exposure):_____

Address of location:

City:_____

State/Province:_____

Street Address:_____

_____

Country:_____

Product #4 (if applicable):

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from the above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

Location of exposure (for occupational exposure, please provide employer name; for non-occupational and take-home exposure please provide name of location of exposure):_____

Address of location:

City:_____

State/Province:_____

Street Address:_____

_____

Country:_____

Product #5 (if applicable):

Type of asbestos-containing product at issue from the above list:_____

_____

Product brand name:_____

Description of the handling and/or use of product that allegedly caused exposure to asbestos:_____

_____

_____

Exposure date begin (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Exposure date end (mm/dd/yyyy)_____

Location of exposure (for occupational exposure, please provide employer name; for non-occupational and take-home exposure please provide name of location of exposure):_____

Address of location:

City:_____

State/Province:_____

Street Address:_____

_____

Country:_____

(if more products are listed at the beginning of Part E2, please attach an addendum with the above listed information for each product)

PART F: SMOKING AND/OR VAPING HISTORY

Injured Party has smoked cigarettes, e-cigarettes, vapes, marijuana or any other product:

☐ YES ☐ NO

If yes, list the name brand of the product(s) smoked by the Injured Party:_____

_____

If yes, for how many years did the Injured Party smoke: _____

If yes, list the number of packs of cigarettes (approximately) the Injured Party smoked per week:_____

Did the Injured Party ever smoke Kent cigarettes? : ☐ YES ☐ NO

If yes, list the years that the Injured Party smoked Kent cigarettes: _____

If yes, list the number of packs of Kent cigarettes (approximately) that the Injured Party smoked per week: _____

PART G: INJURED PARTY ECONOMIC LOSS INFORMATION

Was/Has the Injured Party fully retired (i.e. is no longer permanently working)? ☐ YES ☐ NO	If yes, date of retirement (dd/mm/yyyy): _____

If *not* retired, answer the following for current activity (or activity at Injured Party's time of death); if *retired*, answer the following for activity at retirement date:

Occupation(s):_____

Industry(ies):_____

State/Province:_____

Was the Injured Party employed at the time of diagnosis? ☐ YES ☐ NO

If yes, answer the following:

Occupation(s):_____

Industry(ies):_____

Planned date of retirement, but for diagnosis (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Did the Injured Party leave employment after the diagnosis? ☐ YES ☐ NO

If yes, date on which the Injured Party left employment (mm/dd/yyyy):_____

Does the Injured Party allege lost wages, lost Social Security or lost pension? ☐ YES ☐ NO

*If yes, please specify the amount:*_____

Does the Injured Party allege lost household services? ☐ YES ☐ NO

*If yes, please specify the amount:*_____

Does the Injured Party seek to recover medical expenses? ☐ YES ☐ NO

*If yes, please specify the amount:*_____

Does the Injured Party allege any economic loss other than lost wages, lost household services and medical expenses? ☐ YES ☐ NO

*If yes, please specify the amount and describe the economic loss:*_____

_____

The Injured Party's current Marital Status, or status as of death date, if deceased (check one):
☐ Single, Never Married ☐ Married

☐ Civil Union ☐ Divorced ☐ Widowed ☐ Marriage Annulled ☐ Legally Separated

☐ Other (Specify):_____

If married or in civil union, age of spouse:_____

Number of dependants of the Injured Party, their age(s), and whether they have any disability:

_____

_____

(Please provide all supporting evidence and documentation in support of this section, including, if applicable, payroll records, invoices, bills of payment, etc.)

PART H: LAWSUITS AND OTHER CLAIMS BASED ON THE INJURED PARTY'S ASBESTOS CLAIM

I have, or someone else has, filed a claim or submitted a proof of claim or any other documentation for the same injury that I am claiming:

☐ YES ☐ NO

Please list all bankruptcy or asbestos trusts or claims resolution facilities against which a claim for injury has been submitted, and please indicate whether the claim has been approved and whether payment has been received or not for such approved claim:

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

Provide the details regarding each payment received by the claimant (e.g., amount and date, etc.) and the bankruptcy or asbestos trust or claims resolution facility from which payment was received on account of the Injured Party's injury and the total aggregate payments received:

Provide the details regarding each payment received by the claimant from all entities that are not asbestos trusts (e.g., amount and date, etc.) and the non-trust entity from which it was received, such as tort system defendants, on account of the Injured Party's injury and the total aggregate payments received:

Please specify the named defendants against which you have filed a lawsuit(s) for asbestos-related personal injury or wrongful death, the status of this claim(s)/lawsuit(s), and, if applicable, any payment amount and date:_____

_____

_____

_____

What is the capacity of the claimant in such lawsuit(s): ☐ Injured Party ☐ Personal Representative/Executor ☐ Dependant ☐ Spouse of Injured Party ☐ Wrongful Death Claimant ☐ Other (please specify):_____

State(s)/Province(s) of lawsuits:_____

Court:_____

Case Number/Docket Number:_____

Date first filed (dd/mm/yyyy):_____

Has this claim either been resolved either in whole or in part by a trial? ☐ YES ☐ NO

If yes, please provide the following information:

Was a verdict entered? ☐ YES ☐ NO

If a verdict was entered, please specify:

When was the verdict entered (dd/mm/yyyy):_____

Was it a plaintiff verdict or a defense verdict: ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defense

If it was a plaintiff verdict, please indicate which Defendants were found liable, what the allocation of fault or damages was, where there was a monetary award to plaintiffs and for how much, and whether the case is on appeal:_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

Please list any other entities against which a claim for asbestos-related personal injury or wrongful death has been asserted outside of judicial proceedings or trust processes, or against which you or your legal counsel intend to assert a claim under an administrative agreement:

_____

_____

_____

_____

PART I: PARTY OR PARTIES AGAINST WHICH THE EXISTING ASBESTOS CLAIM IS MADE

☐ Asbestos Corporation Limited ("Debtor")

☐ General Dynamics

☐ Directors and Officers of the Debtor

☐ Directors and Officers of General Dynamics

☐ Insurers

PART J: ONLY FOR CLAIMANT SEEKING REIMBURSEMENT OF AMOUNTS PAID TO AN INJURED PARTY

(This section ONLY applies to a Claimant who seeks reimbursement for amounts paid to an Injured Party for an Existing Asbestos Claim that Claimant asserts should have been paid by the Debtor, General Dynamics and/or any of their respective predecessors, successors, current or former employees, directors, officers, agents, representatives, assigns, or any of their respective Insurers. All other Claimants do not need to fill out this section.)

Please provide the following information for each Injured Party for which Claimant seeks reimbursement of amounts paid to such Injured Party for an Existing Asbestos Claim that Claimant asserts should have been paid by the Debtor, General Dynamics and/or any of their respective predecessors, successors, current or former employees, directors, officers, agents, representatives, assigns, or any of their respective Insurers:

	Full Name of Injured Party	Amount Awarded to Injured Party	Amount Actually Paid by Claimant to Injured Party
1)			
2)			
3)			
4)			

(*If needed, please attach an addendum for additional Injured Parties.*)

Please list all causes of action(s) that Claimant asserts as its basis for reimbursement for amounts paid to an Injured Party for an Existing Asbestos Claim that Claimant asserts should have been paid by the Debtor, General Dynamics and/or any of their respective predecessors, successors, current or former employees, directors, officers, agents, representatives, assigns, or any of their respective Insurers: _____

_____

_____

_____

Please explain the factual basis upon which Claimant asserts it should be reimbursed for amounts paid to an Injured Party for an Existing Asbestos Claim that Claimant asserts should have been paid by the Debtor, General Dynamics and/or any of their respective predecessors, successors, current or former employees, directors, officers, agents, representatives, assigns, or any of their respective Insurers: _____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

(*Please also complete Part B - Part C, Part I, and Part K with the Claimant's information.*)

(*Please also replicate and complete Part A, and Part D – Part H of the Proof of Claim form for each Injured Party for which Claimant seeks reimbursement.*)

PART K: FINAL CHECK LIST

Please confirm that the following supporting documentation and evidence, to the extent applicable and available, has been filed in support of this Proof of Claim. **Please refer to Section 4 of the Instruction Letter to Claimant for the full detailed list of supporting documentation.**

☐ All depositions taken in any lawsuits listed that relate in any way to the Claimant's alleged exposures to asbestos or asbestos-containing products;

☐ All written discovery (including interrogatories and requests for admission) answered on behalf of the Claimant in any of the lawsuits listed in the Proof of Claim;

☐ All expert reports produced by any party in a lawsuit listed in the Proof of Claim;

☐ Social Security printout and copy of union, employment or work-history records evidencing the Claimant's alleged exposure to the Debtor's asbestos (where available) and any other relevant evidence of exposure to the Debtor's asbestos;

☐ Copy of medical records (or autopsy report) confirming diagnosis and establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the disease;

☐ Evidence establishing the latency period between initial exposure and diagnosis;

☐ Any other medical evidence;

☐ Form for authorization to release records of other asbestos trusts and claims resolution facilities (see Part L below); and

☐ Any other relevant evidence.

PART L: ASBESTOS CLAIM AND CLAIM CERTIFICATION

In submitting this proof of claim, you authorize the Monitor to obtain any and all information, documents and other records ("**Records**") submitted by or on your behalf in any other asbestos-related personal injury trusts or claim processes, as well as any worker compensation submissions, in Canada, the United States, or any other jurisdiction ("**Other Trusts**"), and authorizes the Other Trusts to release such Records to the Monitor. Please also sign the attached form for authorization to release records of other asbestos trusts and claims resolution facilities.

The following is mandatory:	☐ I am aware of all the circumstances surrounding the claim referred to in this form and certify that all facts contained herein are true and correct ☐ I acknowledge that the Monitor may disallow the entirety of my claim in the event that this proof of claim includes a false statement or a misrepresentation

Claimant's signature block (this signature must be provided and entered by the claimant)

Signed in _____, this _____ day of

_____ 2026

_____ _____

Witness Claimant

Print Witness' name: _____

I SWEAR, UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, THAT, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, ALL OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDED AND CONTAINED IN THIS PROOF OF CLAIM IS TRUE, ACCURATE AND COMPLETE AS OF THE DATE HEREOF

Instructions for completing the Proof of Claim:

Before completing this form, please refer to the Instruction Letter to Claimants, available on the Monitor's Claims Process Website at ACLClaims.com.

The completed Proof of Claim and supporting documents must be sent to and received by the Monitor by email, regular mail, courier, or registered mail or filed online to the coordinates below no later than **September 10, 2026 at 5:00 PM Eastern Time.**

By mail, courier or registered mail - Canada:	**Raymond Chabot Inc.** **Attention: Asbestos Corporation Limited** National Bank Tower 600 De La Gauchetière Street West Suite 2000 Montréal, Québec H3B 4L8
By mail, courier or registered mail – U.S.	**Grant Thornton NYC** **Attention: Asbestos Corporation Limited** 757 Third Ave. 9th Floor New York, NY 10017
By facsimile:	**800-711-1070**
By email:	**asbestoscorp@rcgt.com**
Online (on the Monitor's Claims Process Website) only for Existing Asbestos Claims:	**ACLClaims.com**

CLAIMANTS WHO FAIL TO FILE A PROOF OF CLAIM AND DOCUMENTATION IN SUPPORT THEREOF ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 10, 2026, WILL BE FOREVER BARRED FROM ASSERTING AN EXISTING ASBESTOS CLAIM AND FROM PARTICIPATING IN THE RESTRUCTURING.

In completing your Proof of Claim, particular attention should be paid to the notes in the margins of the form and to the following instructions:

a) The Proof of Claim must be completed and signed by an individual, not a corporation. If you are acting for or on behalf of a corporation or another person, you must state your position or duties with the corporation or other person (for example, "*director of accounts*", "*monitor*", "*authorized agent*", etc.);

b) The person signing the Proof of Claim must be the Claimant and have personal knowledge of the facts surrounding the Existing Asbestos Claim. An attorney or other representative cannot sign a Proof of Claim on behalf of a Claimant;

c) This Proof of Claim must be supported by a statement of account, and an affidavit, or statutory declaration containing the particulars of the Existing Asbestos Claim, which must be identified as "Schedule A";

The person signing the Proof of Claim must sign before a witness, indicating the place and date, or respect the instructions for online submission on the Monitor's Claims Process Website if the Proof of Claim is filed online.

Montréal, March 11, 2026.

RAYMOND CHABOT INC.
In its capacity as Monitor of the Debtor, and
not in its personal capacity

**

FORM FOR AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE RECORDS OF OTHER ASBESTOS TRUSTS AND CLAIMS RESOLUTION FACILITIES

(Complement to Part L of the Proof of Claim)

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

The undersigned Claimant hereby authorizes any and all asbestos trusts, asbestos bankruptcy trusts, claims resolution facilities, or similar asbestos-related claims processes, whether now existing or hereafter created, in the United States or Canada (collectively, the "**Other Asbestos Trusts and Claims Resolution Facilities**"), to provide directly to Raymond Chabot Inc., in its capacity as court-appointed monitor (the "**Monitor**") in the restructuring proceedings of Asbestos Corporation Limited under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Ac*t, all submissions made by Claimant and (if different from Claimant) the party whose injury forms the basis of the claim (the "**Injured Party**"), including claim forms, any attachments to claim forms, and any amended or supplemental claim forms. Claimant expressly acknowledges that the Other Asbestos Trust or Claims Resolution Facility may provide such documents directly to the Monitor and need not obtain any further authorization from the Claimant or his/her representatives.

A copy (including an electronic or scanned copy) of this Authorization shall be as valid as the original. This Authorization contains no expiration date and may be relied upon and exercised by the Monitor at any time.

Name of Claimant: _____

Social Insurance Number / Social Security No.: _____

Date of Birth: _____

Name of Injured Party (if different from Claimant): _____

Social Insurance Number / Social Security No.: _____

Date of Birth: _____

Name of Representative for Claimant or Injured Party (if applicable): _____

Claimant's signature block (this signature must be provided and entered by the claimant)

Signed in _____, this _____ day of _____ 2026

_____ _____

Witness Claimant

Print Witness' name: _____

SCHEDULE I

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Sales Reports for U.S. and Canadian Clients

Contextual Note

The sales reports of Asbestos Corporation Limited (“ACL”) for U.S. clients for the period 1955 to 1985 and for Canadian clients for the period of 1960 to 1980, are published by the court-appointed Monitor, Raymond Chabot Inc., as part of the proceedings of ACL under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act ("CCAA"), and the recognition proceedings pursuant to Chapter 15 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court (collectively with the CCAA proceedings, the "Insolvency Proceedings"").

These reports are made available pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order issued by the Superior Court of Québec (Commercial Division) supervising the CCAA proceedings on January 6, 2026, solely for the purpose of enabling potential claimants to assess or assert their rights in the Insolvency Proceedings, including the preparation and submission of a Proof of Claim in accordance with the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Disclaimer and Limitations

The information provided herein consist of a summary of ACL annual sales records for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985 and for Canadian clients from 1960 to 1980. Due to the quality of the original documents, records were difficult to interpret. In certain instances, the aggregate amounts reflected in the annual sales register differ from the sum of individual client totals presented in the report.

The work performed by the Monitor does not constitute an audit or review of the financial informations in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards established by CPA Canada or by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). No audit work or procedures were undertaken by the Monitor and, accordingly, no assurance or opinion is expressed on this financial information.

The published reports:
- Are based exclusively on records provided by ACL and documents available to the Monitor as of the date of publication.
- May contain omissions, errors, or inaccuracies, due to the historical nature of the documents, variable quality of archives, and inherent limitations of commercial documentation from the periods.
- Do not constitute an acknowledgment, admission or validation of the existence, nature, or extent of any individual’s exposure to ACL asbestos fiber.

Access to Additional Information

Additional details are available in electronic format, subject to the execution of a Confidentiality and Restricted Use of Information Undertaking (the "Confidentiality Agreement"). Specifically, the annual sales registers from 1955 to 1985 (U.S.) and from 1960 to 1980 (Canada) which contain for each year:

- Sales by client and by location (city and state/province);
- Quantities sold (in tons) by grade type;
- Sales amounts (in dollars) by grade type.

An Excel spreadsheet containing annual sales data (tons and dollars) for each year from 1955 to 1985 (U.S.) and from 1960 to 1980 (Canada) is also available, subject to the execution of the Confidentiality Agreement.

No electronic records are available to establish quantities sold by clients prior to 1955 in the U.S. and prior to 1960 in Canada. However, the annual sales registers containing sales information by client for the years 1932 to 1952 in the U.S. and 1932 to 1959 (other than 1953 and 1954) in Canada are available in paper format for on-site consultation at ACL's warehouse in Thetford Mines, Québec. Interested parties may schedule an appointment for such review, subject to the execution of the Confidentiality Agreement and of a written protocol acceptable in form and substance to ACL and the Monitor governing any access to ACL's premises and handling of these other documents available in paper format only.

Any party may request a copy of the Confidentiality Agreement or other information by contacting the Monitor by email at asbestoscorp@rcgt.com.

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
1	A E Starkie Company (Starkie Company)	Chicago	Illinois	1932-39; 43-52	-	-
2	A H Bennett & Co.	Minneapolis	Minnesota	1940-52; 55-63; 65; 67-72	40 693	912
3	A O Smith Corp.	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	1941-44; 47-49	-	-
4	A P Green Fire Brick Co.	Mexico	Missouri	1964	1 584	40
5	A R Zacher Co.	Fresno	California	1968; 71	3 131	71
6	A & H Paint Co.	Columbus	Ohio	1946-52; 55-57; 59-60; 62-66; 68-73	40 153	923
7	Abesto Mfg. Corp.	Michigan City	Indiana	1 964	1 161	30
8	Abex Corp.	Chicago	Illinois	1970-73	114 294	597
9	Abex Corp.	Salisbury	North Carolina	1976-77; 82; 85	93 522	346
10	Abex Corp.	Winchester	Virginia	1983-86	707 655	1 990
11	Abex Corp., American Brakeblok Div.	Unknown	Unknown	1 983	18 480	60
12	Abex Corp., American Brakeblok Div.	Winchester	Virginia	1966-82	3 818 264	27 347
13	Abex Corp., Rail Prod. Div.	Mahwah	New Jersey	1967-71; 77-78	123 643	1 027
14	Ace Asbestos Co.	Jersey City	New Jersey	1946-52	-	-
15	Ace Asbestos Mfg. Co.	Brooklyn	New York	1 941	-	-
16	Ace Asbestos Mfg. Co.	Jersey City	New Jersey	1955-60	51 653	1 451
17	Ace Rubber Co.	Akron	Ohio	1 960	738	20
18	Acheson Graphite Corp.	Niagara Falls	New York	1 933	-	-
19	Acme Resin Corporation	Forest Park	Illinois	1971-78	92 420	1 433
20	Acorn Paints Chemicals	Cleveland	Ohio	1 964	1 211	30
21	Acousti Engineering Co.	Atlanta	Georgia	1948; 1950; 1952; 57; 65	2 452	34
22	Adamo Insulations Inc.	Tampa	Floride	1962-63	1 287	32
23	Addex Manufacturing Co.	Wickliffe	Ohio	1 966	3 807	90
24	Aeroquip Corporation	Barrington	Illinois	1968-73; 79-85	62 022	92
25	Aher Spring & Tire Corp.	Oakland	California	1 948	-	-
26	Albert E. Starkie (Starkie, Albert E.)	Oak Park	Illinois	1 939	-	-
27	Alexander Stafford Corp.	Grand Rapids	Michigan	1947-52; 56-59; 62	6 484	150
28	Allbestos Corporation	Germantown	Pennsylvania	1931; 1936-39	-	-
29	Allied Chemical Corporation	Cheshire	Connecticut	1972-74; 76-77	19 776	260
30	Allied Chemical Corporation	Toledo	Ohio	1971-76	48 955	898
31	Allied Materials Corp.	Detroit	Michigan	1 947	-	-
32	Allpax Company Inc.	Mamaroneck	New York	1944-48; 50-52; 55-68; 71-75; 77-83	91 562	116
33	Aluminum Industries	Cincinnati	Ohio	1955-56; 58	861	14
34	Amatex (American Asbestos Textile Corp.)	Meredith	New Hampshire	1968-81	-	-
35	Amatex (American Asbestos Textile Corp.)	Norristown	Pennsylvania	1962-81	-	-
36	Amchem Products Inc.	Dallas	Texas	1 974	3 501	70
37	Amcoworks (H.K. Porter Co. Inc.)	Huntington	Indiana	1961-71	-	-
38	American Asbestos Company	Norristown	Pennsylvania	1931; 36-42	-	-
39	American Asbestos Industries	Berkeley Heights	New Jersey	1946-51	-	-
40	American Asbestos Textile Corp.	Norristown	Pennsylvania	1951-52; 55-61	797 806	2 055
41	American Asbestos Textile Corp. (Amatex)	Meredith	New Hampshire	1962-81	1 337 525	2 310
42	American Asbestos Textile Cor. Amatex	Norristown	Pennsylvania	1957; 62-81	1 275 623	2 302
43	American Asphalt Paint Co.	Lincoln	New Jersey	1 938	-	-
44	American Biltrite	Trenton	New Jersey	1 978	2 268	21
45	American Bitumuls Company	Baltimore	Maryland	1937-39	-	-
46	American Bitumuls Company	Curtis Bay	Maryland	1942-52	-	-
47	American Bitumuls Company	Inglewood	California	1942-47	-	-
48	American Bitumuls Company	Oakland	California	1942-48	-	-
49	American Bitumuls Company	San Francisco	California	1955-57	8 478	200
50	American Bitumuls & Asphalt Co.	Columbus	Ohio	1955-59	6 310	158

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
52	American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co.	New York	New York	1936-38	-	-
53	American Brakeblok	Detroit	Michigan	1933-52	-	-
54	American Brakeshoe Company	Winchester	Virginia	1955-65	1 921 285	20 264
55	American Cyanamid & Co	New York	New York	1941-42; 44-45	-	-
56	American Felt Company	Glenville	Connecticut	1943-44	-	-
57	American Heating & Plumbing Supply Co. Inc.	Porland	Maine	1 934	-	-
58	American Heating & Plumbing Supply Co. Inc.	Worcester	Massachusetts	1 931	-	-
59	American Hose & Rubber Co	Seattle	Washington	1 967	-	0
60	American Insulation Company	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1 931	-	-
61	American Insulator Corp.	New Freedom	Pennsylvania	1931-49; 1951; 55; 57; 63	2 147	31
62	American Liberty Auto Parts Co.	San Yasidro	California	1950-51	-	-
63	American Lubricants Co.	Buffalo	New York	1 940	-	-
64	American Lubricants Co.	Dayton	Ohio	1939-43; 46-52; 55-75	208 115	4 095
65	American Marietta Co., Prestite Div.	Jackson	Michigan	1959-63	577 653	14 913
66	American Marietta Co., Prestite Div	St. Louis	Missouri	1961-63	25 566	754
67	American Masons Supply Co.	Hartford	Connecticut	1941-42	-	-
68	American Oil & Paint Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1938-52; 56-58	5 460	120
69	American Petrofina Co.	Dallas	Texas	1 972	-	-
70	American Petrofina Co.	Mt. Pleasant	Texas	1962-74	48 535	1 110
71	American Rock Wool Corp.	Wabash	Indiana	1945-47	-	-
72	American Steel & Wire Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1 937	-	-
73	American Tar Co.	Seattle	Washington	1956-71	56 942	1 295
74	American Tile Co.	Lisbon	Maine	1 961	-	0
75	Amesbury Fibre Corp.	Amesbu	Massachusetts	1967-68	3 048	30
76	Anchor Rubber Products Inc.	Cleveland	Ohio	1934; 1936	-	-
77	Anderson Brick & Supply Company	New York	New York	1 935	-	-
78	Anti-Friction Devices Inc.	Brookville	Pennsylvania	1 966	2 613	30
79	Aquair Corp.	Unknown	North Carolina	1983-84	4 332	4
80	Arco Manufacturing Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1 931	-	-
81	Arco Paints & Industrial Coatings	Cleveland	Ohio	1 964	1 843	30
82	Arenco Inc.	Dallas	Texas	1 966	1 681	50
83	Armstrong Cork Company	Baltimore	Maryland	1 951	-	-
84	Armstrong Cork Company	Camden	New Jersey	1 932	-	-
85	Armstrong Cork Company	Fulton	New York	1 975	11 726	76
86	Armstrong Cork Company	Lancaster	Pennsylvania	1931-52; 55-72; 74	478 055	5 387
87	Armstrong Paint & Varnish Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1931; 1935-52; 55-66	68 764	2 030
88	Arrow Head Insulating Co.	Midvale	Utah	1 937	-	-
89	Arrow-Hart & Hegeman Electric Co.	Hartford	Connecticut	1931-48	-	-
90	Arthur C. Mangels Industries	Phoenixville	Pennsylvania	1 950	-	-
91	Arthur D. Little (Little, Arthur D.)	Unknown	Massachusetts	1 984	-	0
92	Arthur S. Lapine & Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1 955	951	30
93	Asano Bussan Co. Ltd.	New York	New York	1931; 33-41	-	-
94	Asbestone Corporation	New Orleans	Louisiana	1946-52	-	-
95	Asbestos Asphalt & Insulation Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1951-52; 55-58	18 391	507
96	Asbestos Company of California	San Francisco	California	1 945	-	-
97	Asbestos Company of Texas	Houston	Texas	1946-51	-	-
98	Asbestos Corporation of America	New York	New York	1931-34; 41-42; 44-50; 62	1 924	45
99	Asbestos Distributors Corp.	Bridgeport	Connecticut	1948; 50-52; 55-56	7 236	175
100	Asbestos Distributors Co.	Port Chester	New York	1947-52; 55	1 287	30
101	Asbestos Insulating Company	Norristown	Pennsylvania	1 937	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
102	Asbestos Insulating Materials Co.	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	1931-39	-	-
103	Asbestos International Corp.	Jersey City	New Jersey	1 947	-	-
104	Asbestos Limited Inc.	New York	New York	1931-48	-	-
105	Asbestos Mfg. Company	Huntington	Indiana	1934-52; 55-60	160 316	2 190
106	Asbestos Products Company	Minn. Trans.	Minnesota	1 969	1 562	28
107	Asbestos Products Corp.	St. Paul	Minnesota	1 931	-	-
108	Asbestos Products Mfg. Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1955; 63	1 998	50
109	Asbestos Products Mfg. Co.	Newark	New Jersey	1 947	-	-
110	Asbestos Service Co.	Youngstown	Ohio	1943; 45; 47-52; 55	1 946	40
111	Asbestos Specialties	Detroit	Michigan	1 974	1 197	20
112	Asbestos Supply Co.	Akron	Ohio	1 951	-	-
113	Asbestos Supply & Mfg. Co.	Cincinnati	Ohio	1940-41	-	-
114	Asbestos Textile Company	New York	New York	1 932	-	-
115	Asbestos Textile Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1933-34; 41; 47; 64-68	192 947	1 220
116	Asbestos Textile Co.	N. Brookfield	Massachusetts	1935-39; 69-74	134 700	676
117	Asbestos & Insulation Co.	Charleston	West Virginia	1 948	-	-
118	Asphalt Blended	Unknown	Ohio	1984-86	10 620	60
119	Associated Industries Inc.	San Mateo	California	1945; 47	-	-
120	Associated Laboratories	Indianapolis	Indiana	1932-36	-	-
121	Associated Manufacturers & Dist.	San Francisco	California	1 944	-	-
122	Associated Sales Engineers	Washington	District of Columbia	1 948	-	-
123	Asten-Hill Mfg. Co.	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1933-35; 38-52; 55-61; 64-69	883 250	2 195
124	Atlantic Tinsmith Supply Co.	Brooklyn	New York	1948-50	-	-
125	Atlas Asbestos Company	North Wales	Pennsylvania	1934-35	-	-
126	Atlas Minerai Products Co. of PA	Mertztown	Pennsylvania	1947-48	-	-
127	Augusta Hardware & Plumbing Supply	Augusta	Maine	1 941	-	-
128	Auto Friction Corp.	Lawrence	Massachusetts	1 964	7 722	195
129	B A S F Wyandotte Corp.	Geismar	Louisiana	1 974	1 238	3
130	B F Goodrich Company	Akron	Ohio	1931-52; 55-57; 59-60; 64-65; 71; 78	159 658	660
131	B F Goodrich Footwear & Flooring	Watertown	Massachusetts	1 957	41 460	1 000
132	B F Nelson Mfg. Company	Minneapolis	Minnesota	1937-38; 55; 62-63	2 355	55
133	B & S Brake Lining Co.	Paterson	New Jersey	1 946	-	-
134	Babbitt Barber Asphalt Products Inc.	Madison	Illinois	1 945	-	-
135	Babbitt Industrial Specialties Co.	New Bedford	Massachusetts	1 945	-	-
136	Badham Insulation Co. Inc.	Birmingham	Alabama	1952; 55-58	7 738	210
137	Baker, P.O. (P.O. Baker)	Providence	Rhode Island	1931; 34-37	-	-
138	Baldwin Ehret Hill Inc.	Buffalo	New York	1967-68	3 303	45
139	Baldwin Ehret Hill Inc.	Cincinnati	Ohio	1967-69	1 509	28
140	Baldwin Ehret Hill Inc.	Trenton	New Jersey	1961; 64-67	5 974	90
141	Baldwin Hill Co.	Trenton	New Jersey	1956-60	34 291	335
142	Bail Chemical Co.	Pittsburg	Pennsylvania	1932; 36; 38; 44-45	-	-
143	Bali State University	Muncie	Indiana	1 979	225	1
144	Baltic Rubber Co.	Baltic	Ohio	1956-60	16 189	402
145	Barber Asphalt Company	Madison	Illinois	1931-33; 35-43	-	-
146	Barber Asphalt Company	Maurer	New Jersey	1932-37	-	-
147	Barco Mfg. Co.	Barrington	Illinois	1957-61; 63	16 506	65
148	Barco Mfg. Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1932-33; 35; 37; 40-41; 43-45; 47-48; 50; 69; 76-77; 79	10 843	23
149	Barnum Brothers Fibre Co. Inc.	E. Detroit	Michigan	1957-61	26 534	725
150	Barrett Company	New York	New York	1931-32; 47	-	-
151	Basic Incorporated	Fostoria	Ohio	1956-61	141 579	1 075

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
152	Bass & Com •an	Hopkinsville	Kentucky	1947; 49	-	-
153	Battenfeld Grease & Oil Corp.	Kansas City	Missouri	1932-52; 55-64	323 796	6 072
154	Battenfeld Grease & Oil Corp.	N. Tonawanda	New York	1 952	-	-
155	Batter Building Materials Co.	New Haven	Connecticut	1937-44; 46-52	-	-
156	Beacon Chemical Co.	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	-	0
157	Bear Paint Company	Sand Lake	Michigan	1948-49	-	-
158	Belgium Ministry of Supply	Washington	District of Columbia	1 945	-	-
159	Belknap Hardware Mfg. Co.	Louisville	Kentucky	1956; 61	1 724	45
160	Belknap, Moran & Allen Co.	Brooklyn	New York	1958; 61-62	2 359	60
161	Bell	Unknown	U.S.A.	1 982	9 925	42
162	Bell Asbestos Mines Ltd.	Unknown	Unknown (U.S.)	1968-71	189 151	418
163	Bell Products Co.	Detroit	Michigan	1 946	-	-
164	Bendix, Marshall - Eclipse Div.	Troy	New York	1945-47	-	-
165	Benjamin Foster Company	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1931; 33-39	-	-
166	Benson & Hanson	Brooklyn	New York	1947; 58-59	8 077	210
167	Bird Archer Co.	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1 955	1 357	40
168	Bird & Son Inc.	Chicago	Illinois	1950-52; 67-70	38 625	500
169	Bird & Son Inc.	E. Walpole	Massachusetts	1931-36; 38-52; 55-71	506 637	9 029
170	Black Swan Mfg. Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1946-52; 55-73; 76-79; 81; 83	47 801	1 123
171	Blue Ridge Talc Co. Inc.	Henry	Virginia	1938-46; 48; 51-52	-	-
172	Blue Star Mines Co.	Zurich	California	1950-51	-	-
173	Bolce Paint Company	Unknown	Unknown	1 982	330	2
174	Bon A Fide Mills Inc.	Lisbon	Maine	1955-60	471 074	12 523
175	Borg Warner	Bellwood	Illinois	1 972	352	2
176	Borg Warner	Chicago	Illinois	1968-71	1 441	8
177	Borg Warner	Unknown	Illinois	1 967	-	-
178	Boston Putty Works Inc.	Charlestown	Massachusetts	1933-34; 36-52	-	-
179	Boston Supply Company	Boston	Massachusetts	1 936	-	-
180	Bostwick Brown Company	Toledo	Ohio	1 931	-	-
181	Boswell Inc.	Troy	New York	1936; 38-39; 41; 46-48	-	-
182	Boyle Distributing Co.	Los Angeles	California	1 951	-	-
183	Brewer Company	Newton	Ohio	1973-81	53 404	508
184	Briggs Weaver Machine Co.	Dallas	Texas	1943; 45	-	-
185	Brown Insulation Co.	Detroit	Michigan	1 957	377	10
186	Brunell Prod. Corp.	Franklin	Massachusetts	1979-84	18 002	32
187	Bryant Electric Company	Bridgeport	Connecticut	1931-36	-	-
188	Buffalo Dental Mfg. Co.	Buffalo	New York	1931-42; 44-52; 55-57	83	1
189	Building Maintenance Supply Co.	Dayton	Ohio	1 951	-	-
190	Bur-Bilt	Canton	Ohio	1 980	-	0
191	Burd & Son Inc.	Unknown	South Carolina	1 970	2 626	52
192	Burnet Company	New York	New York	1 946	-	-
193	Buxbaum Company	Canton	Ohio	1965-68	53 460	1 350
194	Byerlite Corporation	Cleveland	Ohio	1933; 36-38; 40-42; 47-49; 59-60	6 724	170
195	C E Thurston & Sons	Norfolk	Virginia	1 950	-	-
196	C H Benton Inc.	San Diego	California	1 951	-	-
197	C L Zimmerman Company	Cincinnati	Ohio	1955-57; 59-80	147 565	1 625
198	C L Zimmerman Company	Louisville	Kentucky	1968-73	14 630	243
199	C L Zimmerman Company	Unknown	Unknown	1981-82; 1984	11 680	66
200	C S Mersick Company	New Haven	Connecticut	1 936	-	-
201	C Stanley Morgan (Morgan, C. Stanley)	Detroit	Michigan	1931-32	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
202	C W Poe & Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1 932	-	-
203	Calbar Paint & Varnish Corp.	Philadelphie	Pennsylvania	1931-32; 50	-	-
204	California Stucco Prod. of New England Inc.	Cambridge	Massachusetts	1933; 38	-	-
205	California Zonolite Co.	Los Angeles	California	1950; 55-57	17 428	280
206	Callahan Industrial co.	Bishop	California	1 962	1 206	50
207	Carbine-Harang Machinery Supply Co.	New Orleans	Louisiana	1 931	-	-
208	Carey-Canadian Mines Ltd.	Lockland	Ohio	1958; 60; 63	94 630	1 040
209	Carl T. Schuster Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1947-52; 55-66	170 165	3 594
210	Carldan Plastics Inc.	Brooklyn	New York	1 975	51 908	585
211	Carlisle Corp., Molded Materials Div.	Ridgway	Pennsylvania	1965-67	190 978	1 761
212	Carolina Asbestos Company	Davidson	North Carolina	1931-35; 37-41; 44-47; 50-52; 55-60	173 280	552
213	Carter Paint Company	Liberty	Indiana	1939-52; 55-73	34 638	1 162
214	Cato Oil & Grease Co.	Oklahoma City	Oklahoma	1 962	225	5
215	Cellulo Company	Sandusky	Ohio	1955-56	2 048	3
216	Celotex Corporation	Lockland	Ohio	1972-73	1 005 730	6 050
217	Celotex Corporation	Metuchen	New Jersey	1937-38; 40-52; 55-57; 61; 64-65	126 812	1 092
218	Celotex Corporation	Tampa	Floride	1 972	22 705	200
219	Celotex Corporation	Wilmington	Illinois	1 972	4 680	100
220	Central Asbestos Co. Inc.	Northfield	Vermont	1949-52	-	-
221	Central Ohio Ind. Parts	Cleveland	Ohio	1 967	1 953	30
222	Central Ohio Paper Company	Columbus	Ohio	1931-34; 37	-	-
223	Central Petroleum Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1955; 57-58	8 096	150
224	Central Solvents & Chemicals Co.	Fresno	California	1967-71; 73; 75	10 280	207
225	Central Solvents & Chemicals Co.	Santa Fe	California	1967-71;	4 419	95
226	Central Solvents & Chemicals Co.	Kent	Washington	1967-70	10 503	230
227	Central Solvents & Chemicals Co.	Portland	Oregon	1967-69	1 206	28
228	Central Solvents & Chemicals Co.	Hayward	California	70-71; 73	2 925	63
229	Central Solvents & Chemicals Co.	Seattle	Washington	1 966	8 820	233
230	Century Industries	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	2 816	22
231	Cerro De Pasco Copper Corp.	New York	New York	1949-50	-	-
232	Certain-Teed Corp.	Valley Forge	Pennsylvania	1979-81	236 520	300
233	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	Ardmore	Pennsylvania	1947-52; 55-61; 65-67; 74-80	7 373 503	14 616
234	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	Buffalo	New York	1937-41	-	-
235	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	Chicago	Illinois	1931; 46-47	-	-
236	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	E. St. Louis	Illinois	1931-33; 37-38	-	-
237	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	Grand Rapids	Michigan	1 946	-	-
238	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	Hillsboro	Texas	1978-81; 84	2 933 128	5 280
239	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	Marseilles	Illinois	1933-34	-	-
240	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	Richmond	California	1932; 44; 46-47; 50; 52	-	-
241	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	Riverside	California	1978-79	253 596	400
242	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	Santa Clara	California	1978-79	253 596	400
243	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	Savannah	Georgia	1 946	-	-
244	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	St. Louis	Missouri	1978-79	1 504 924	2 480
245	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	1 748 126	2 640
246	Certain-Teed Products Corp.	York	Pennsylvania	1 931	-	-
247	Chamberlin Rubber Company	Rochester	New York	1931; 33; 37	-	-
248	Champion Papers	Canton	North Carolina	1974; 78	37 331	65
249	Charles A. Wagner Co. Inc.	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1951-52; 56-62; 64; 66-72	35 854	812
250	Charles Millar & Sons Co.	Utica	New York	1931-37	-	-
251	Chas. S. Wood & Company Inc.	Newark	New Jersey	1933-35	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
252	Chem Materials Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1967-80	259 878	2 523
253	Chemetals Corporation	Jamestown	New York	1 941	-	-
254	Chemical Concentrates Corp.	Ft. Washington	Pennsylvania	1 957	3 355	70
255	Chemical Products Limited	Indianapolis	Indiana	1 979	-	0
256	Chemical & Color Co. of America	Newark	New Jersey	1 964	675	30
257	Cheney Chemical Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1 933	-	-
258	Chrysler Corporation	Detroit	Michigan	1955-62; 65-73	157 267	2 577
259	Chrysler Corporation, Chemical Div.	Trenton	Michigan	1974-76	23 830	168
260	Clark Asbestos Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1931; 33-49; 51	-	-
261	Clarke Baridon Co.	Bethesda	Maryland	1 952	-	-
262	Claxton Asbestos Co.	Buffalo	New York	1937; 40-52	-	-
263	Clemco Inc.	Unknown	Minnesota	1 973	864	16
264	Clinton Metallic Paint Company	Clinton	New York	1931-32	-	-
265	Coal Tar Products Co.	Brooklyn	New York	1942-47	-	-
266	Cochrane Chemical Co.	Jersey City	New Jersey	1938-42; 44; 47; 49; 51-52	-	-
267	Cochrane Chemical Co.	Matawan	New Jersey	1956; 58	1 147	30
268	Coleman Supply Company	Boston	Massachusetts	1931; 37	-	-
269	Colonial Refining & Chemical Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1955-56; 61-68	26 135	620
270	Colts Mfg. Co.	Hartford	Connecticut	1947-49; 52	-	-
271	Colt's Patent Fire Arms Mfg. Co.	Hartford	Connecticut	1931-33; 35-41; 45-46	-	-
272	Columbia Asbestos Co.	Portland	Oregon	1 951	-	-
273	Columbia Paint Corp.	Huntington	West Virginia	1964-83	205 907	2 961
274	Combustion Equipment & Insulation Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1 947	-	-
275	Congoleum-Nairn Inc.	Kearny	New Jersey	1933; 40-42; 44; 46-52; 55-56	45 282	1 359
276	Connecticut Adamant Plaster Co.	New Haven	Connecticut	1931-33	-	-
277	Consolidated Asbestos Corporation	Lansdale	Pennsylvania	1 966	-	-
278	Consolidated Asbestos Corp.	Sellersville	Pennsylvania	1949-50	-	-
279	Consolidated Tile & Deck Covering	Portland	Oregon	1 943	-	-
280	Continental Roofing Mills Div.	Baltimore	Maryland	1 935	-	-
281	Cook Paint & Varnish Co.	Houston	Texas	1974-75	3 654	45
282	Coppers Co. Inc.	Wickliffe	Ohio	1 967	1 222	33
283	Cosmicoat	Gasport	New York	1979-86	49 658	308
284	Cosmicoate	Cheshire	Connecticut	1978-80	17 461	155
285	Coyne & Delaney Company	Brooklyn	New York	1 931	-	-
286	Crane Company	Aberdeen	South Dakota	1948; 50	-	-
287	Crane Company	Chicago	Illinois	1931; 33	-	-
288	Crane Company	Cincinnati	Ohio	1 931	-	-
289	Crane Company	Knoxville	Tennessee	1 931	-	-
290	Crane Company	Savannah	Georgia	1 932	-	-
291	Crane Packing Company	Morton Grove	Illinois	1982-85	102 430	79
292	Crane Packing Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1940-52; 55-63; 65-73; 76-81	627 028	1 142
293	Crawford Insulation Co.	Dayton	Ohio	1 955	374	11
294	Crescent Supply Company	Marietta	Ohio	1939; 55-56; 58	6 472	180
295	Crown Tar & Chemical Works Inc.	Denver	Colorado	1 948	-	-
296	Culberg Asbestos & Cork Company	Chicago	Illinois	1955-60	16 071	420
297	Curtis Asbestos Co.	Boston	Massachusetts	1948-49	-	-
298	Curtis Products Inc.	Detroit	Michigan	1962-64	9 801	248
299	Cutler-Hammer Inc.	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	1931-37; 39-40; 45	-	-
300	Dad & Lad Company	New Lennox	Illinois	1937-39; 46; 64	1 980	50
301	Daly Protective Coatings	Hammond	Indiana	1963-67; 78	27 867	601

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
302	Daubert Chemical Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1964; 67	33 480	900
303	Davenson, Marshall F. (Marshall F. Davenson)	Hartford	Connecticut	1 943	-	-
304	David E. Kennedy Incorporated	Brooklyn	New York	1936-37; 39-42; 45-50	-	-
305	David E. Kennedy Incorporated	Chicago	Illinois	1949-50	-	-
306	David E. Kennedy Incorporated	New York	New York	1 931	-	-
307	De Soto Paint & Varnish Co.	Memphis	Tennessee	1933; 37-46; 48-49	-	-
308	Deceleco Incorporated	Wayne	Michigan	1 940	-	-
309	Delaware Floor Products Inc.	Wilmington	Delaware	1947-52	-	-
310	Delco Moraine Prod. Co.	Dayton	Ohio	1961-74. 77-78	73 298	659
311	Delta Roofing Mills	Slidell	Louisiana	1 963	1 249	32
312	Delta Shipbuilding Co.	New Orleans	Louisiana	1 943	-	-
313	Denver Industrial Sales Service Co.	Denver	Colorado	1 958	888	23
314	Desco Corporation	Wilmington	Delaware	1933-37; 39-41; 43-52; 55; 57	2 552	70
315	Desoto Chemical Inc.	Chicago Heights	Illinois	1961; 63	4 918	90
316	Desoto Chemicals Co.	Berkeley	California	1 968	11	0
317	Detroit Fibreglass Insulation Co.	Detroit	Michigan	1955-57	3 900	90
318	Detroit Gasket & Mfg. Company	Detroit	Michigan	1939; 52; 55-59	89 533	366
319	Dewey & Almy Chem. Div. (W.R. Grace)	Chicago	Illinois	1964-67; 69	12 281	290
320	Dicks-Pontius Co.	Dayton	Ohio	1935; 50	-	-
321	Ditzler Color Co.	Detroit	Michigan	1 947	-	-
322	Domler Company of Tucson	Tucson	Arizona	1 961	2 200	50
323	Donald R. Fitzgerald Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1962-76	283 201	2 264
324	Donaldson Floor Inc.	Rockford	Illinois	1949-51	-	-
325	Dorite Mfg. Company	Charleston	Illinois	1 941	-	-
326	Double H. Steele & Wire	Rocky Ford	Colorado	1 979	104	0
327	Dow Chemical U.S.A.	Freeport	Texas	1 974	36 024	88
328	Dow Chemical U.S.A.	Plaquemine	Louisiana	1 974	104 636	196
329	Dreeblan Paint Supply Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1964; 68	2 403	60
330	Dunn Rainey Company	Detroit	Michigan	1 955	335	10
331	Durable Materials Corp.	Chicago	Illinois	1937-38	-	-
332	Duraflex Corp.	Baltimore	Maryland	1931-33	-	-
333	Durametallic Corp.	Kalamazoo	Michigan	1969-85	23 266	25
334	Durez Plastics Division	Kenton	Ohio	1967-68; 74-77	147 599	2 387
335	Durez Plastics Division	Niagara Falls	New York	1963-73	87 893	2 199
336	Durez Plastics & Chemical Inc.	N. Tonawanda	New York	1941; 46-52; 55-57	164 140	4 505
337	Durez Plastics, Div. Hooker	Niagara Falls	New York	1958-67	331 714	8 306
338	Durwyllan Mfg. Co.	Paterson	New Jersey	1 934	-	-
339	Dutton Asbestos Co.	San Francisco	California	1941; 46	-	-
340	Dutton Asbestos & Supply Co.	San Francisco	California	1 955	1 371	40
341	E E Zimmerman Co.	Pittsburg	Pennsylvania	1935; 38-52; 65; 67	3	0
342	E H O Neill Floor Co	Cicero	Illinois	1 964	1 953	50
343	E I DuPont de Nemours & Co.	Fairtield	Connecticut	1937-39	-	-
344	E J Eddy Inc.	Buffalo	New York	1944-46; 48-52; 55-56; 59-60	4 825	84
345	E M Smith Company	Los Angeles	California	1933-41	-	-
346	E Stanley Freeman Company	Boston	Massachusetts	1931; 35-36	-	-
347	E W Ortenbach	New Orleans	Louisiana	1941-44	-	-
348	Eagle Asbestos & Packing Co.	New Orleans	Louisiana	1934-52	-	-
349	Eagle Paint & Varnish Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1933; 35	-	-
350	Eagle-Picher Company	Cincinnati	Ohio	1955-56	43 451	1 160
351	Eagle-Picher Co.	Joplin	Missouri	1945-52	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
352	Eagle-Picher Lead Company	Cincinnati	Ohio	1933-34	-	-
353	Eagle-Picher Lead Company	Joplin	Missouri	1931-44	-	-
354	Eagle-Picher Sales Company	Cincinnati	Ohio	1933-52 1935-52	-	-
355	Eastern Minerai & Curio Shop	Rockville Center	New York	1 936	-	-
356	Eaton Dekeman Paper Co.	Mt. Holly Springs	Pennsylvania	1961-64	3 569	42
357	Ebsary Gypsum Company Inc.	Newark	New Jersey	1 931	-	-
358	Economy Fuse & Mfg. Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1931-32; 34-52; 55-60	14 045	372
359	Edoco Technical Products Inc.	Dominguez	California	1965-66	4 230	100
360	Edward G. Fick Company	Baltimore	Maryland	1 931	-	-
361	Edward R. Hart Company	Canton	Ohio	1933; 35; 38; 42-43; 50; 57-62	4 689	103
362	Edwards Concrete Conduit Co.	Glenside	Pennsylvania	1 937	-	-
363	Ehret Magnesia Mfg. Company	Valley Forge	Pennsylvania	1933-52; 55	7 167	70
364	El Rey Products Co.	Los Angeles	California	1941; 45; 47	-	-
365	Electrocote Company	Fridley	Minnesota	1963-73	48 635	1 101
366	Elliott Paint & Varnish Com •an	Chicago	Illinois	1933; 35-37	-	-
367	Empire Ace Insulation Mfg. Corp.	Long Island	New York	1 962	3 960	100
368	Enterprise Oil Co. Inc.	Buffalo	New York	1946-47	-	-
369	Ertel Engineering Corp.	Kingston	New York	1940; 42-44	-	-
370	Ertel Engineering Corp.	New York	New York	1933-36; 38	-	-
371	Essex Industries Inc.	Lawrence	Massachusetts	1964; 66	17 867	120
372	Everseal Mfg. Co.	New York	New York	1947-48	-	-
373	Everseal Mfg. Co.	Richfield	New Jersey	1949; 51	-	-
374	Excelsior Varnish Works Inc.	Cleveland	Ohio	1955-59	9 982	268
375	Export Traders Co. Inc.	New York	New York	1 946	-	-
376	F E Schundler & Co. Inc.	Joliet	Illinois	1 942	-	-
377	F E Spencer & Co.	New Haven	Connecticut	1931-38; 40-41; 43	-	-
378	F H Gaskings Co.	Norfolk	Virginia	1942-43; 45; 47-48;	-	-
379	F Marti & Co. Inc.	New York	New York	1940-41; 46-47	-	-
380	F O Schoedinger (Schoedinger, F.O.)	Columbus	Ohio	1 949	-	-
381	Fahey, Gerald J. (Gerald J. Fahey)	Detroit	Michigan	1952; 55-56; 64	4 156	60
382	Fairmont Wall Plaster Company	Fairmont	West Virginia	1933-38	-	-
383	Feck Oil Company	Norwood	Ohio	1945-47	-	-
384	Felt Products Mfg. Co.	Skokie	Illinois	1964; 69	5 825	75
385	Ferris Putty & Building Supply Co.	Charlestown	Massachusetts	1 955	1 159	30
386	Fibre Conduit Company	New York	New York	1 947	-	-
387	Fibre Conduit Company	Orangeburg	New York	1 946	-	-
388	Fibre & Metal Products Co.	Downey	California	1942-47	-	-
389	Fibreboard Paper Products Corp.	Redwood	California	1956-63	499 347	3 813
390	Finberg Brothers	Unknown	Unknown	1 931	-	-
391	Finberg Supply Company	Unknown	Unknown	1 951	-	-
392	Firestone Industrial Products Co.	Noblesville	Indiana	1 963	2 718	38
393	Firestone Industrial Products Co.	Unknown	Unknown	1946 -52	-	-
394	Firestone Rubber & Latex Products	Fall River	Massachusetts	1964-65	5 999	138
395	Fitzgerald Mfg. Co.	Unknown	Unknown	1934-40; 46-48	-	-
396	Flexotile Floor Company	Rockford	Ilinois	1951-52; 55-56	2 162	60
397	Flexrock Company	Chicago	Illinois	1945; 50-51	-	-
398	Flintkote Company	Chicago Heights	Illinois	1938; 42-46; 48-50; 72	29 587	409
399	Flintkote Company	E. Rutherford	New Jersey	1936-38; 40-50; 52	-	-
400	Flintkote Company	Los Angeles	California	1946-49	-	-
401	Flintkote Company	New Orleans	Louisiana	1937-44; 48-50; 52; 74	1 178	5

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
402	Flintkote Company	New York	New York	1955-74	6 187 269	36 136
403	Flintkote Company	Orangeburg	New York	1 972	62 234	1 293
404	Flintkote Company	Ravenna	Ohio	1 972	-	-
405	Flintkote Company	Rootstown	Ohio	1972-76	5 689 162	20 015
406	Flintkote Company	Unknown	New Jersey	1972; 76	22 224	437
407	Flintkote Company	Vernon	California	1972-75; 78	224 318	3 491
408	Flintkote Mines Ltd.	Stratford	Connecticut	1 973	21 996	141
409	Forbestos Company	New York	New York	1931-37; 39; 41; 43-52; 55; 57-59	23 659	140
410	Ford Motor Co.	Dearborn	Michigan	1932-36; 46; 49-52; 55-59; 61-62; 64; 66; 68-69; 73	1 000	27
411	Ford Roofing Products Company	Vandalia	Illinois	1933-34	-	-
412	Fox River Paper Corp.	Appleton	Wisconsin	1967-69; 71	19 770	100
413	Frank G. Ruggles (Ruggles, Frank G.)	New York	New York	1955-57 (see below) - ruggles	-	-
414	Franklin Tile Company	Lansdale	Pennsylvania	1943-44	-	-
415	Freedom Oil Works	Freedom	Pennsylvania	1 931	-	-
416	Frontier Insulating & Roofing Co.	Buffalo	New York	1941-47; 49	-	-
417	Fuller Austin Insulation Co.	Houston	Texas	1955-56	2 842	80
418	Futty & Company	Greenwich	Connecticut	1 931	-	-
419	G A MacArthur Company (MacArthur Co.)	St. Paul	Minnesota	1937-52	-	-
420	G A Rowley & Co. Inc.	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1949-51	-	-
421	G H Gotshall Company	Detroit	Michigan	1 938	-	-
422	G M C (Inland Manufacturing)	Dayton	Ohio	1975-76 and 1945-47 and 1983 (see below · inland manuf)	-	-
423	G M Corp., Harrison Radiator Div.	Lockport	New York	1965; 68	761	18
424	Gabier Insulation	New Orleans	Louisiana	1963-64	531	15
425	GAF Corporation	Erie	Pennsylvania	1971; 74; 76; 78-79	136 675	1 104
426	GAF Corporation	Joliet	Illinois	1 979	1 140	10
427	GAF Corporation	New York	New York	1 978	388 761	2 583
428	GAF Corporation	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	(9 192)	-
429	GAF Corporation	Whitehall	Pennsylvania	1978-80	61 553	436
430	GAF Corporation, Ruberoid Div.	Hyde Park	Vermont	1969-74	1 444 109	3 472
431	GAF Corporation, Ruberoid Div.	New York	New York	1 968	139 931	399
432	Gama Industries Inc.	New York	New York	1949-50	-	-
433	Garfield Mfg. Company	Garfield	New Jersey	1931-52; 55-76	286 481	4 981
434	Garland Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1964-66	4 606	100
435	Garlock Inc.	Palmyra	New York	1964-66; 74	4 945	78
436	Garlock Packing Co.	Palmyra	New York	1931-52; 55-63	652 155	2 109
437	Gas Machinery Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1 940	-	-
438	Gasket Materials Corp.	Middletown	Connecticut	1 948	-	-
439	Gates Rubber Company	Denver	Colorado	1931-32	-	-
440	Gatke Corporation	Warsaw	Indiana	1970-71	45 320	205
441	Gatke Corp.	Chicago	Illinois	1933; 64-69	219 814	1 226
442	General Asbestos Co. Inc.	Northfield	Vermont	1948-49	-	-
443	General Asbestos & Supply Co.	Indianapolis	Indiana	1931; 33	-	-
444	General Electric Company	Bridgeport	Connecticut	1933-35	-	-
445	General Electric Company	Erie	Pennsylvania	1931-37; 39-52	-	-
446	General Electric Company	Meriden	Connecticut	1931-50	-	-
447	General Electric Company	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1951-52; 67-73	366 129	2 412
448	General Electric Company	Pittsfield	Massachusetts	1931-39; 52	-	-
449	General Electric Company	Schenectady	New York	1931-52; 55-72	463 602	4 331
450	General Electric Company	West Lynn	Massachusetts	1931-37; 41; 43-46	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
451	General Fireproofing Company Ai AD; Igue	Youngstown	Ohio	1931; 33-34; 36-37; 41	-	-
452	General Motors Corp. - Inland Mfg. Div	Dayton	Ohio	1945-47; 1975-76; 83 57-74 (see below - Inland mfg)	-	-
453	General Motors Corp., Harrison Radiator Div.	Lockport	New York	1965; 68	-	-
454	General Plastic Inc.	N. Tonawanda	New York	1 937	-	-
455	General Tire & Rubber Co.	Wabash	Indiana	1939; 41; 44	-	-
456	Geo E. Trudel Co.	Manchester	New Hampshire	1 948	-	-
457	George F. Pettinos Inc.	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1938-39	-	-
458	George H. Rhinehart Inc.	New York	New York	1947-50	-	-
459	George Scofield Co.	Tacoma	Washington	1966-67; 69-71	3 425	75
460	George W. Whiteside Co. Inc.	Louisville	Kentucky	1964; 68-72	10 814	212
461	Georgia-Carolina Oil Co.	Macon	Georgia	1 946	-	-
462	Gerald J. Fahey (Fahey, Gerald J.)	Detroit	Michigan	1952; 55-56; 64	-	0
463	Gibraltar Cernent Co.	Detroit	Michigan	1961-69	9 398	240
464	Gibsonburg Lime Products Co.	Gibsonburg	Ohio	1962-63	27 681	340
465	Gibson-Homans Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1931-36; 46-49; 60-64	26 951	636
466	Gibson-Homans Company	Portland	Oregon	1956; 59	13 060	325
467	Gilman Paint & Varnish Co.	Chattanooga	Tennessee	1931; 33-41; 43-49; 51-52; 55-57; 59-65	29 363	684
468	Gilsonite Roofing Co.	Portland	Oregon	1955; 57; 60-63	11 259	278
469	Glencoe Lime & Cernent Company	St. Louis	Missouri	1931-32; 35	-	-
470	Gold Seal Asphalt Roofing	Chicago Heights	Illinois	1947-49; 51-52	-	-
471	Gold Valley Paint	Sacramento	California	1 960	1 584	40
472	Goodyear Aerospace	Unknown	Unknown	1981-84	32 683	113
473	Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company	Akron	Ohio	1932-33; 35; 39; 41-52; 55-72	1 407 891	7 466
474	Grahn Mfg. Co.	Kansas City	Missouri	1 959	792	20
475	Grant Wilson Inc.	Chicago	Illinois	1 964	5 674	140
476	Greene, Tweed & Co.	Bronx	New York	1944-45	-	-
477	Greene, Tweed & Co.	North Wales	Pennsylvania	1964-76	514 276	2 905
478	Grizzly Mfg. Co.	Paulding	Ohio	1941-52' 55-60	691 268	8 629
479	Gunning Refractories Inc.	S. Webster	Ohio	1964-65	1 805	50
480	H B Davis Company	Baltimore	Maryland	1935-36	-	-
481	H B Fuller Co.	Minnealoplis	Minnesota	1973-74	16 880	271
482	H B Smith Co. Inc.	Westfield	Massachusetts	1 948	-	-
483	H Bingham Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1 931	-	-
484	H C Price Co.	Harvey	Louisiana	1 964	4 422	40
485	H F Watson Mills	Erie	Pennsylvania	1931-32	-	-
486	H K Porter Co. Inc.	Bennettsville	South Carolina	1968; 71-73	375 023	847
487	H K Porter Co. Inc.	Charlotte	North Carolina	1961-73	4 878 198	12 525
488	H K Porter Co. Inc.	Davidson	North Carolina	1968-69	95 720	240
489	H K Porter Co. Inc.	Huntington	Indiana	1973-76; 78	271 567	1 604
490	H K Porter Co. Inc.	Richmond	Kentucky	1 974	11 008	70
491	H K Porter Co. Inc.	St. Louis	Missouri	1969-70	41 925	100
492	H K Porter Co. Inc. (Amcoworks)	Huntington	Indiana	1961-71	405 602	5 146
493	H Krasne Mfg. Co.	Los Angeles	California	1955-60; 62	98 837	2 659
494	H S Chaffee Inc.	Buffalo	New York	1 958	-	-
495	H S Fitz Gibbon & Co.	New York	New York	1 932	-	-
496	H W Deacon Supply Co.	Mount Holly	New Jersey	1 946	-	-
497	Hachmeister Inc.	Pittsburg	Pennsylvania	1946-51	-	-
498	Hallemite Mfg. Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1938; 46	-	-
499	Hamlin Cernent Products Inc.	Garden City	New York	1 931	-	-
500	Hammond Paint & Chemical Co. Inc.	Beacon	New York	1 939	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
501	Harrison Paint Varnish Co.	Canton	Ohio	1958-62; 64-66	10 061	155
502	Hartford Cernent Co.	Hartford	Connecticut	1 933	-	-
503	Harvey Aluminum Co.	The Dalles	Oregon	1963; 65	1 332	40
504	Henry Asphalt Co.	Wingfoot	California	1945-52	-	-
505	Herbert Chemical Co.	Cincinnati	Ohio	1939-41; 43-51; 55-72	21 944	524
506	Hetzel Roofing Products Co.	Newark	New Jersey	1935-49	-	-
507	Hinman Asbestos Corp.	Cambridge	Massachusetts	1933-43; 45-52; 55-57	12 395	1 242
508	Hobart Brothers Co.	Troy	Ohio	1 943	-	-
509	Hoffman Insulation Mfg. Co.	Calumet City	Illinois	1 964	1 962	50
510	Homasote Company	Trenton	New Jersey	1938-40	-	-
511	Hood Rubber Co.	Watertown	Massachusetts	1942-52; 55-56	139 478	3 601
512	Hooker Chemical Corp.	N. Tonawanda	New York	1 977	11 712	124
513	Hooker Chemical Corp.	N. Tonawanda	New York	1974-76; 78-79	87 612	1 103
514	Hooker Electrochemical Co.	Niagara Falls	New York	1947; 56	-	1
515	Hooker Industrial Chemical	Taft	Louisiana	1 974	20 086	41
516	Hooker Industrial Chemical	Montagne	Michigan	1 975	541	1
517	Hoosier Brake Lining Corp.	N. Manchester	Indiana	1933; 35-42	-	-
518	Howard Asbestos Co.	Northfield	Vermont	1947-49	-	-
519	Hudson Valley Asbestos Corp.	Albany	New York	1933-37; 40-51	-	-
520	Humble Oil & Refining Co.	Pittsburgh	Pennsylvania	1968; 70-71	385	7
521	Huntley Industrial Minerais	Bishop	California	1955-61	14 805	920
522	Huntley Industrial Minerais	Zurich	California	1 952	-	-
523	Husky Oil Co.	Cody	Wyoming	1963-64	1 980	50
524	Husky Refining Company	Cody	Wyoming	1 947	-	-
525	Hydrochem Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1 960	585	12
526	Hyd. Ryt Paint Company	Columbus	Ohio	1975-79	50 414	486
527	I F Laucks Inc.	Portsmouth	Virginia	1 946	-	-
528	Ideal Industries Inc.	Sycamore	Illinois	1 955	18	1
529	Ideal Marker & Vault Co.	Gothenburg	Nebraska	1 944	-	-
530	Imperia' Floor Company	Rochester	New York	1935-37	-	-
531	Indurai! Coatings Inc.	Birmingham	Alabama	1972-74	308	5
532	Industrial Asphait	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	7 276	60
533	Industrial Products Mfg. Co.	N. Kansas City	Missouri	1947; 49-50; 52; 55	4 162	80
534	Inland Manufacturing	Dayton	Ohio	1957-74	3 718 029	44 213
535	Inland Manufacturing, Division GMC	Dayton	Ohio	1975-76; 83 and 1945-47	123 266	1 148
536	Insul Mastic Inc	Summit	Illinois	1 964	8 230	200
537	Insulating Products Co.	N. Aurora	Illinois	1932-33; 38; 42; 44-48	-	-
538	Insulation Distributors Inc.	Buffalo	New York	1955-56	3 182	70
539	Insulation Service Inc.	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	1 940	-	-
540	Insul-Mastic Laboratories Inc.	Chicago	Illinois	1 950	-	-
541	Interchemical Corp.	Wooster	Ohio	1947-52	-	-
542	Interchemical Corp., Prestite Am.	Jackson	Michigan	1964-69	233 357	5 845
543	Interchemical Corp., Prestite Am.	St. Louis	Missouri	1964-66	20 490	503
544	Intercoastal Paint	San Leandro	California	1950; 52; 56	1 742	41
545	International Building Products	Unknown	Unknown	1983-85	625 974	1 182
546	International General Electric Co.	Schenectady	New York	1936-37	-	-
547	International Sealers Co. Inc.	Gr. Prairies	Texas	1 966	1 619	40
548	International Selling Corp.	New York	New York	1939; 41	-	-
549	International Vermiculite Co.	Girard	Illinois	1949-52; 55-63; 65-69; 71	176 712	1 393
550	International Vermiculite Co.	Springfield	Illinois	1936-39	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
551	Interstate Plumbing Supply Co.	Albany	New York	1 933	-	-
552	Iowa Electric Light & Power Co.	Crandic	Iowa	1 941	-	-
553	J D Adams Mfg. Company	Indianapolis	Indiana	1 934	-	-
554	J E Harris Paint Co.	Wooster	Ohio	1932; 39-42; 44-47	-	-
555	J Franklin Burke & Co. Ltd.	Northfield	Vermont	1944-47	-	-
556	J Ozurovich Company	New York	New York	1 932	-	-
557	J W Mortell & Company	Detroit	Michigan	1967-73	258 126	5 172
558	J W Mortell & Company	Griffin	Georgia	1968-73	175 918	3 500
559	J W Mortell & Company	Kankakee	Illinois	1933-52; 55-73	3 729 025	88 599
560	J W Mortell & Company	Perth Amboy	New Jersey	1967-73	131 095	2 660
561	J & P Petroleum Products Inc.	Lewisville	Arkansas	1962-75; 77-81	93 753	1 325
562	Jackson Insulation Inc.	Jackson	Michigan	1 959	906	19
563	James C. Barry & Co.	Rochester	New York	1 934	-	-
564	James O. Meyers & Sons	Buffalo	New York	1965-66	7 955	180
565	James O. Myers Company	Buffalo	New York	1940; 60-64	24 023	527
566	James O. Myers Company	Kenmore	New York	1 946	-	-
567	Jaye Mfg. Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1958-59; 61-62	4 259	109
568	Jetcoat	Unknown	Unknown	1981; 83-85	24 021	139
569	John A. Steen Varnish Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1933-52; 55-57	53 005	924
570	John Lucas & Son Inc.	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1 931	-	-
571	John Wood Mfg. Co.	Boston	Massachusetts	1 933	-	-
572	John Wood Mfg. Co.	Detroit	Michigan	1 933	-	-
573	John Wood Mfg. Co.	Richmond Hill	New York	1933-34; 36	-	-
574	Johns-Manville	Denison	Texas	1 985	389 880	360
575	Johns-Manville	Stockton	California	1984-85	108 300	50
576	Johns-Manville	Unknown	Unknown	1983-84	779 760	720
577	Johns-Manville Corporation	Manville	New Jersey	1937-52; 69; 73	318 361	760
578	Johns-Manville Corporation	Marshville	North Carolina	1 969	39 235	150
579	Johns-Manville Corporation	New York	New York	1931; 55-59; 63-65; 69	2 073 682	12 010
580	Johns-Manville Products Corp.	Waukegan	Illinois	1932-36	-	-
581	Johnson Supply Co.	Portland	Maine	1 947	-	-
582	Jonas & Rivet Pelissier Inc.	Walden	New York	1 942	-	-
583	Julius Landesberg (Landesberg, Julius)	Jamaica	New York	1 952	-	-
584	Kansas City Insulation Co.	Kansas City	Missouri	1945-52	-	-
585	Kantor Brothers	Newark	New Jersey	1 931	-	-
586	Keasbey & Mattison	Ambler	Pennsylvania	1955-58	329 332	1 891
587	Keene Corporation	Cincinnati	Ohio	1970-72	2 298	40
588	Keene Insulation Contracting	Buffalo	New York	1969-72	1 821	12
589	Kelley Asbestos Products Co.	Kansas City	Missouri	1943; 45; 59-66	27 508	684
590	Kelley Island Line & Transport Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1942; 45-52; 55-56	19 780	160
591	Kentile Inc.	Brooklyn	New York	1950-52; 55-61	1 088 602	27 356
592	Kentile Inc.	Chicago	Illinois	1950-52	-	-
593	Kentile Inc., Ray Mat. Dept.	Chicago	Illinois	1962-63	6 009	155
594	Kentucky Color & Chemical Co.	Louisville	Kentucky	1935-37	-	-
595	Kentucky Paint Mfg. Co.	Lexington	Kentucky	1955; 59	271	8
596	Kentucky Pipe Covering Company	Louisville	Kentucky	1 950	-	-
597	Kerr McGee Oil Industries Inc.	Wynnewood	Oklahoma	1 950	-	-
598	Keystone Plumbing & Supply Co.	Springfield	Massachusetts	1 941	-	-
599	Keystone Roofing Mfg. Company	York	Pennsylvania	1933; 36	-	-
600	Knowlton Brothers Inc.	Watertown	New York	1 940	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
601	Kolb Refractories Co.	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1937-40; 45-47; 49-51	-	-
602	Krasco Mfg. Co.	Los Angeles	California	1948-50	-	-
603	L A Lux Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1938; 46	-	-
604	L Grossman & Sons	Quincy	Massachusetts	1937; 41	-	-
605	L H Butcher Company	Los Angeles	California	1931-52; 55-57; 59-65	228 351	5 502
606	L H Butcher Company	Portland	Oregon	1931-33; 35; 38-39; 41-52	-	-
607	L H Butcher Company	San Francisco	California	1931-52	-	-
608	L H Butcher Company	Seattle	Washington	1933; 37-39; 41-52	-	-
609	L H Butcher Company	Unknown	Unknown	1 958	21 994	497
610	L J Miley Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1943-46	-	-
611	L J Miley Co.	N. Manchester	Indiana	1947-52; 55-59	29 825	795
612	L Sonneborn Sons Inc.	Nutley	New Jersey	1938; 44-52; 55-57	43 755	1 055
613	Laher Spring & Electric Car Corp.	New Albany	Mississippi	1970; 72-73	32 778	200
614	Lake Asbestos of Canada Ltd.	New York	New York	1967; 73	41 188	160
615	Landers, Frary & Clark	New Britain	Connecticut	1931-34	-	-
616	Landesberg, Julius (Julius Landesberg)	Jamaica	New York	1 952	-	-
617	Lasco Brake Products Corp. Ltd.	New Albany	Mississippi	1967-69; 74; 80	94 870	652
618	Lasco Brake Products Corp. Ltd.	Oakland	California	1941; 46-52; 55-66; 71-73	296 489	2 531
619	Lastic Paint Inc.	Wampum	Pennsylvania	1 970	454	1
620	Lasting Products Corporation	Baltimore	Maryland	1 932	-	-
621	Lehman Phillips Inc.	Fort Worth	Texas	1963-66	17 477	535
622	Lehon Company	Bellwood	Illinois	1961-65	28 676	440
623	Lehon Company	Chicago	Illinois	1935-52	-	-
624	Lehon Company	Wilmington	Illinois	1934-41	-	-
625	Leins Asphalt Engineering Corp.	Rahway	New Jersey	1 964	1 476	40
626	Leitch Mfg. Company Inc.	Lincoln	New Jersey	1936-39	-	-
627	LeValley, McLeod, Kinkaid Company	Elmira	New York	1 931	-	-
628	Lewis Asphalt Engineering Corp.	Clark	New Jersey	1 951	-	-
629	Lewiston Hardware & Plumbing Supply	Lewistown	Maine	1 941	-	-
630	Libbey, Owens - Plaskon Division	Toledo	Ohio	1945-47	-	-
631	Lion Oil Company	Eldorado	Arkansas	1 949	-	-
632	Lion Oil Company	Pearson	Arkansas	1 964	5 616	150
633	Little, Arthur D. (Arthur D. Little)	Unknown	Massachusetts	1 984	-	-
634	Loftis Plumbing & Heating Co.	Atlanta	Georgia	1 940	-	-
635	Logan-Long Company	Franklin	Ohio	1939-52; 55-66	52 175	1 339
636	Longley Supply Company	Wilmington	North Carolina	1 957	1 077	30
637	Low Supply Company	Boston	Massachusetts	1931; 36-39	-	-
638	Low Supply Company	Hyde Park	Massachusetts	1 940	-	-
639	Lucier, Mrs. Philomene (Philomene Lucier)	N. Brookfield	Massachusetts	1 942	-	-
640	Lunday Thagard Oil Co.	South Gate	California	1948-52; 57	4 058	80
641	Luse-Stevenson Company	Chicago	Illinois	1 933	-	-
642	Lydall Inc.	Unknown	Unknown	1 984	6 160	20
643	M H Detrick Company	Aurora	Illinois	1939-41; 43	-	-
644	M J Daly & Sons	Waterbury	Connecticut	1932; 34; 36-37; 39-51; 55-56	1 864	30
645	M J Merkin Paint Company Inc.	New York	New York	1931-32; 38; 40	-	-
646	M Stone Co. Inc.	Jersey City	New Jersey	1 948	-	-
647	McArthur Company (G.A. MacArthur Co.)	St. Paul	Minnesota	1937-52; 55-73	137 056	2 767
648	Macklin Company	Jackson	Michigan	1944-46	-	-
649	Macoustic Engineering Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1 932	-	-
650	Mag Bestos Company Inc.	Elizabethtown	Tennessee	1946-48	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Claims Procedure Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
651	Magnus Asphalt Prod. Co.	Detroit	Michigan	1963-64	25 283	617
652	Maine Hardware & Plumbing Supply Co.	Portland	Maine	1938; 41; 43	-	-
653	Makalot Corporation	Boston	Massachusetts	1934-35	-	-
654	Malden Supply Company	Malden	Massachusetts	1933-37; 47	-	-
655	Malott Peterson-Grundy Co.	San Francisco	California	1951-52; 57-65	19 051	607
656	Malott & Peterson	San Francisco	California	1942-43; 45-50; 55-56	5 220	160
657	Mannan-Smith Supply Co.	St. Joseph	Missouri	1 948	-	-
658	Marbelette Floor Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1956-58	3 402	90
659	Maremont Automotive Prod. Inc. (Meremont)	Paulding	Ohio	1961-70	1 213 797	15 070
660	Maremont Corporation	Paulding	Ohio	1971-76	628 643	6 548
661	Marshall Asbestos Corporation	Troy	New York	1933; 36	-	-
662	Marshall F. Davenson (Davenson, Marshall F.)	Hartford	Connecticut	1 943	-	-
663	Mastic Asphalt Corporation	South Bend	Indiana	1 941	-	-
664	Mastic Lubricants Company	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1 947	-	-
665	Mastic Tile Corp. of America	New York	New York	1 946	-	-
666	Mastic Tile Corp. of America	Newburgh	New York	1946-52; 55; 58; 60	25 450	660
667	Material Service Corporation	Chicago	Illinois	1 949	-	-
668	Matt Molloy Enterprise	Unknown	Unknown	1985-86	1 406	2
669	Matthew Balich Corporation	New York	New York	1933; 36	-	-
670	McCann Shields Paint Co.	Pittsburg	Pennsylvania	1935; 38-52; 55-59	41 501	960
671	McCord Radiator & Mfg. Co.	Detroit	Michigan	1 939	-	-
672	McGrew Paint & Asphalt Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1940-41	-	-
673	McNaughton Brooks Inc.	Buffalo	New York	1968-71; 73-74; 76-83	8 444	92
674	Mega Plastics Co.	Santa Monica	California	1 957	1 450	40
675	Meremont Automotive Prod. Inc. (Maremont)	Paulding	Ohio	1961-70 (see above)	-	-
676	Meriden Moulded Plastics Company	Meriden	Connecticut	1955-86	404 164	5 081
677	Mesa Plastics Co.	Santa Monica	California	1961-64; 67-68	3 573	87
678	Mesa Products, Plastics Div.	Des Plaines	Illinois	1968-71	34 939	775
679	Metalizing Company of America Inc.	Sullivan	Illinois	1 979	62	1
680	Metallizing	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	69	1
681	Metropolitan Roofing	New York	New York	1955-56	2 624	47
682	Meyers Chemicals Inc.	Buffalo	New York	1967-73	22 616	491
683	Mid States Rubber Prod. Inc.	Princeton	Indiana	1965-69	8 361	190
684	Middlesboro Friction Co.	Middlesboro	Kentucky	1 947	-	-
685	Middlesex Hardware Supply	Lowell	Massachusetts	1931; 33; 36-37	-	-
686	Mideke Supply Company	Oklahoma City	Oklahoma	1 936	-	-
687	Midtown Plumbing & Supply co.	Middletown	New York	1 946	-	-
688	Midwest Products Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1944-52	-	-
689	Midwest Products Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1 933	-	-
690	Miller Paint Mfg. Co.	Huntington	West Virginia	1943-47; 49-52; 55-63	55 941	1 481
691	Milwaukee Insulation Co.	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	1939-49; 52; 55-57	1 615	4
692	Minnesota Mining	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	55	0
693	Minnesota Mining & Mfg. Co.	Bristol	Pennsylvania	1 968	2	0
694	Minnesota Mining & Mfg. Co.	Detroit	Michigan	1947-52; 55-67	166 377	4 486
695	Minnesota Mining & Mfg. Co.	Los Angeles	California	1948-52	-	-
696	Minnesota Mining & Mfg. Co.	Springfield	Missouri	1974-79; 82-85	2 516	18
697	Minnesota Mining & Mfg. Co.	St. Paul	Minnesota	1968-69; 78-79; 82-85	1 301	9
698	Minnesota Mining & Mfg. Co.	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	83	1
699	Minnesota Spring & Mfg. Co.	Springfield	Missouri	1 974	-	-
700	Minwax Company	Delawana	New Jersey	1949-52; 55-64	62 402	1 771

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
701	Mitchell & Smith Inc.	Toledo	Ohio	1 943	-	-
702	Mitsui & Company Ltd.	New York	New York	1932-41	-	-
703	Mohawk Asbestos Shingles Inc. (Mohawk Shingle Co.)	Oneida	New York	1931-33; 35; 37-42	-	-
704	Mohawk Shingle Co. (Mohawk Asbestos Shingles Inc.)	Oneida	New York	1931-33; 35; 37-42	-	-
705	Molded Industrial Friction CoT.	Prattville	Alabama	1971-74; 82	197 686	1 456
706	Molded Materials Division Carlisle Corp	Ridgway	Pennsylvania	1945-48 ; 1960-61; 64; 68-71; 73-74	522 759	4 991
707	Monsanto Chemical Co.	Seattle	Washington	1 950	-	-
708	Monsanto Chemical Co.	Springfield	Massachusetts	1946-50	-	-
709	Monsey Products Co.	Philadelphie	Pennsylvania	1949-52; 55-63	169 709	4 778
710	Monsey Products Co.	Wissahickon	Pennsylvania	1945-49	-	-
711	Montgomery Ward & Company	Baltimore	Maryland	1938-39	-	-
712	Montoil Inc.	New York	New York	1 948	-	-
713	Moraine Products Company	Dayton	Ohio	1955-60	14 455	331
714	Morenci Products Company	Morenci	Michigan	1 942	-	-
715	Morgan, C. Stanley (C. Stanley Morgan)	Detroit	Michigan	1931-32	-	-
716	Morrison Supply Co.	Amarillo	Texas	1 947	-	-
717	Mortell Company	Griffin	Georgia	1974-79	130 265	1 406
718	Mortell Company	Kankakee	Illinois	1974-79; 82-83 ; 80	710 330	7 415
719	Mortell Company	Perth Amboy	New Jersey	1974-82	220 257	2 196
720	Mortell Company	Riverside	California	1974-76	25 524	306
721	Mortell Company	Unknown	Illinois	1 985	(3 000)	3
722	Mortell Company	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	66 202	517
723	Mortel' Company /	Warren	Michigan	1974-75	57 037	840
724	Motoroyal Oil Company	Denver	Colorado	1951-52	-	-
725	Muessman Asbestos Mfg. Co.	Woodside	New York	1940-41	-	-
726	Multi-Brick Mfg. Co. Inc.	St. Louis	Missouri	1947-48	-	-
727	Mundet Cork Corporation	Hillside	New Jersey	1942-46	-	-
728	Mundet Cork Corporation	North Bergen	New Jersey	1946-52; 55-57; 61-62	83 224	434
729	Murphy Supply	Lansing	Michigan	1 957	425	11
730	N O Nelson Mfg.	Pueblo	Colorado	1 940	-	-
731	Nailcrete Corporation	New York	New York	1931-41; 47-48	-	-
732	Napco Corporation	Houston	Texas	1973-78	3 545	45
733	Nascher, P.G. (P.G. Nascher)	New York	New York	1945-47	-	-
734	National Asbestos Company	Jersey City	New Jersey	1931-33; 35	-	-
735	National Brake Block Corp.	Mobile	Alabama	1974-75; 78-80	19 455	140
736	National Carbon Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1936; 38-42	-	-
737	National Friction Products Corp.	Logansport	Indiana	1967-74	390 193	3 028
738	National Gypsum Co.	Buffalo	New York	1933-35; 44-52; 55-74	5 205 384	45 098
739	National Gypsum Co.	Millington	New Jersey	1967; 73-74	138 198	820
740	National Gypsum Co.	New Orleans	Louisiana	1967-69; 72-75	785 241	4 177
741	National India Rubber Co.	Providence	Rhode Island	1933-34	-	-
742	National Mfg. Corp.	Tonawanda	New York	1941-52; 55-61; 63-65	53 418	1 059
743	National Paint & Oil Co.	Nashville	Tennessee	1 978	585	5
744	National Tile & Marble Corp.	New York	New York	1942-45	-	-
745	New Britain Plumbing Supply	New Britain	Connecticut	1941-42; 46	-	-
746	New England Insulation Co.	Boston	Massachusetts	1940-42; 45-52; 55-56	9 010	160
747	New England Lime Company	Pittsfield	Massachusetts	1 931	-	-
748	New England Pipe Supply Company	Charlestown	Massachusetts	1933; 36	-	-
749	New England Pipe Supply Company	Somerville	Massachusetts	1931-32	-	-
750	New Haven Plumbing & Supply Co.	New Haven	Connecticut	1933; 35	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Claim Procedure Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
751	New Jersey Zinc Company	Palmerton	Pennsylvania	1 970	650	1
752	New York Shipbuilding Corp.	Camden	New Jersey	1 943	-	-
753	Neyra Industries	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	302	2
754	Nichols Pipe Co.	El Paso	Texas	1 947	-	-
755	Nicolet Asbestos Mines Ltd.	New York	New York	1932; 34; 36-48	-	-
756	Nicolet Inc.	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	2 682	2
757	Nicolet Industries Inc.	Ambler	Pennsylvania	1968-75	3 574 395	34 555
758	Nicolet Industries Inc.	Hamilton	Ohio	1969-75	7 039 515	86 654
759	Nicolet Industries Inc.	New York	New York	1951-52; 57-60; 62; 64-65; 67	479 317	4 390
760	Nicolet Industries Inc.	Norristown	Pennsylvania	1968-75	9 732 432	104 204
761	Nicotex Inc.	Ambler	Pennsylvania	1 974	7 078	50
762	Nisely & Company	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1 932	-	-
763	Noland Co. Inc.	Atlanta	Georgia	1941-43; 45-46	-	-
764	Noland Co. Inc.	Roanoke	Virginia	1946; 51	-	-
765	Norfolk & Western Railway Co.	Roanoke	Virginia	1933-34	-	-
766	Norristown Asbestos Division	Norristown	Pennsylvania	1 956	5 062	80
767	Norristown Magnesia & Asbestos Co.	Norristown	Pennsylvania	1931-39; 46-47	-	-
768	Northeastern Asbestos Mfg. Co.	Somerville	Massachusetts	1 942	-	-
769	Northwest Insulation Co.	Vancouver	Washington	1 945	-	-
770	Northwest Vermiculite Inc.	Portland	Oregon	1 963	1 980	50
771	Norton Laboratories	Lockport	New York	1962-72	92 638	2 161
772	Norton & Son	Bayonne	New Jersey	1950-52; 55-61; 63-72	41 199	999
773	Nox-Rust Chemical Corp.	Chicago	Illinois	1947-52	-	-
774	Oakfield Gypsum Products Corp.	Syracuse	New York	1934-37	-	-
775	Ohio Adhesives Corporation	New Philadelphia	Ohio	1 950	-	-
776	Ohio Adhesives Corporation	New Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1959-60	6 704	179
777	Ohio Asbestos & Insulation Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1937-38; 42; 47-51; 55-56; 58	3 348	71
778	Ohio Brass Company	Mansfield	Ohio	1931; 34-48; 50-51; 55-61; 64-65; 67-74; 76-79; 81-83	42 016	360
779	Ohio Paper Company	Miamisburg	Ohio	1939-40	-	-
780	Ohio Rubber Company	Willoughby	Ohio	1932-41	-	-
781	Ohio Rubber Co.	Stratford	Connecticut	1 973	327	3
782	Ohio Sealer & Chemical Co.	Dayton	Ohio	1 959	197	5
783	Ohmlac Paint & Refining Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1 932	-	-
784	Okonite Company	N. Brunswick	New Jersey	1963-65	3 791	82
785	Orangeburg Mfg. Company	Orangeburg	New York	1947-52; 55-59; 62	432 036	11 795
786	Orleans Insulation & Sales Co.	New Orleans	Louisiana	1 963	81	3
787	Osmose Wood Preserving Co.	Buffalo	New York	1947; 49-52	-	-
788	Osmose Wood Preserving Co. of America Inc.	Buffalo	New York	1955-60	3 305	52
789	Otis Massey Co. Ltd.	Houston	Texas	1945-46; 49; 51	-	-
790	Overall Paint Co.	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	-	0
791	Overall Paint & Lead Co.	Bedford Heights	Ohio	1966-67	8 447	200
792	Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corp.	Newark	New Jersey	1 947	-	-
793	Owens-Illinois Glass Co.	Toledo	Ohio	1947; 49-51	-	-
794	P G Nascher (Nascher, P.G.)	New York	New York	1945-47	-	-
795	P M Taft Asbestos Company	Holyoke	Massachusetts	1931-35	-	-
796	P O B Mfg. Co.	Cincinnati	Ohio	1945; 47; 50-51; 55; 57-63	4 287	144
797	P O Baker	Providence	Rhode Island	1931; 34-37	-	-
798	Pabco Products Inc.	San Francisco	California	1949-52; 55-56	203 698	1 615
799	Pacific Asbestos Supply Co.	Portland	Oregon	1947-48; 50	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
800	Pacific Asbestos-Cement	San Bernardino	California	1 951	-	-
801	Pacific Paint & Varnish Co.	Berkeley	California	1935-38; 41-44	-	-
802	Paint Product Inc.	Danville	Ohio	1958-59; 61-63; 65; 83	16 029	196
803	Palmer Asbestos & Rubber	Louisville	Kentucky	1932-46	-	-
804	Palmer Asbestos & Rubber	St. Matthews	Kentucky	1932; 46-52; 68-80; 83	106 531	1 000
805	Palmer Asbestos & Rubber	Chicago	Illinois	1949-52; 55-68; 70-71	115 185	1 722
806	Palmer Asphalt Co.	Bayonne	New Jersey	1955-57	25 953	727
807	Palmer Supplies	Cleveland	Ohio	1972-73	179	4
808	Panther Oil & Grease Mfg. Co.	Fort Worth	Texas	1937-52; 55-60	62 855	1 251
809	Paragon Plaster & Supply Co.	Scranton	Pennsylvania	1933-48	-	-
810	Parham Pierce Inc.	E. Dearborn	Michigan	1 955	874	30
811	Parr Inc.	Elkhart	Indiana	1979-80; 82-85	132 171	865
812	Parr Inc.	Fort Worth	Texas	1979-80; 82	99 549	746
813	Parr Inc.	Ocala	Floride	1979; 82-85	59 678	391
814	Parr Inc.	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	81 216	576
815	Parr Paint & Color Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1950; 64-65	11 141	280
816	Parr Paint & Sealants Inc.	Cleveland	Ohio	1966-68; 78-79	34 146	403
817	Parrafine Companies Inc.	Emeryville	California	1946; 48-49	-	-
818	Parrafine Companies Inc.	San Francisco	California	1932-34	-	-
819	Parrafine Companies Inc.	Somerville	New Jersey	1946-48	-	-
820	Parsons Brothers	Bridgeport	Connecticut	1936-40; 45	-	-
821	Passaic Rubber Co.	Clifton	New Jersey	1 932	-	-
822	Passonno Corporation	Watervlist	New York	1 956	205	3
823	Patenaude Plasters	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	138	1
824	Paul J. Krez Company	Chicago	Illinois	1937; 39-45; 48	-	-
825	Pecora Paint Company	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1948-50; 55-61	41 451	1 119
826	Penn Crete Products Co. Inc.	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1 963	588	30
827	Penn Rillton Company	Irwin	Pennsylvania	1956-67	14 228	360
828	Penn Rillton Company	W. Elizabeth	Pennsylvania	1968-69	3 879	90
829	Penn Salt Chemicals Co.	Portland	Oregon	1962; 65-68	16 050	90
830	Pennsylvania Lubricating Co	Pittsburg	Pennsylvania	1932-33	-	-
831	Pennsylvania Salt Mfg. Co.	Portland	Oregon	1956; 59	9 899	60
832	Penola Inc.	Chicago	Illinois	1 947	-	-
833	Penola Inc.	Pittsburg	Pennsylvania	1933-43; 46	-	-
834	Perfection Dry-Wall Inc.	W. Palm Beach	Floride	1 969	136	3
835	Perfection Industries	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	-	0
836	Permalastic Products Co.	Detroit	Michigan	1955-61; 63	25 356	670
837	Permiteco Inc.	Dayton	Ohio	1960; 63-65	4 942	138
838	Perry & Derrick Co.	Cincinnati	Ohio	1947-52; 62	9	1
839	Peterson Foundry Supply Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1955; 57-58; 60; 63-64	7 985	221
840	Petrol Corporation	Los Angeles	California	1 945	-	-
841	Philadelphia Asbestos Corp.	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1932-39; 42-45; 47-52; 56-57; 61	2 250	55
842	Philip Carey Corporation	Houston	Texas	1969-72	9 260	200
843	Philip Carey Corporation	Linden	New Jersey	1 972	4 132	50
844	Philip Carey Corporation	Memphis	Tennessee	1971-72	4 680	100
845	Philip Carey Corporation	Wilmington	Illinois	1969-71	16 438	350
846	Philip Carey Mfg. Company	Cincinnati	Ohio	1 932	-	-
847	Philip Carey Mfg. Company	Lockland	Ohio	1955-72; 74-75	17 981 082	135 180
848	Philip Carey Mfq. Company	Perth Amboy	New Jersey	1967; 69; 72	10 883	150
849	Philip Carey Mfg. Company	Plymouth Mtg.	Pennsylvania	1931; 34-35	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
850	Philomene Lucier Mrs. (Lucier, Philomene)	N. Brookfield	Massachusetts	1 942	-	-
851	Pioneer Flintkote Co.	Los Angeles	California	1941-46	-	-
852	Pioneer Metal Products Co.	Bridgeport	Connecticut	1946-47	-	-
853	Pioneer Sand & Gravel	Seattle	Washington	1 966	740	18
854	Pitt Consol Chemical	Newark	New Jersey	1956-57	4 180	110
855	Pittsburgh Chemical Co.	Pittsburgh	Pennsylvania	1963-66	41 000	1 040
856	Pittsburgh Metals & Purifying Co.	Saxonburq	Pennsylvania	1968-69	8 263	35
857	Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co.	Newark	New Jersey	1942; 1945	-	-
858	Plant Insulating Co.	Los Angeles	California	1948-49; 52	-	-
859	Plant Rubber & Asbestos	San Francisco	California	1932-37; 39-48	-	-
860	Plaskalite Corp.	Chester	West Virginia	1 932	-	-
861	Plaslok Inc.	Lockport	New York	1974; 78-79; 81-83	57 899	529
862	Plastic Moulding Corporatic	Sandy Hook	Connecticut	1933-38	-	-
863	Plastic Products Inc.	S. Norwalk	Connecticut	1946-51	-	-
864	Plastic Veneering Inc.	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	1942-43	-	-
865	Plastics Products Co.	Detroit	Michigan	1955-61	13 042	341
866	Plastoid Products of Floridi.	Detroit	Michigan	1960-61	1 782	45
867	Podwils Asbestos Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1937-38	-	-
868	Poe Hardware & Supply Co.	Greenville	South Carolina	1 941	-	-
869	Powhatan Mining Company	Baltimore	Maryland	1 947	-	-
870	Prestite Keystone Eng. Co.	St. Louis	Missouri	1959-60	5 301	134
871	Prospect Paint Company	Secaucus	New Jersey	1941; 45-52; 55; 57	3 069	86
872	Protective Coatings	Portland	Oregon	1955-56	5 396	140
873	Protective Coatings Corp.	Richmond	California	1950-51	-	-
874	Protecto Wrap Company	Denver	Colorado	1 963	369	10
875	Pure Oil Company	Chicago	Illinois	1931; 1933	-	-
876	Quaker State Oil Refining Corp.	Buffalo	New York	1965-66; 70-71	48 686	1 146
877	Quigley Co. Limited	Old Bridge	New Jersey	1939-51	-	-
878	R B Wing & Son Co.	Albany	New York	1931; 33	-	-
879	R C A Rubber Company	Akron	Ohio	1933-37; 47-49; 60	1 623	44
880	R E Michel Company	Baltimore	Maryland	1 949	-	-
881	R H Schwartz Rubber Corp.	Cleveland	Ohio	1 939	-	-
882	R I Insulation Co.	Port Kennedy	Pennsylvania	1 960	1 980	50
883	R J Dorn & Co	New Orleans	Louisiana	1942-46	-	-
884	R M Group	Unknown	U.S.A.	1982-1985	484 013	705
885	R M Lucas Company	Chicago	Illinois	1935-37; 39-52; 63-64; 78	13 069	135
886	R P M Incorporated	Medina	Ohio	1978; 82	2 524	23
887	R S Simmers Company	Detroit	Michigan	1 955	1 026	30
888	R T Vanderbilt Co. Inc.	New York	New York	1 936	-	-
889	R V Avcock Company	Houston	Texas	1931-32	-	-
890	R W Cameron & Co. Inc.	New York	New York	1 940	-	-
891	Radiator Specialty Co. Inc.	Charlotte	North Carolina	1 973	736	15
892	Ralph E. Weeks & Company	Scranton	Pennsylvania	1 937	-	-
893	Randall Company	Cincinnati	Ohio	1 941	-	-
894	Raub Supply Company	Lancaster	Pennsylvania	1936-37; 48-52; 55-59	7 373	190
895	Raybestos-Manhattan Inc.	Crawfordsville	Indiana	1973-75; 78	387 196	1 983
896	Raybestos-Manhattan Inc.	Marshville	North Carolina	1976; 78-80	1 699 913	1 772
897	Raybestos-Manhattan Inc.	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	-	-
898	Raybestos-Manhattan Inc. (General Asbestos & Rubber Div.)	N. Charleston	South Carolina	1931-33; 35-52; 72-76; 78; 80-81	1 029 943	1 658

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
899	Raybestos-Manhattan Inc. (Manhattan Rubber Mfg. Div.)	Passaic	New Jersey	1931-38; 41-52; 63-70; 72	1 711 287	12 140
900	Raybestos-Manhattan Inc. (Raybestos Div.)	Bridgeport	Connecticut	1931-40	-	-
901	Raybestos-Manhattan Inc. (Raybestos Div.)	Stratford	Connecticut	1941-52; 55-80; 82-81	4 888 038	25 305
902	Raybestos-Manhattan Inc. (U.S. Asbestos Div.)	Manheim	Pennsylvania	1931-52; 63-78; 86	4 238 381	9 161
903	Raymark Industries	Manheim	Pennsylvania	1 985	924	3
904	Ready Built Products Co.	Baltimore	Maryland	1972-73; 75	5 130	80
905	Readymix Concrete Co. Ltd.	San Francisco	California	1943; 45	-	-
906	Rechlee Paint Products	Orange	New Jersey	1 959	594	15
907	Refractory	Unknown	Illinois	1 967	-	0
908	Refractory & Insulation Corp.	Port Kennedy	Pennsylvania	1942-52; 55-64	108 380	2 787
909	Reid Asbestos Company	Hartford	Connecticut	1 946	-	-
910	Reily Tar & Chemical Co.	Newark	New Jersey	1 955	1 044	30
911	Republic Powdered Metals Inc.	Cleveland	Ohio	1956-58	13 726	240
912	Reynolds Metals Company	Richmond	Virginia	1964-70	2 368	15
913	Ribelin Distributors Inc.	Houston	Texas	1 972	749	16
914	Richman Chemical Products Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1 952	-	-
915	Riley Bros. Inc.	Burlineton	Iowa	1949-52; 55-79	81 357	1 271
916	Riteset Mfq. Co.	Los Angeles	California	1950-52; 55-56; 58	22 912	640
917	Robert Chemical Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1 964	1 845	50
918	Rocbond Company	Harrisburg	Pennsylvania	1932-33; 35-38	-	-
919	Rocbond Products Company	Van Wert	Ohio	1938-39	-	-
920	Rochester Surface Protection Co. Inc.	Pittsford	New York	1 940	-	-
921	Rockbestos Products Corporation	New Haven	Connecticut	1 934	-	-
922	Rogers Corp.	Manchester	Connecticut	1 979	615	5
923	Rowe Paint & Varnish Co. Inc.	Niagara Falls	New York	1942-52; 55	3 942	90
924	Rowe Products Inc.	Freeport	Texas	1973-74	14 767	300
925	Rowe Products Inc.	Niagara Falls	New York	1956-59; 61-63; 65; 68; 73-74	19 294	398
926	Rowe Products Inc.	Niagara Falls	New York	1 972	1 836	40
927	Royal Industries	Danville	Kentucky	1 975	1 764	20
928	Royal Industries	New Castle	Indiana	1 975	58 997	289
929	Royal Oil Company	Denver	Colorado	1955; 57-59	10 980	220
930	Royston Laboratories Inc.	Springdale	Pennsylvania	1951-52	-	-
931	Rubber & Asbestos Corp.	Bloomfield	New Jersey	1955-56	1 107	30
932	Ruberoid Company	New York	New York	1955; 59; 64-67	336 711	1 893
933	Ruberoid Company	S. Bound Brook	New Jersey	1931-35	-	-
934	Ruberoid Company, Eternit Mills Div.	St. Louis	Missouri	1934-35	-	-
935	Ruberoid Company, Safepack Mills Div.	Millis	Massachusetts	1931; 33-34	-	-
936	Ruggles, Frank G. (Frank G. Ruggles)	New York	New York	1955-57	38 720	419
937	Russell Asbestos Co.	Northfield	Vermont	1949-52; 55-58	395 040	971
938	Russell Mfg. Company	Middletown	Connecticut	1947; 55; 59-62; 64-65	508 299	1 325
939	Rust Engineering Co.	Naheola	Alabama	1 960	1 069	22
940	S H Yoder (Yoder, S.H.)	Reading	Pennsylvania	1 945	-	-
941	Sali Mountain Company	Chicago	Illinois	1931; 36-37	-	-
942	Sall Mountain Co.	Hamilton	Ohio	1952; 1955-56	15 146	429
943	Sam's Place (Sam Osher, Esq.)	Biddeford	Maine	1932; 37; 39	-	-
944	Samuels Supply Company	Boston	Massachusetts	1931-32	-	-
945	Scandinavia Belting Co.	Newark	New Jersey	1950-51; 56	-	0
946	Schnee Morehead Chemicals Inc.	Irving	Texas	1963-69; 72-74	35 990	831
947	Schoedinger, F.O. (F.O. Schoedinger)	Columbus	Ohio	1 949	-	-
948	Schulter	Unknown	Unknown	1 982	-	0

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
949	Scientific Oil Compounding Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1939-42	-	-
950	Seaboard Asphalt Products Co.	Baltimore	Maryland	1946-52; 55-57; 59-60; 62	41 939	1 179
951	Seaboard Roofing Co.	Irvington	New Jersey	1 955	2 641	80
952	Seabord Supply Co.	Irvington	New Jersey	1 946	-	-
953	Sea-Ro Products Corporation	New York	New York	1941-43	-	-
954	Sea-Ro Products Co.	Wood Ridge	New Jersey	1944-52; 55-67	18 078	216
955	Sears, Roebuck & Company	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1937-39	-	-
956	Selindh Machine Company	Des Moines	Iowa	1971-73	1 094	9
957	Semet-Solvay Company	New York	New York	1932-41	-	-
958	Sentco	Youngstown	Unknown	1982-83	14 889	100
959	Sentco Paint Mfg. Co.	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	6 272	49
960	Service Products Inc.	Columbus	Ohio	1957-59	3 502	90
961	Servicised Products Corp.	Chicago	Illinois	1931-51; 55-68	41 567	1 024
962	Shanklin Corporation	Ayer	Massachusetts	1971-73	244	3
963	Sheffield Bronze Paint Corp.	Cleveland	Ohio	1955-59	1 991	732
964	Shepard Paint Company	Columbus	Ohio	1933; 1935-37; 41-47	-	-
965	Silver Line Brake Lining Corp.	Santa Clara	California	1955-69	371 212	8 796
966	Sloane-Blabon Corp.	Trenton	New Jersey	1 948	-	-
967	Smith Asbestos Products Inc.	Millington	New Jersey	1949-52	-	-
968	Smith Asbestos Products Inc.	New York	New York	1949-50	-	-
969	Smith Paint Products	Harrisburg	Pennsylvania	1 939	-	-
970	Smith & Kanzler Corp.	Elizabeth	New Jersey	1931-48	-	-
971	Smith & Kanzler Corp.	Linden	New Jersey	1 951	-	-
972	Solar Compound Corp.	Linden	New Jersey	1961-64	22 333	664
973	Solar Varnish Company	Linden	New Jersey	1959-60	8 910	225
974	Somerville, Thomas (Thomas Somerville)	Washington	District of Columbia	1945-48; 50	-	-
975	Southeastern Magnesia & Asbestos Co.	Charlotte	North Carolina	1948-49; 51-52	-	-
976	Southern Asbestos Company	Charlotte	North Carolina	1931-37; 41; 74-77	776 325	1 010
977	Southern Asbestos Co.	Bennettsville	South Carolina	1974; 76	280 750	401
978	Southern Asphalt & Petroleum	Forth Worth	Texas	1 945	-	-
979	Southern Builders Inc.	Tallahassee	Floride	1 946	-	-
980	Southwest Grease & Oil Co. Inc.	Kansas City	Missouri	1965-78	618 979	8 720
981	Southwest Insulating & Packing Co.	Dallas	Texas	1933; 35; 37; 40; 42; 46; 50; 52	-	-
982	Southwest Petro Chem	Olathe	Kansas	1979-82	189 323	1 469
983	Southwestern Petroleum Co. Inc.	Fort Worth	Texas	1948-52; 56-74	172 352	3 301
984	Speer Resistor Corp.	St. Mary's	Pennsylvania	1931; 34-39; 42-49	-	-
985	Sprayed Insulation Inc.	Montclair	New Jersey	1 945	-	-
986	Sprayo-Flake Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1944-45; 47-49; 51	-	-
987	Sprinkman Sons Corporation	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	1936; 41-48; 50	-	-
988	Sprinkman Sons Corporation	Peoria	Illinois	1937-38; 40; 45; 48	-	-
989	Sta Vis Oil Co.	Unknown	Minnesota	1 963	958	15
990	Stancal Asphalt & Bitumuls Co.	Inglewood	California	1949-51	-	-
991	Stanco Brake Lining Co.	Houston	Texas	1941-52	-	-
992	Standard Asbestos Co.	New York	New York	1933-36; 47	-	-
993	Standard Asbestos Co.	San Francisco	California	1936-37	-	-
994	Standard Asbestos Mfg. Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1931-52; 55-64	405 698	4 240
995	Standard Asbestos Mfg. Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1944-52; 55-59; 63-65	17 356	295
996	Standard Asbestos Mfg. Co.	Detroit	Michigan	1946-52; 55-64; 66-67	21 165	570
997	Standard Asbestos Mfg. & Insulating Co.	Kansas City	Missouri	1931-33	-	-
998	Standard Hardware Co.	Lynn	Massachusetts	1 932	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
999	Standard Insulation Company	Washington	District of Columbia	1 938	-	-
1 000	Standard Insulation Co. of Md. Inc.	Baltimore	Maryland	1944-52; 55	1 356	40
1 001	Standard Lime & Stone Company	Millville	West Virginia	1 939	-	-
1 002	Standard Oil Co.	Whiting	Indiana	1955-57	15 428	441
1 003	Standard Oil Co. of California	Richmond	California	1936; 38-42	-	-
1 004	Standard Oil Co. of California	San Francisco	California	1943-47; 49-50; 52	-	-
1 005	Standco Brake Lining Company	Houston	Texas	1955-57; 59-60	385 877	1 121
1 006	Standco Industries Inc.	Houston	Texas	1961-66; 68-69; 74	266 994	1 158
1 007	Standco Supply Co.	Houston	Texas	1961-67; 70-71	247 281	1 052
1 008	Stanley Doggett, Inc.	New York	New York	1 933	-	-
1 009	Starkie Company (A.E. Starkie Company)	Chicago	Illinois	1932-39; 43-52; 55-60	21 678	542
1 010	Starkie, Albert E. (Albert E. Starkie)	Oak Park	Illinois	1 939	-	-
1 011	Stauffer Chemical Company	Niagara Falls	New York	1958-59; 63	2 318	12
1 012	Steen Resin & Chemical Co.	Chicago Heights	Illinois	1958-60	25 502	435
1 013	Stephens Adamson Mfg. Co.	Los Angeles	California	1 942	-	-
1 014	Sterling Materials Co.	New York	New York	1931-33	-	-
1 015	Sterling Varnish Co.	Haysville	Pennsylvania	1 933	-	-
1 016	Stokes Asbestos Co.	Trenton	New Jersey	1931-33	-	-
1 017	Storvey Company Division (or Stovey)	St. Louis	Missouri	1955-57	4 363	120
1 018	Stovey Company Division (or Storvey)	St. Louis	Missouri	1955-57	-	-
1 019	Strong, Carlisle & Hammond	Cleveland	Ohio	1939-42	-	-
1 020	St. Clair Rubber Company	Marysville	Michigan	1955-56	155	17
1 021	St. Mary's Friction Co. Inc.	Austin	Pennsylvania	1946; 48	-	-
1 022	Superbestos Corp.	Watseka	Illinois	1 932	-	-
1 023	Superior Auto Products	Boise	Idaho	1938-39	-	-
1 024	Superior Chemical Sales Co.	Kansas City	Missouri	1 947	-	-
1 025	Supradur Corporation of NY	New York	New York	1946-47	-	-
1 026	Supradur Manufacturing Corp.	Wind Gap	Pennsylvania	1964; 68; 76	49 284	800
1 027	Swedish Crucible Steel Co.	Detroit	Michigan	1946-47	-	-
1 028	Synkoloid Co.	Los Angeles	California	1 963	2 025	90
1 029	Synvar Corporation	Wilmington	Delaware	1948-51	-	-
1 030	T H Barker & Co.	Ardmore	Pennsylvania	1942-43	-	-
1 031	Taft Jenkins Co. Inc.	Holyoke	Massachusetts	1947; 50-51	-	-
1 032	Tallman-McCluskey Fabrics Co.	Kirkwood	Missouri	1947-52; 55-68	613 064	1 599
1 033	Tamms Industries Inc.	Chicago	Illinois	1955-57	3 238	90
1 034	Technical Sealants	St. Paul	Minnesota	1970; 73-74	4 114	70
1 035	Texas Company	New York	New York	1941-42; 44-52; 55-59	36 159	920
1 036	Texas Refinery Corp.	Fort Worth	Texas	1961-74	340 108	5 807
1 037	Theo. H. Davies & Co. Ltd.	New York	New York	1949-50	-	-
1 038	Thermoid Company	Trenton	New Jersey	1933-37; 47-49; 51	-	-
1 039	Thermoid Company, Southern Division	Charlotte	North Carolina	1941-52; 55-60	1 572 679	5 215
1 040	Thiem Products Inc.	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	1963-75	133 659	3 106
1 041	Thikol Chemical Corp.	Brigham City	Utah	1965-66	113 580	2 231
1 042	Thiokol Chemical Corp.	Brigham City	Utah	1968-74	4 059	59
1 043	Thiokol Chemical Corp.	Elkton	Maryland	1 971	40	1
1 044	Thokol Chemical Corp.	Brigham City	Utah	1 967	574	11
1 045	Thomas Moulding Floor Mfg. Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1934-50	-	-
1 046	Thomas Somerville (Somerville, Thomas)	Washington	District of Columbia	1945-48; 50	-	-
1 047	Thompson-Hayward Chemical Co.	Kansas City	Missouri	1944-52; 55-59	36 442	848
1 048	Tile-Tex Company	Chicago Hts.	Illinois	1931-37; 39-41; 44-45; 47-49	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
1 049	Tile-Tite Corporation	Cleveland	Ohio	1947-52; 55; 58; 60-63; 65-66	18 778	480
1 050	Tilo Company Inc.	Stratford	Connecticut	1 968	15 675	100
1 051	Tilo Roofing Co. Inc.	Stratford	Connecticut	1937; 48-52; 55-61; 64-67	273 725	1 881
1 052	Tischler Brothers	Union City	New Jersey	1 931	-	-
1 053	Tobias Paint Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1 966	846	20
1 054	Tops-All Roofing & Building	Hialeah	Floride	1 978	10 200	50
1 055	Trent, Thomas & Brothers	Hartford	Connecticut	1 931	-	-
1 056	Tremco Manufacturing Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1932-35	-	-
1 057	Trenton Minerai Flooring Co.	Trenton	New Jersey	1 931	-	-
1 058	Trenton Paper Co.	Trenton	New Jersey	1 958	1 543	40
1 059	Triplewear Brake Lining Corp.	Paterson	New Jersey	1934-35; 37-38	-	-
1 060	Tri-State Industrial Supply Co.	Boydsville	Ohio	1969-73	104 891	731
1 061	Tri-State Industrial Supply Co.	Bridgeport	Ohio	1 974	39 729	241
1 062	Tri-State Industrial Supply Co.	Phillipsburg	Pennsylvania	1972-73	35 304	292
1 063	Tri-State Industrial Supply Co.	Phillipsburg	Pennsylvania	1 974	18 626	119
1 064	Tropical Asphalt	Hallandale	Floride	1963-65	5 925	200
1 065	Tropical Asphalt Products	Unknown	Unknown	1 985	164	1
1 066	Tropical Paint & Oil Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1932-34; 36; 47	-	-
1 067	Trumbull Asphalt Co. of Delaware	Woods Cross	Utah	1 964	5 535	150
1 068	Trybee Company	Garfield	New Jersey	1 952	-	-
1 069	Tuco Products Corp.	Chicago	Illinois	1 948	-	-
1 070	Tuff Cote Asphaltic Prod. Co.	E. Detroit	Michigan	1 961	-	2
1 071	Tuff-Kote Inc.	Warren	Michigan	1967-69; 71	39 353	901
1 072	U S Asphalt Company	Cleveland	Ohio	1 936	-	-
1 073	U S Gypsum Company	East Chicago	Indiana	1967-75	1 602 068	10 092
1 074	U S Gypsum Company	Fort Dodge	Iowa	1967-69	7 066	110
1 075	U S Gypsum Company	Jersey City	New Jersey	1935-37	-	-
1 076	U S Gypsum Company	New Brighton	New York	1968-69	8 180	120
1 077	U S Gypsum Company	New York	New York	1 967	5 808	88
1 078	U S Gypsum Company	St. Paul	Minnesota	1967-74	16 690	329
1 079	U S Gypsum Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1931-37; 39-52; 55-66	2 900 456	24 787
1 080	U S Heat & Frost Insulating Co.	Buffalo	New York	1940; 44; 47-48; 51-52; 58	1 457	30
1 081	U S Oil Company	Providence	Rhode Island	1931-32; 34-44; 46; 48; 50-51	-	-
1 082	U S Plywood Company	South River	New Jersey	1955-59	330 206	1 857
1 083	U S Plywood Corp.	Wrights	New Jersey	1967-68	45 980	220
1 084	U S Rubber Company	New York	New York	1931-32; 41-52; 55-59; 63; 65	375 401	736
1 085	U S Rubber Company	Passaic	New Jersey	1939-40	-	-
1 086	U S Sanatone Company	Dallas	Texas	1947-49	-	-
1 087	U S Spring & Bumper Co.	Santa Clara	California	1951-52	-	-
1 088	U S Treasury Dept.	Washington	District of Columbia	1944-45	-	-
1 089	Union Asbestos & Rubber Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1951-52; 55-62	449 176	1 117
1 090	Union Asbestos & Rubber Co.	Cicero	Illinois	1933; 37-50	-	-
1 091	Union Asbestos & Rubber Co.	Davidson	North Carolina	1948-49	-	-
1 092	Union Carbide Corp.	New York	New York	1958-60	14 520	170
1 093	Union Carbide, Bakelite Division	New York	New York	1950-52; 55-57	21 552	195
1 094	Union Carbide, Plastics Co. Div.	Bound Brook	New Jersey	1967-70	9 689	90
1 095	Union Carbide, Plastics Co. Div.	New York	New York	1961-66	22 836	258
1 096	Uniroyal Inc.	Hogansville	Georgia	1970-74	174 616	277
1 097	Universal Asbestos Corp.	Richmond Hill	New York	1941-48	-	-
1 098	Universal Friction Materials Co.	Kendallville	Indiana	1946-47; 63-64	46 013	652

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
1 099	Universal Refractories Corp.	Wampum	Pennsylvania	1 968	1 323	30
1 100	Upco Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1967-68; 71-72; 74	2 964	66
1 101	Utica Hydraulic Cernent Co.	Utica	Illinois	1 936	-	-
1 102	Utica Plumbing Supply Corp.	Utica	New York	1933-35; 37	-	-
1 103	Uvalde Rock Asphalt Company	San Antonio	Texas	1 937	-	-
1 104	Valentine Sugard Inc., Valite Div.	New Orleans	Louisiana	1 962	41	1
1 105	Van Packer Corporation	Buda	Illinois	1955-59; 64	218 107	2 085
1 106	Vermiculite Manufacturing Co.	Seattle	Washington	1 966	1 058	-
1 107	Vermont Asbestos Company	Hyde Park	Vermont	1 932	-	-
1 108	Vermont Asbestos Group Inc.	Hyde Park	Vermont	1975-81; 83; 85-86	1 919 799	1 897
1 109	Vermont Asbestos Mines	New York	New York	1941-52	-	-
1 110	Vibradamp Corporation	Jackson	Michigan	1946-52; 55-58	307 300	9 172
1 111	Victor Mfg. & Gasket Company	Chicago	Illinois	1937; 64	39 992	351
1 112	Viscosity OH Company	Chicago	Illinois	1 932	-	-
1 113	W A Case & Son Mfg. Company	Brooklyn	New York	1931-37	-	-
1 114	W A Case & Son Mfg. Company	Buffalo	New York	1933-38	-	-
1 115	W E Aubuchon Company	Fitchburg	Massachusetts	1935-36	-	-
1 116	W H Flickinger Inc.	Charlestown	Massachusetts	1940-41	-	-
1 117	W P Fuller & Co.	San Francisco	California	1935; 41-43; 45-46	-	-
1 118	W R Grace Co.	Chicago	Illinois	1970-72	6 365	136
1 119	W R Grace Co., Dewey & Almy Chem. Div.	Chicago	Illinois	1964-67; 69-70	-	-
1 120	W R Grace Co., Zonolite Co. Div.	Chicago	Illinois	1963-65	-	-
1 121	W S Nott Company	Minneapolis	Minnesota	1931; 33	-	-
1 122	W W Fuchs & Co. Inc.	New York	New York	1947-50	-	-
1 123	W & M Products Corp.	E. Detroit	Michigan	1955-56; 60	7 945	203
1 124	Wallace & Gale Company	Baltimore	Maryland	1931-52; 55-72	72 705	1 741
1 125	Walter J. Ballou Company	Boston	Massachusetts	1931; 47-48	-	-
1 126	Wards Natural Science Est.	Rochester	New York	1944; 46-49; 52; 80	791	0
1 127	Warren Brothers Co.	Boston	Massachusetts	1 931	-	-
1 128	Warren Refining Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1955; 57-62	42 993	973
1 129	Washington Paint Products	Chicago	Illinois	1963-64; 66-67	6 948	170
1 130	Watson Standard Co.	Pittsburgh	Pennsylvania	1955-65	5 229	130
1 131	Weaver-Wall Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1932-35; 37-44; 46	-	-
1 132	Weil-McLain Company	Michigan City	Indiana	1933-37	-	-
1 133	Weinstock Supply Company	Newark	New Jersey	1934; 36	-	-
1 134	Wesco Waterpaints	W. Berkeley	California	1947-50	-	-
1 135	West Virginia Pulp & Paper Co.	New York	New York	1936-43; 45-52	-	-
1 136	Western Asbestos Corp.	San Francisco	California	1944-46	-	-
1 137	Western Minerai Products Co.	Minneapolis	Minnesota	1947-52; 57-59	7 400	110
1 138	Westinghouse Air Brake Co.	Wilmerding	Pennsylvania	1931; 33-41; 43; 47-51; 55-62; 64-67	26 329	482
1 139	Westinghouse Electric Corp.	E. Pittsburg	Pennsylvania	1946-47	-	-
1 140	Whitco Chemical Company (or Witco)	Chicago	Illinois	1946-52; 55-63; 66	175 486	4 725
1 141	White Fuel Corporation	S. Boston	Massachusetts	1 936	-	-
1 142	Whittaker, Clark & Daniels Inc.	New York	New York	1935-52; 55-73	320 745	7 912
1 143	Widger & MacDonald	Detroit	Michigan	1 948	-	-
1 144	William Summerhays Company	Rochester	New York	1 952	-	-
1 145	Williamson Paint Co.	Charleston	West Virginia	1948-50; 73-75	7 542	120
1 146	Williamson Paint Mfg. Co.	Charleston	West Virginia	1955-56; 58-59; 61	4 587	121
1 147	Wilson Paint Company	Cincinnati	Ohio	1939; 43	-	-
1 148	Wilson Welder & Metals Co. Inc.	New York	New York	1 938	-	-

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims and Case Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for U.S. clients from 1955 to 1985

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $)	Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons)
1 149	Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	E. Pt. Washington	Wisconsin	1 941	-	-
1 150	Witco Chemical Co. (or Whitco)	Chicago	Illinois	1946-52; 55-63; 66	-	-
1 151	Wm. Zinger Handy Patching Plaster Co.	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	1 950	-	-
1 152	Woodall Industries Inc.	Detroit	Michigan	1 957	1 046	30
1 153	Wooster Finishes Corp.	Wooster	Ohio	1955-58	6 608	180
1 154	World Bestos Corporation	New Castle	Indiana	1955-74; 76	2 976 364	28 414
1 155	World Bestos Corporation	Paterson	New Jersey	1932; 40-45	-	-
1 156	World Bestos (Firestone Industrial Prod. Co.)	New Castle	Indiana	1946-52	-	-
1 157	World's Products Trading Co.	Cleveland	Ohio	1932-52	-	-
1 158	Wrenn Paper Co.	Middletown	Ohio	1957-64	26 299	340
1 159	Yoder, S.H. (S.H. Yoder)	Reading	Pennsylvania	1 945	-	-
1 160	York Insulation Co.	Hillside	New Jersey	1946-50	-	-
1 161	Zonolite Co. Div. (W.R. Grace Co.)	Chicago	Illinois	1963-65	12 119	305
1 162	Zonox	Unknown	Unknown	1 982	12 768	45
1 163	Zonox Chemical Corp.	Unknown	Unknown	1 981	2 537	20
1 164	Zonox (Zimm/Gr. Gulf)	Unknown	Unknown	1982-84	23 960	113
1 165	Sundry sales	Unknown	Unknown	1956-57; 59; 61-66; 75; 77-78	159 061	1 233
1 166	General Asbestos & Rubber	North Charleston	South Carolina	1963-64; 66-67	320 540	847
	Total				**166 199 917**	**1 338 812**
	Annual Register's figures				166 413 755	1 337 477
	Unreconciled amount				213 838	(1 789)
	Unreconciled amount (in %)				0,13%	-0,13%

Complementary notes

Note 1 - Disclaimer and Limitations:
The information presented in this table is a summary of annual U.S. sales records of Asbestos Corporation Limited (ACL) from 1955 to 1985. For certain years, the records were difficult to interpret due to the quality of the original print, and in some instances, the total amounts presented on the annual sales register differ from the sum of individual client totals in the above summary. This work does not constitute an audit or review of the financial information in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards established by CPA Canada or by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). No audit work has been carried out by the Monitor and, consequently, no opinion is expressed on this financial information.

Note 2 - Years with sales (source)
The "Years with sales" column indicates the years in which ACL recorded sales to the listed clients. This information comes from a distinct U.S sales by client summary prepared by ACL (separate from the annual sales registers).

Note 3 - Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $) and (in Tons)
Building upon the ACL summary referenced in Note 2, which identifies the years with sales by client, the Monitor has added two additional columns : i) Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in $), and ii) Total sales from 1955 to 1985 (in Tons), by aggregating data extracted from ACL's annual sales registers for those years.
Where the column "Years with sales" include years outside the 1955–1985 period, only the years falling within 1955–1985 window were used to calculate the dollar and tonnage totals presented in this table. Years prior to 1955 are displayed for contextual reference only; detailed tonnage and dollar data for those years are not available in electronic format (see cover page).

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for Canadian clients from 1960 to 1980

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1960 to 1980 (in $)	Total sales from 1960 to 1980 (in Tons)
1	Abex ind. Of Can Ame Broke div	Lindsay	Ontario	1965-74	353 706	2 936
2	Acme Asbestos Co Ltd	Montreal	Québec	1963-67; 69; 76	4 894	110
3	Albion Abestos Packings Ltd.	Toronto	Ontario	1969-75	18 405	109
4	Albion Asbestos Packing Ltd	Montreal	Québec	1960-76	91 634	2 446
5	American Biltrite	Sherbrooke	Québec	1978-79	38 582	420
6	Apco Industries Co.Ltd	Torn	Ontario	1978-79	11 650	120
7	Asbestonos Corporation Limited	Saint-Lambert	Québec	1960-80	2 097 085	6 656
8	Atom Ative Products	Toronto	Ontario	1960-62; 66	9 328	181
9	B.I.D Building Products	Boucherville	Québec	1976	4 300	50
10	Bakelite Company	Belleville	Ontario	1961; 64-65	2 168	25
11	Bell Asbestos Mines	Thetford Mines	Québec	1960-62; 64-67; 76-79	379 790	1 675
12	Bytown Lumber Co. Ltd	Ottawa	Ontario	1970-72; 74	3 643	65
13	Can Nat Railways	Toronto	Ontario	1967; 69-70	21	0
14	Can Nat Railways Stores Dept	Montreal	Québec	1960-62; 64-72	610	122
15	Canadian Adhesives Ltee	Montréal	Québec	1978-80	19 290	191
16	Canadian Asbestos Ontario Limited	Toronto	Ontario	1960-75	237 647	5 173
17	Canadian Distex Ltd.	St-Laurent	Québec	1979	4 880	40
18	Canadian Gypsum Co Ltd	Toronto	Québec	1964; 73	3 516	70
19	Canadian Industries Limited	Montreal	Québec	1960-71	1 976	104
20	Canadian Johns Manville	Montreal	Québec	1961; 65; 68-69	476 654	2 429
21	Canadian Johns Manville	Port Credit	Ontario	1973	204 300	1 000
22	Canadian Marietta Presstite Div.	Georgetown	Ontario	1962-64	34 640	1 004
23	Canficorp Overseas Projects Ltd.	Montreal	Québec	1979-80	1 046 900	1 786
24	Carter White Lead Co of Canada	Montreal	Québec	1979	76	0
25	Chauffage de Luxe	Longueil	Québec	1980	120	1
26	Chem-Tef	Outremont	Québec	1979	110	0
27	Codere Limited	Sherbrooke	Québec	1960-70	9 158	973
28	Currie Products Limited	Hamilton	Ontario	1962; 78	3 744	61
29	Demers J L Limited	Levis	Québec	1960-70	4 896	111
30	Distributeurs Armstrong Ltee	Sherbrooke	Québec	1980	600	5
31	Dominion Brakeshoe Company	Lindsay	Ontario	1960-65	103 486	871
32	Domtar Construction Materials	Toronto	Ontario	1962-66; 70; 72-73	96 207	2 351
33	Domtar Construction Materials	Montreal East	Québec	1967-69; 71-76	99 734	1 886
34	Domtar Construction Materials	North Surrey	British Colombia	1967-74	49 330	920
35	Domtar Construction Materials	Winnipeg	Manitoba	1967-70; 72	17 740	360
36	Domtar Newsprint Limited	Donnacona	Québec	1967-71	27 479	399
37	Dow Chemicals Canada	Sarnia	Ontario	1979-80	3 250	26
38	Dupont of Canada Limited	North Bay	Ontario	1971	27	1
39	Dural Product Limited	Dorval	Québec	1969	1 526	31
40	Eddy Gosselin	Sherbrooke	Québec	1960-65	1 428	714
41	Emile Eli Coal Limited	Montreal	Québec	1960-65	10 017	6 763
42	Endur Ltd.	Burlington	Ontario	1980	844	4
43	Evans Coleman and Johnson Brothers	Victoria	British Colombia	1960; 62-65	8 988	231
44	Fabi et fils Ltée	Thetford Mines	Québec	1960-65	155 295	201 880
45	Ferland J E Limited	Thetford Mines	Québec	1960-70	8 541	5 083
46	Fibre Deverre Asb. Ltd	Pontbriand	Québec	1980	360	3
47	Fleck Brothers Limited	Thetford Mines	Québec	1960-61	4 452	110
48	G F Sterne and sons ltd	Brantford	Ontario	1960-65	8 838	210
49	Gabriel Dubreuil Inc.	Sherbrooke	Québec	1974-75	633	8
50	Garlock of Canada Ltd	Toronto	Ontario	1967-69	29 420	91
51	Garlock Packing of Canada Limited	Hamilton	Ontario	1960-67	80 313	251

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims and Data Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for Canadian clients from 1960 to 1980

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1960 to 1980 (in $)	Total sales from 1960 to 1980 (in Tons)
52	Goodrich Rubber Company	Kitchener	Ontario	1961	2	5
53	Gorman S Limited	Edmonton	Alberta	1961; 63	1 478	40
54	Gosselin Lucien	Thetford Mines	Québec	1960-65	6 281	3 901
55	Gypsum Lime and Alabastine Canada	Montreal	Québec	1960-61	22 570	586
56	Holmes Insulations Ltd.	Sarnia	Ontario	1968	350	3
57	Hooker Chemical Nanaimo	Fort Erie	Ontario	1973; 75; 79	9 045	61
58	Imperial Oil Limited	Sarnia	Ontario	1963	-	1
59	Independant Coal and Lumber Co Ltd	Ottawa	Ontario	1963; 65	121	69
60	Insul Glass Sales Limited	Ottawa	Ontario	1970-73	6 064	120
61	Insultation Products	Ottawa	Ontario	1961-62; 64	2 376	60
62	International Laboratories 1957	St-Boniface	Manitoba	1969-75	1 130	22
63	Isolation Maritime inc.	Québec	Québec	1960; 62	6 906	38
64	Isox Ltd.	Thetford Mines	Québec	1979	128	1
65	J Olivier Limited	Montreal	Québec	1960	2 376	60
66	John Corriveau	Shawinigan	Québec	1960-64	580	290
67	Johnson's Company	Thetford Mines	Québec	1962-63	2 331	60
68	JT Wing and Company Ltd	Windsor	Ontario	1965; 67-68	1 846	45
69	Keiner & Co Canada Ltd	Toronto	Ontario	1964-68; 70	591	15
70	L.Donaldson	Charlesbourg	Québec	1970-73	1 524	28
71	Lake Asbestos of Québec	Blake Lake	Québec	1960; 64; 66-68; 72-73	968 860	4 070
72	Les entreprises Genitherm Inc.	Marieville	Québec	1980	2 400	20
73	Mackenzie Thayer Ltd	Saskatoon	Saskatchewan	1961; 63-64; 66-67	4 860	120
74	Macnaughton Brooks co ltd	Toronto	Ontario	1960-74; 76-80	9 190	157
75	Marvelite Industries Ltd	Calgary	Alberta	1978	975	10
76	Mintex Federal Ltd.	Rexdale	Ontario	1973-74; 76	18 154	131
77	Mitchell JS and Company Limited	Sherbrooke	Québec	1960-68	2 555	57
78	Napco Protex Inc.	Boucherville	Québec	1972	1 685	36
79	National Asbestos Mines Ltd	Thetford Mines	Québec	1960-61; 63-65; 67-69; 71; 73	244 950	1 714
80	Nicolet Asbestos Mines	St-Remi de Tingwick	Québec	1962; 65-68	26 007	311
81	Nigara Brand Chemicals	Burlington	Ontario	1967; 69-70; 72	16 245	320
82	Nulco Inc.	Lafleche	Québec	1969-72; 74; 76-80	139 400	1 489
83	Ocean Cement Limited	Warpole	British Colombia	1968-69	4 394	100
84	Ontario Cypsum Co.	Mississauga	Ontario	1978	2 208	25
85	Ottawa Paint Works Limited	Ottawa	Ontario	1962	48	1
86	P.A. Gouin	Trois-Rivières	Québec	1976	860	10
87	Papillon J C	Trois-Rivières	Québec	1960-63; 65	1 738	870
88	Perkins Adhesives	Valleyfield	Québec	1979-80	780	7
89	Perkins Glue Company of Canada Ltd	Kitchener	Ontario	1968-69; 77	2 134	21
90	Piche	Montréal	Québec	1980	72	0
91	Plateau Roofing Products	Brossard	Québec	1979	113	1
92	Poly-Coat Systems Ltd.	Kitchener	Ontario	1979-80	347	3
93	Presstite div of Interchem Corp C	Georgetown	Ontario	1965-66	15 556	412
94	Provision for Claims	Not applicable	Not applicable	1974	48 840	242
95	Quadra Stone Company Ltd.	Vancouver	British Colombia	1970; 72-75	14 912	250
96	Quebec Iron Ore & Titanium	Tracy	Québec	1969	17 785	32
97	Raybestos Manhattan Canada Ltd	Peterborough	Ontario	1960-74	264 688	2 708
98	Rivest & Fils Ltee	St-Anne des Plaines	Québec	1979-80	1 110	10
99	Robert Bourassa Inc.	Shawinigan	Québec	1979	2 833	28
100	Sidbec-Dsco Ltd.	Contrecoeur	Québec	1978	714	4
101	Soc. Nationale de l'Amiante	Sherbrooke	Québec	1980	390	1

Confidential - Solely for the Permitted Purpose of evaluating or advancing a Claimant's position in the CCAA and US Chapter 15 Proceedings, including the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims and Case Order.

Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary Sales Report for Canadian clients from 1960 to 1980

#	Company	City	State	Years with sales (note 2)	Total sales from 1960 to 1980 (in $)	Total sales from 1960 to 1980 (in Tons)
102	St Lawrence Gas Co. Ltd	Cornwall	Ontario	1972	770	15
103	Steel Co of Canada	Montreal	Québec	1960	634	15
104	Sundry Sales	-	-	1960-63; 65-78	179 765	25 033
105	TAF Atlas Asbestos	Montréal	Québec	1978-80	1 325 069	1 927
106	Technique & Construction Inc	Lafleche	Québec	1966-68	5 625	110
107	Texas Refinery Corp of Canada Ltd	Toronto	Ontario	1963-64; 67-70; 72	2 391	45
108	Texas Refinery Corp of Canada Ltd	Moose Jaw	Saskatchewan	1967; 69-70; 72; 74	11 257	200
109	The Asbestos Covering Co Ltd	Montréal	Québec	1978	3 864	40
110	The Flintkote Company	Toronto	Ontario	1960-64; 73-74	129 477	1 171
111	The Flintkote Company	Thetford Mines	Québec	1972-75	173 256	2 163
112	The Flintkote Company	Unknown	Newfoundland and Labrador	1972-73	3 132	60
113	The Flintkote Company	Unknown	Nova Scotia	1972	1 653	31
114	The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.	Québec	Québec	1969-72	64 263	356
115	The Philip Carey Co Ltd	Lennoxville	Québec	1960-67	670 609	7 229
116	The Winnipeg Supply & Fuel Co Ltd	Winnipeg	Manitoba	1963-65	11 174	4 883
117	The Workmen Bag Co.	Mississauga	Ontario	1979	-	1
118	Thermoid of Canada Ltd	Acton	Ontario	1964	712	10
119	Thiroco Products	Montreal	Québec	1961-80	187 165	2 835
120	Union Carbide Canada	Belleville	Ontario	1971	398	3
121	Université de Sherbrooke	Sherbrooke	Québec	1979	-	1
122	Viau Heating	Verdun	Québec	1980	555	5
123	Walter Hind & Sutherland Ltd	Montreal	Québec	1965-70	2 924	70
124	Webster and sons limited	Ottawa	Ontario	1960-65	6 367	487
125	Westburne Industrial Entreprises	Sherbrooke	Québec	1971-75	1 282	19
126	Western Plumbing and Industrial	London	Ontario	1960-61; 64	2 814	75
127	Western Supplies Ltd	Edmonton	Alberta	1960-61; 64-65	2 998	80
128	Wheelabrator Corporation	Scarborough	Ontario	1964; 70	859	11
129	Wm R Cumming Limited	Ottawa	Ontario	1960-61	660	53
130	Wood Alexander Limited	Hamilton	Ontario	1962	565	15
131	Wright Brothers Supply ltd	Hull	Québec	1960-65	1 723	483
	Total				**10 444 688**	**316 044**

Complementary notes

Note 1 - Disclaimer and Limitations:
The information presented in this table is a summary of annual sales records of Asbestos Corporation Limited (ACL) to Canadian clients from 1960 to 1980. This work does not constitute an audit or review of the financial information in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards established by CPA Canada or by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). No audit work has been carried out by the Monitor and, consequently, no opinion is expressed on this financial information.

Note 2 - Years with sales:
The column "Years with sales" indicates the years during which sales were made by ACL to Canadian clients from 1960 to 1980. No electronic records are available to establish quantities sold to Canadian clients prior to 1960. However, certain documents containing sales information by client for the years prior to 1960 are available in paper format (see cover page).

SCHEDULE J

Table of Contents

ACL – Historical Sales Information in the Virtual Data Room

Annual US Sales registers

1. 1955 - U.S. Annual sales
2. 1956 - U.S. Annual sales
3. 1957 - U.S. Annual sales
4. 1958 - U.S. Annual sales
5. 1959 - U.S. Annual sales
6. 1960 - U.S. Annual sales
7. 1961 - U.S. Annual sales
8. 1962 - U.S. Annual sales
9. 1963 - U.S. Annual sales
10. 1964 - U.S. Annual sales
11. 1965 - U.S. Annual sales
12. 1966 - U.S. Annual sales
13. 1967 - U.S. Annual sales
14. 1968 - U.S. Annual sales
15. 1969 - U.S. Annual sales
16. 1970 - U.S. Annual sales
17. 1971 - U.S. Annual sales
18. 1972 - U.S. Annual sales
19. 1973 - U.S. Annual sales
20. 1974 - U.S. Annual sales
21. 1975 - U.S. Annual sales
22. 1976 - U.S. Annual sales
23. 1977 - U.S. Annual sales
24. 1978 - U.S. Annual sales
25. 1979 - U.S. Annual sales
26. 1980 - U.S. Annual sales
27. 1981 - U.S. Annual sales
28. 1982 - U.S. Annual sales
29. 1983 - U.S. Annual sales
30. 1984 - U.S. Annual sales
31. 1985 - U.S. Annual sales

Annual Canadian Sales registers

1. 1955 - Canadian Annual Sales
2. 1956 - Canadian Annual Sales
3. 1957 - Canadian Annual Sales
4. 1958 - Canadian Annual Sales
5. 1959 - Canadian Annual Sales
6. 1960 - Canadian Annual Sales
7. 1961 - Canadian Annual Sales
8. 1962 - Canadian Annual Sales
9. 1963 - Canadian Annual Sales
10. 1964 - Canadian Annual Sales
11. 1965 - Canadian Annual Sales
12. 1966 - Canadian Annual Sales
13. 1967 - Canadian Annual Sales
14. 1968 - Canadian Annual Sales
15. 1969 - Canadian Annual Sales
16. 1970 - Canadian Annual Sales
17. 1971 - Canadian Annual Sales
18. 1972 - Canadian Annual Sales
19. 1973 - Canadian Annual Sales
20. 1974 - Canadian Annual Sales
21. 1975 - Canadian Annual Sales
22. 1976 - Canadian Annual Sales
23. 1977 - Canadian Annual Sales
24. 1978 - Canadian Annual Sales
25. 1979 - Canadian Annual Sales
26. 1980 - Canadian Annual Sales
27. 1985 - Canadian Annual Sales

Excel Spreadsheet

1. ACL_Historical Sales_US 1955-1985 and Canada 1960-1980

U.S. Sales - 1932-1952 and 1971 to 1978 - Individual Client Details

1. ABEX CORPORATION (CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978
2. ABEX CORPORATION (MAHWAH) - 1971 TO 1978
3. ABEX CORPORATION, AMERICAN BRAKEBLOCK (WINCHESTER) - 1971 TO 1978
4. ABEX SALISBURY (SALISBURY) - 1971 TO 1978
5. ABEX WINCHESTER (WINCHESTER) - 1971 TO 1978
6. ABEX-MAHWAH (NEW JERSEY) - 1971 TO 1978
7. ACE ASBESTOS CO (JERSEY CITY) - 1932 TO 1952
8. ACE ASBESTOS MFG. CO (BROOKLYN) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

9. ACHESON GRAPHITE CORP (NIAGARA FALLS) - 1932 TO 1952
10. ACME RESIN (FOREST PARK) - 1971 TO 1978
11. ACME RESIN COMPANY (CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978
12. ACOUSTI ENGINEERING CO (ATLANTA) - 1932 TO 1952
13. AEOROQUIP (BARRINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978
14. AEROQUIP (BARRINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978
15. AEROQUIP CORPORATION. BARCO DIV (BARRINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978
16. AGAR, CROSS & CO. LTD (NEW YORK CITY) - 1932 TO 1952
17. AHER SPRING & TIRE CORP (OAKLAND) - 1932 TO 1952
18. ALBERT E STARKIE (OAK PARK) - 1932 TO 1952
19. ALEXANDER STAFFORD CORP (GRAND RAPIDS) - 1932 TO 1952
20. ALLBESTOS CORP (GERMANTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952
21. ALLIED CHEMICAL CORP (CHESHIRE) - 1971 TO 1978
22. ALLIED CHEMICAL CORPORATION (TOLEDO) - 1971 TO 1978
23. ALLIED CHESHIRE (CHESHIRE) - 1971 TO 1978
24. ALLIED CHESHIRE (CONNECTICUT) - 1971 TO 1978
25. ALLIED MATERIALS CORP (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952
26. ALLIED TOLEDO (TOLEDO) - 1971 TO 1978
27. ALLPAX (MAMARONECK) - 1971 TO 1978
28. ALLPAX CO. INC (MAMARONECK) - 1932 TO 1952
29. ALLPAX COMPANY (MAMARONECK) - 1971 TO 1978
30. ALLPAX COMPANY (MAMORONECK) - 1971 TO 1978
31. AM LUBRICANTS (DAYTON) - 1971 TO 1978
32. AM PETROFINA (MOUNT PLEASANT) - 1971 TO 1978
33. AMATEX CORP (MEREDITH) - 1971 TO 1978
34. AMATEX CORP (NORRISTOWN) - 1971 TO 1978
35. AMATEX MEREDITH (MEREDITH) - 1971 TO 1978
36. AMATEX NORRIST (NORRISTOWN) - 1971 TO 1978
37. AMATEX NORRISTOWN (NORRISTOWN) - 1971 TO 1978
38. AMCHECM PRODUCTS (DALLAS) - 1971 TO 1978
39. AMCHEM (DALLAS) - 1971 TO 1978
40. AMERCIAN PETROFINA CO. OF TEXAS (DALLAS) - 1971 TO 1978
41. AMERICAN ASBESTOS CO (NORRISTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952
42. AMERICAN ASBESTOS INDUSTRIES (BERKELEY HEIGHTS) - 1932 TO 1952
43. AMERICAN ASBESTOS TEXTILE CORP (MEREDITH) - 1971 TO 1978
44. AMERICAN ASBESTOS TEXTILE CORP (NORRISTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952
45. AMERICAN ASPHALT PAINT CO (LINCOLN) - 1932 TO 1952
46. AMERICAN BILTRITE (TRENTON) - 1971 TO 1978
47. AMERICAN BITUMULS & ASPHALT CO (SAN FRANCISO) - 1932 TO 1952
48. AMERICAN BITUMULS CO (BALTIMORE) - 1932 TO 1952
49. AMERICAN BITUMULS CO (CURTIS BAY) - 1932 TO 1952
50. AMERICAN BITUMULS CO (INGLEWOOD) - 1932 TO 1952
51. AMERICAN BITUMULS CO (OAKLAND) - 1932 TO 1952
52. AMERICAN BRAKE SHOE & FOUNDRY CO (NEW YORK CITY) - 1932 TO 1952
53. AMERICAN BRAKEBLOK (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952
54. AMERICAN CYANAMID & CO (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952
55. AMERICAN FELT CO (GLENVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952
56. AMERICAN HEATING & PLUMBING SUPPLY CO. INC (PORTLAND) - 1932 TO 1952
57. AMERICAN HEATING & PLUMBING SUPPLY CO. INC (WORCESTER) - 1932 TO 1952
58. AMERICAN INSULATION CO (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952
59. AMERICAN INSULATOR CO (NEW FREEDOM) - 1932 TO 1952
60. AMERICAN LIBERTY AUTO PARTS CO (SAN YISIDRO) - 1932 TO 1952
61. AMERICAN LUBRICANTS CO (BUFFALO) - 1932 TO 1952
62. AMERICAN LUBRICANTS CO (DAYTON) - 1932 TO 1952
63. AMERICAN LUBRICANTS CO (DAYTON) - 1971 TO 1978
64. AMERICAN MASONS SUPPLY CO (HARTFORD) - 1932 TO 1952
65. AMERICAN OIL & PAINT CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

66. AMERICAN PETROFINA CO. OF TEXAS (DALLAS) - 1971 TO 1978
67. AMERICAN ROCK WOOL CORP (WABASH) - 1932 TO 1952
68. AMERICAN STEEL & WIRE CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952
69. AMERICAN TAR PRODUCTS CO (SEATTLE) - 1971 TO 1978
70. ANCHOR RUBBER PRODUCTS INC (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952
71. ANDERSON BRICK & SUPPLY CO (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952
72. ARCO CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952
73. ARMSTRONG CORK (ARROWHEAD) - 1971 TO 1978
74. ARMSTRONG CORK (MAMARONECK) - 1971 TO 1978
75. ARMSTRONG CORK CO (BALTIMORE) - 1932 TO 1952
76. ARMSTRONG CORK CO (CAMDEN) - 1932 TO 1952
77. ARMSTRONG CORK CO (LANCASTER) - 1932 TO 1952
78. ARMSTRONG CORK CO (LANCASTER) - 1971 TO 1978
79. ARMSTRONG CORK CO. (LANCASTER) - 1971 TO 1978
80. ARMSTRONG PAINT & VARNISH CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952
81. ARROW HEAD INSULATING CO (MIDVALE) - 1932 TO 1952
82. ARROW-HART & HEGEMAN ELECTRIC CO (HARTFORD) - 1932 TO 1952
83. ARTHUR C. MANGELS INDUSTRIES (PHOENIXVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952
84. ASANO BUSSAN CO. LTD (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952
85. ASB. SPECIALTIES (DETROIT) - 1971 TO 1978
86. ASB. TEXTILE (NORTH BROOKFIELD) - 1971 TO 1978
87. ASBESTONE CORP (NEW ORLEANS) - 1932 TO 1952
88. ASBESTOS ASPHALT INSULATION CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952
89. ASBESTOS CO. OF CALIFORNIA (SAN FRANCISO) - 1932 TO 1952
90. ASBESTOS CO. OF TEXAS (HOUSTON) - 1932 TO 1952
91. ASBESTOS CORP. OF AMERICA (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952
92. ASBESTOS DISTRIBUTORS CO (PORT CHESTER) - 1932 TO 1952
93. ASBESTOS DISTRIBUTORS CORP (BRIDGEPORT) - 1932 TO 1952
94. ASBESTOS INSULATING CO (NORRISTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952
95. ASBESTOS INSULATING MATERIALS CO (MILWAUKEE) - 1932 TO 1952
96. ASBESTOS INSULATION CO (CHARLESTON) - 1932 TO 1952
97. ASBESTOS INTERNATIONAL CORP (JERSEY CITY) - 1932 TO 1952
98. ASBESTOS LIMITED INC (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952
99. ASBESTOS MFG. CO (HUNTINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952
100. ASBESTOS PRODUCTS CORP (ST PAUL) - 1932 TO 1952
101. CARLDAN PLASTICS (BROOKLYN) - 1971 TO 1978
102. CAROLINA ASBESTOS CO (DAVIDSON) - 1932 TO 1952
103. CARTER PAINT (LIBERTY) - 1971 TO 1978
104. CARTER PAINT CO (LIBERTY) - 1971 TO 1978
105. CARTER PAINT COMPANY (LIBERTY) - 1932 TO 1952
106. CASE, W.A. & SON MFG (BROOKLYN) - 1932 TO 1952
107. CASE, W.A. & SON MFG (BUFFALO) - 1932 TO 1952
108. ASBESTOS PRODUCTS MFG. CO (NEWARK) - 1932 TO 1952
109. ASBESTOS SERVICE CO (YOUNGSTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952
110. ASBESTOS SPEC (DETROIT) - 1971 TO 1978
111. ASBESTOS SUPPLY & MFG CO (CINCINNATI) - 1932 TO 1952
112. ASBESTOS SUPPLY CO (AKRON) - 1932 TO 1952
113. ASBESTOS TEXTILE CO (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952
114. ASBESTOS TEXTILE CO INC (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952
115. ASBESTOS TEXTILE CO INC (NORTH BROOKFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952
116. ASBESTOS TEXTILE CO. INC (NORTH BROOKFIELD) - 1971 TO 1978
117. ASIATIC PETROLEUM CORP (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952
118. ASSOCIATED INDUSTRIES INC (SAN MATEO) - 1932 TO 1952
119. ASSOCIATED LABORATORIES (INDIANAPOLIS) - 1932 TO 1952
120. ASSOCIATED MANUFACTURERS & DIST (SAN FRANCISCO) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

121. ASSOCIATED SALES ENGINEERS (WASHINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

122. ASTEN-HILL MFG. CO (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

123. ATLANTIC TINSMITH SUPPLY CO (BROOKLYN) - 1932 TO 1952

124. ATLAS ASBESTOS COMPANY (NORTH WALES) - 1932 TO 1952

125. ATLAS MINERAL PRODUCTS CO. OF PA (MERTZTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

126. AUBUCHON, W.E. CO (FITCHBURG) - 1932 TO 1952

127. AUGUSTA HARDWARE & PLBG SUPPLY (AUGUSTA) - 1932 TO 1952

128. B & S BRAKE LINING CO (PATERSON) - 1932 TO 1952

129. B.F. GOODRICH (OHIO) - 1971 TO 1978

130. BABBITT INDUSTRIAL SPECIALTIES CO (NEW BEDFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

131. BADHAM INSULATION CO. INC (BIRMINGHAM) - 1932 TO 1952

132. BAKELITE DIVISION, UNION CARBIDE (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

133. BAKER, P.O (PROVIDENCE) - 1932 TO 1952

134. BALL CHEMICAL CO (PITTSBURG) - 1932 TO 1952

135. BALLOU, WALTER J. CO (BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

136. BARBER ASPHALT CO (MADISON) - 1932 TO 1952

137. BARBER ASPHALT CORP (MAURER) - 1932 TO 1952

138. BARCO (BARRINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978

139. BARCO MFG. CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

140. BARCO-AEROQUIP (BARRINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978

141. BARKER, T.H. & CO (ARDMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

142. BARRETT CO (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

143. BARRY, JAMES C. & CO (ROCHESTER) - 1932 TO 1952

144. BASS & CO (HOPKINSVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

145. BATTENFELD (BUFFALO) - 1971 TO 1978

146. BATTENFELD GREASE & OIL CORP (KANSAS CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

147. BATTENFELD GREASE & OIL CORP (NORTH TONAWANDA) - 1932 TO 1952

148. BATTER BUILDING MATERIALS CO (NEW HAVEN) - 1932 TO 1952

149. BEAR PAINT CO (SAND LAKE) - 1932 TO 1952

150. BELGIUM MINISTRY OF SUPPLY (WASHINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

151. BELL PRODUCTS CO (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952

152. BENNETT A.H. CO (MINNEAPOLIS) - 1971 TO 1978

153. BENNETT A.H. CO. (MINNEAPOLIS) - 1971 TO 1978

154. BENNETT, A. H. & CO (MINNEAPOLIS) - 1932 TO 1952

155. BENSON & HANSON (BROOKLYN) - 1932 TO 1952

156. BENTON, C.H. INC (SAN DIEGO) - 1932 TO 1952

157. BINGHAM, H. CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

158. BIRD & SON INC (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

159. BIRD & SON INC (EAST WALPOLE) - 1932 TO 1952

160. BIRD & SONS INC (CHARLESTON HEIGHTS) - 1971 TO 1978

161. BIRD & SONS INC (EAST WALPOLE) - 1971 TO 1978

162. BLACK SWAN (CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978

163. BLACK SWAN MFG. CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

164. BLACK SWAN MFG. CO (CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978

165. BLUE RIDGE TALC CO. INC (HENRY) - 1932 TO 1952

166. BLUE STAR MINES CO (ZURICH) - 1932 TO 1952

167. BONDEX (ST LOUIS) - 1971 TO 1978

168. BORG WARNER (BELLWOOD) - 1971 TO 1978

169. BOSTON PUTTY & BUILDING SUPPLY CO (CHARLESTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

170. BOSTON PUTTY WORKS INC (CHARLESTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

171. BOSTON SUPPLY CO (BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

172. BOSTWICK BROWN CO (TOLEDO) - 1932 TO 1952

173. BOSWELL INC (TROY) - 1932 TO 1952

174. BOYLE DISTRIBUTING CO (LOS ANGELES) - 1932 TO 1952

175. BREWER (NEWTON) - 1971 TO 1978

176. BREWER (NEWTOWN) - 1971 TO 1978

177. BREWER COMPANY (NEWTOWN) - 1971 TO 1978

178. BRIGGS WEAVER MACHINE CO (DALLAS) - 1932 TO 1952

179. BRUNELLI (FRANKLIN) - 1971 TO 1978

180. BRYANT ELECTRIC COMPANY (BRIDGEPORT) - 1932 TO 1952

181. BUFFALO DENTAL MFG (BUFFALO) - 1932 TO 1952

182. BUILDING MAINTENANCE SUPPLY (DAYTON) - 1932 TO 1952

183. BURKE, J. FRANKLIN & CO. (NORTHFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

184. BURNET COMPANY (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

185. BUTCHER, L. H. COMPANY (PORTLAND) - 1932 TO 1952

186. BUTCHER, L. H. COMPANY (SAN FRANCISCO) - 1932 TO 1952

187. BUTCHER, L. H. COMPANY (SEATTLE) - 1932 TO 1952

188. BUTCHER, L. H. OF L. A. (LOS ANGELES) - 1932 TO 1952

189. BYERLITE CORPORATION (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

190. C.L. ZIMMERMAN (CINCINNATI) - 1971 TO 1978

191. C.L. ZIMMERMAN CO (CINCINNATI) - 1971 TO 1978

192. C.L. ZIMMERMAN CO. (CINCINNATI) - 1971 TO 1978

193. C.L. ZIMMERMAN COMPANY (LOUISVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

194. C.S. MERSICK COMPANY (NEW HAVEN) - 1932 TO 1952

195. CALBAR PAINT & VARNISH CORP (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

196. CALIFORNIA STUCCO PRODUCTS OF N.E. (CAMBRIDGE) - 1932 TO 1952

197. CALIFORNIA ZONOLITE CO. (WEST GLENDALE) - 1932 TO 1952

198. CAMERON, R.W. & CO. (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

199. CARBINE-HARANG MACHINERY SUPPLY (NEW ORLEANS) - 1932 TO 1952

200. CARBIT PAINT (ILL) - 1971 TO 1978

201. CRANE (CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978

202. CELOTEX CORPORATION (METUCHEN) - 1932 TO 1952

203. CELOTEX LOCKLAND (LOCKLAND) - 1971 TO 1978

204. CENTAL SOLVENTS (SANTA FE SPRINGS) - 1971 TO 1978

205. CENTRAL ASBESTOS CO (NORTHFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

206. CENTRAL COLVENTS & CHEMICALS CO (KENT) - 1971 TO 1978

207. CENTRAL OHIO PAPER COMPANY (COLUMBUS) - 1932 TO 1952

208. CENTRAL SANTAFE (SANTA FE SPRINGS) - 1971 TO 1978

209. CENTRAL SOLVENTS & CHEMICALS (SANTA FE SPRINGS) - 1971 TO 1978

210. CENTRAL SOLVENTS & CHEMICALS CO (HAYWARD) - 1971 TO 1978

211. CENTRAL SOLVENTS & CHEMICALS CO (SANTA FE SPRINGS) - 1971 TO 1978

212. CENTRAL SOLVENTS & CHEMICALS CO (SEATTLE) - 1971 TO 1978

213. CENTRAL SOLVENTS (SANTA FE SPRINGS) - 1971 TO 1978

214. CERRO DE PASCO COPPER CORP (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

215. CERTAIN TEED (SANTA CLARA) - 1971 TO 1978

216. CERTAIN TEED AMBLER (AMBLER) - 1971 TO 1978

217. CERTAIN TEED HILLSBORO (HILLSBORO) - 1971 TO 1978

218. CERTAIN TEED PROSPECT HILL (PROSPECT HILL) - 1971 TO 1978

219. CERTAIN TEED RIVERSIDE (CALIFORNIA) - 1971 TO 1978

220. CERTAINTEED (ARDMORE) - 1971 TO 1978

221. CERTAIN-TEED (PENNSYLVANIA) - 1971 TO 1978

222. CERTAINTEED (PENSYLVANIA) - 1971 TO 1978

223. CERTAIN-TEED PRODUCTS (ARDMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

224. CERTAIN-TEED PRODUCTS (BUFFALO) - 1932 TO 1952

225. CERTAIN-TEED PRODUCTS (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

226. CERTAIN-TEED PRODUCTS (EAST ST LOUIS) - 1932 TO 1952

227. CERTAIN-TEED PRODUCTS (GRAND RAPIDS) - 1932 TO 1952

228. CERTAIN-TEED PRODUCTS (MARSEILLES) - 1932 TO 1952

229. CERTAIN-TEED PRODUCTS (RICHMOND) - 1932 TO 1952

230. CERTAIN-TEED PRODUCTS (SAVANNAH) - 1932 TO 1952

231. CERTAIN-TEED PRODUCTS (YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

232. CHAMBERLIN RUBBER CO (ROCHESTER) - 1932 TO 1952

233. CHARLES MILLER & SONS (UTICA) - 1932 TO 1952

234. CHAS. S. WOOD & COMPANY (NEWARK) - 1932 TO 1952

235. CHEM MATERIALS (CLEVELAND) - 1971 TO 1978

236. CHEM MATERIALS CO IND (CLEVELAND) - 1971 TO 1978

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

237. CHEM MATERIALS CO. INC (CLEVELAND) - 1971 TO 1978

238. CHEMETALS CORPORATION (JAMESTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

239. CHEMICAL PRODUCTS DIV. OF CHRYSLER CORP (TRENTON) - 1971 TO 1978

240. CHENEY CHEMICAL CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

241. CHRYSLER CORPORATION (TRENTON) - 1971 TO 1978

242. CHRYSLER DETROIT (TRENTON) - 1971 TO 1978

243. CLARK ASBESTOS CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

244. CLARKE BARIDON CO (BETHESDA) - 1932 TO 1952

245. CLAXTON ASBESTOS CO (BUFFALO) - 1932 TO 1952

246. CLEMCO (MINN) - 1971 TO 1978

247. CLEMCO INC (MINN) - 1971 TO 1978

248. CLINTON METALLIC PAINT CO (CLINTON) - 1932 TO 1952

249. COAL TAR PRODUCTS CO (BROOKLYN) - 1932 TO 1952

250. COCHRANE CHEMICAL CO (JERSEY CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

251. COLEMAN SUPPLY COMPANY (BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

252. COLOMBIA PAINT (HUNTINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978

253. COLT'S MFG CO (HARTFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

254. COLUMBIA ASBESTOS CO. (PORTLAND) - 1932 TO 1952

255. COLUMBIA PAINT (HUNTINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978

256. COLUMBIA PAINT CORP (HUNTINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978

257. COMBUSTION EQUIPMENT & INSTULLATION (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

258. CONGOLEUM-NAIRN INC (KEARNY) - 1932 TO 1952

259. CONNECTICUT ADAMANT PLASTER (NEW HAVEN) - 1932 TO 1952

260. CONSOLIDATED ASBESTOS (SELLERSVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

261. CONSOLIDATED TILE & DECK COVERING (PORTLAND) - 1932 TO 1952

262. CONTINENTAL ROOFING MILLS DIV (BALTIMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

263. COOK (HOUSTON) - 1971 TO 1978

264. COOK PAINT (HOUSTON) - 1971 TO 1978

265. COYNE & DELANEY COMPANY (BROOKLYN) - 1932 TO 1952

266. CRANE (MORTON GROVE) - 1971 TO 1978

267. CRANE COMPANY (ABERDEEN) - 1932 TO 1952

268. CRANE COMPANY (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

269. CRANE COMPANY (CINCINNATI) - 1932 TO 1952

270. CRANE COMPANY (KNOXVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

271. CRANE COMPANY (SAVANNAH) - 1932 TO 1952

272. CRANE PACKING CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

273. CRANE PACKING CO (MORTON GROVE) - 1971 TO 1978

274. CRESCENT SUPPLY CO (MARIETTA) - 1932 TO 1952

275. CROWN TAR & CHEMICAL WORKS (DENVER) - 1932 TO 1952

276. CURTIS ASBESTOS CO (BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

277. CUTLER-HAMMER INC. (MILWAUKEE) - 1932 TO 1952

278. DAD & LAD COMPANY (NEW LENNOX) - 1932 TO 1952

279. DALY PROTECTIVE (HAMMOND) - 1971 TO 1978

280. DALY, M. J. & SONS (WATERBURY) - 1932 TO 1952

281. DE SOTO PAINT & VARNISH (MEMPHIS) - 1932 TO 1952

282. DEACON, H.W. SUPPLY CO (MOUNT HOLLY) - 1932 TO 1952

283. DECELECO INC (WAYNE) - 1932 TO 1952

284. DELAWARE FLOOR PRODUCTS INC (WILMINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

285. DELCO (DAYTON) - 1971 TO 1978

286. DELCO MORAINE (DAYTON) - 1971 TO 1978

287. DELCO MORAINE (OHIO) - 1971 TO 1978

288. DELCO MORAINE PRODUCTS CO (DAYTON) - 1971 TO 1978

289. DELTA SHIPBUILDING CO (NEW ORLEANS) - 1932 TO 1952

290. DESCO CORPORATION (WILMINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

291. DETRICK, M.H. COMPANY (AURORA) - 1932 TO 1952

292. DETROIT-GASKET & MFG. (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952

293. DICKS-PONTIUS CO (DAYTON) - 1932 TO 1952

294. DITZLER COLOR CO (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952

295. DONALD R. FITZGERALD CO (CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978

296. DONALDSON FLOOR (ROCKFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

297. DONALDSON FLOOR INC (ROCKFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

298. DORITE MFG. COMPANY (CHARLESTON) - 1932 TO 1952

299. DORN, R.J. & CO (NEW ORLEANS) - 1932 TO 1952

300. DOUBLE H. STEEL (COLORADO) - 1971 TO 1978

301. DOUGLAS CHEMICAL (RICHMOND) - 1971 TO 1978

302. DOW CHEMICAL (FREEPORT) - 1971 TO 1978

303. DOW PLAQUEMINE (PLAQUEMINE) - 1971 TO 1978

304. DOW-PLAQUEMINE (PLAQUEMINE) - 1971 TO 1978

305. DURABLE MATERIALS OORP (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

306. DURAFLEX CORP (BALTIMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

307. DURAMETALLIC (KAKAMAZOO) - 1971 TO 1978

308. DURAMETALLIC (KALAMAZOO) - 1971 TO 1978

309. DURAMETALLIC (MICHIGAN) - 1971 TO 1978

310. DURAMETALLIC CORPORATION (KALAMAZOO) - 1971 TO 1978

311. DUREZ (KENTON) - 1971 TO 1978

312. DUREZ (N TONAWANDA) - 1971 TO 1978

313. DUREZ (NORTH TONAWANDA) - 1971 TO 1978

314. DUREZ (TONAWANDA) - 1971 TO 1978

315. DUREZ OHIO (KENTON) - 1971 TO 1978

316. DUREZ PLASTICS & CHEMICAL INC (NORTH TONAWANDA) - 1932 TO 1952

317. DUREZ PLASTICS DIV (NIAGARA FALLS) - 1971 TO 1978

318. DUREZ PLASTICS DIV. (NIAGARA FALLS) - 1971 TO 1978

319. DURWYLLAN MFG. CO (PATTERSON) - 1932 TO 1952

320. DUTTON ASBESTOS CO (SAN FRANCISCO) - 1932 TO 1952

321. E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS (FAIRFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

322. EAGLE ASBESTOS & PACKING CO (NEW ORLEANS) - 1932 TO 1952

323. EAGLE PAINT & VARNISH CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

324. EAGLE-PICHER LEAD CO (CINCINNATI) - 1932 TO 1952

325. EAGLE-PICHER LEAD CO (JOPLIN) - 1932 TO 1952

326. EAGLE-PICHER SALES CO (CINCINNATI) - 1932 TO 1952

327. EASTERN MINERAL & CURIO SHOP (ROCKVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

328. EBSARY GYPSUM COMPANY (NEWARK) - 1932 TO 1952

329. ECONOMY FUSE & MFG. (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

330. EDDY, E. J. INC (BUFFALO) - 1932 TO 1952

331. EDWARDS CONCRETE CONDUIT (GLENSIDE) - 1932 TO 1952

332. EHRET MAGENSIA MFG (VALLEY FORGE) - 1932 TO 1952

333. EL REY PRODUCTS CO (LOS ANGELES) - 1932 TO 1952

334. ELECTROCOTE (FRIDLEY) - 1971 TO 1978

335. ELECTROCOTE COMPANY (MINNEAPOLIS) - 1971 TO 1978

336. ELIXIR CORP (ILL) - 1971 TO 1978

337. ELLIOTT PAINT & VERNISH (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

338. ELLIOTT SUPPLY COMPANY (BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

339. ENIROTECH (CALIFORNIA) - 1971 TO 1978

340. ENTERPRISE OIL CO (BUFIFALO) - 1932 TO 1952

341. ERTEL ENGINEERING CORP (KINGSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

342. ERTEL ENGINEERING CORP (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

343. ETERNIT MILLS DIV. OF RUBEROID (ST LOUIS) - 1932 TO 1952

344. EVERSEAL MFG. CO (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

345. EVERSEAL MFG. CO (RIDGEFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

346. EXPORT TRADERS CO (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

347. FAHEY GERALD J (DETROIT) - 1971 TO 1978

348. FAIRMONT WALL PLASTER CO (FAIRMONT) - 1932 TO 1952

349. FECK OIL COMPANY (NORWOOD) - 1932 TO 1952

350. FIBRE & METAL PRODUCTS CO (DOWNEY) - 1932 TO 1952

351. FIBRE CONDUIT COMPANY (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

352. FIBRE CONDUIT COMPANY (ORANGEBURG) - 1932 TO 1952

353. FICK EDWARD G. COMPANY (BALTIMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

354. FINBERG SUPPLY COMPANY (LAWRENCE) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

355. FIRESTONE INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS CO (NEW CASTLE) - 1932 TO 1952

356. FITZ GIBBON H. S. & CO (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

357. FITZGERALD (CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978

358. FITZGERALD (ELK GROVE VILLAGE) - 1971 TO 1978

359. FITZGERALD MFG. (TORRINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

360. FLEXOTILE FLOOR COMPANY (ROCKFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

361. FLEXROCK COMPANY (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

362. FLICKINGER, W.H. (CHARLESTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

363. FLINKOTE CO (EAST RUTHERFORD) - 1971 TO 1978

364. FLINKOTE COMPANY (CHICAGO HEIGHTS) - 1971 TO 1978

365. FLINTKOTE (CALIFORNIA) - 1971 TO 1978

366. FLINTKOTE (ILLIONOIS) - 1971 TO 1978

367. FLINTKOTE (ROOTSTOWN) - 1971 TO 1978

368. FLINTKOTE COMPANY (CHICAGO HEIGHTS) - 1932 TO 1952

369. FLINTKOTE COMPANY (EAST RUTHERFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

370. FLINTKOTE COMPANY (LOS ANGELES) - 1932 TO 1952

371. FLINTKOTE COMPANY (MORRISTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

372. FLINTKOTE COMPANY (NEW ORLEANS) - 1932 TO 1952

373. FLINTKOTE COMPANY (NEW YORK CITY) - 1971 TO 1978

374. FLINTKOTE COMPANY (RAVENNA) - 1971 TO 1978

375. FLINTKOTE N.J (EAST RUTHERFORD) - 1971 TO 1978

376. FLINTKOTE OHIO (ROOTSTOWN) - 1971 TO 1978

377. FLINTKOTE OHIO (ROTSTOWN) - 1971 TO 1978

378. FLINTKOTE VERNON (VERNON) - 1971 TO 1978

379. FORBESTOS COMPANY (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

380. FORD (DEARBORN) - 1971 TO 1978

381. FORD MOTOR CO (DEARBORN) - 1971 TO 1978

382. FORD MOTOR COMPANY (DEARBORN) - 1932 TO 1952

383. FORD ROOFING PRODUCTS CO (VANDALIA) - 1932 TO 1952

384. FOSTER, BENJAMIN CO (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

385. FOX RIVER PAPER CO (APPLETON) - 1971 TO 1978

386. FRANKLIN TILE COMPANY (LANSDALE) - 1932 TO 1952

387. FREEDOM OIL WORKS (FREEDOM) - 1932 TO 1952

388. FREEMAN E. STANLEY CO (BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

389. FRONTIER INSULATING & ROOFING (BUFFALO) - 1932 TO 1952

390. FUCHS. W..W. & CO (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

391. FULLER (MINNEAPOLIS) - 1971 TO 1978

392. FULLER, W.P. & CO (SAN FRANCISCO) - 1932 TO 1952

393. FUTTY & COMPANY (GREENWICH) - 1932 TO 1952

394. GAF (ERIE) - 1971 TO 1978

395. GAF (HIDE PARK) - 1971 TO 1978

396. GAF CORP (FULLERTON) - 1971 TO 1978

397. GAF CORPORATION (ERIE) - 1971 TO 1978

398. GAF CORPORATION (LOWELL) - 1971 TO 1978

399. GAF ERIE (ERIE) - 1971 TO 1978

400. GAF ERIE PENSYLVANIA (ERIE) - 1971 TO 1978

401. GAF FULLERTON WHITEHALL (PENNSYLVANIA) - 1971 TO 1978

402. GAF SOUTH BOUND BROOK (NEW JERSEY) - 1971 TO 1978

403. GAF VAILS GATE (VAILS GATE) - 1971 TO 1978

404. GAF VERMONT (VERMONT) - 1971 TO 1978

405. GAMA INDUSTRIES, INC (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

406. GARFIELD (GARFIELD) - 1971 TO 1978

407. GARFIELD MFG CO (GARFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

408. GARFIELD MFG CO (GARFIELD) - 1971 TO 1978

409. GARFIELD MFG. CO (GARFIELD) - 1971 TO 1978

410. GARFIELD MG. CO (GARFIELD) - 1971 TO 1978

411. GARLOCK PACKING CO (PALMYRA) - 1932 TO 1952

412. GAS MACHINERY COMPANY (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

413. GASKET MATERIALS CORP (MIDDLETOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

414. GASKINGS, F. H. CO (NORFOLK) - 1932 TO 1952

415. GATES RUBBER COMPANY (DENVER) - 1932 TO 1952

416. GATKE CORP (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

417. GATKE CORPORATION (WARSAW) - 1971 TO 1978

418. GENERAL ASBESTOS & RUBBER CO (NORTH CHARLESTON) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

419. GENERAL ASBESTOS & SUPPLY (INDIANAPOLIS) - 1932 TO 1952

420. GENERAL ASBESTOS CO (NORTHFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

421. GENERAL ELECTRIC (PHILADELPHIA) - 1971 TO 1978

422. GENERAL ELECTRIC CO (ERIE) - 1932 TO 1952

423. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY (BRIDGEPORT) - 1932 TO 1952

424. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY (MERIDEN) - 1932 TO 1952

425. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

426. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY (PHILADELPHIA) - 1971 TO 1978

427. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY (PITTSFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

428. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY (PITTSFIELD) - 1971 TO 1978

429. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY (SCHENECTADY) - 1932 TO 1952

430. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY (SCHENECTADY) - 1971 TO 1978

431. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY (WEST LYNN) - 1932 TO 1952

432. GENERAL FIREPROOFING CO (YOUNGSTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

433. GENERAL PLASTIC INC (NORTH TONAWANDA) - 1932 TO 1952

434. GENERAL TIRE & RUBBER CO (WABASH) - 1932 TO 1952

435. GENESCO CHEMICAL (TENNESSEE) - 1971 TO 1978

436. GEO. E. TRUDEL CO (MANCHESTER) - 1932 TO 1952

437. GEORGE F. PETTINOS (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

438. GEORGE SCOFIELD CO (TACOMA) - 1971 TO 1978

439. GEORGE WHITESIDE CO. INC (LOUISVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

440. GEORGE WHITESIDES CO. INC (LOUISVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

441. GEORGIA-CAROLINA OIL CO (MACON) - 1932 TO 1952

442. GERALD J. FAHEY (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952

443. GIBSON-HOMANS COMPANY (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

444. GILMAN PAINT & VARNISH CO (CHATTANOOGA) - 1932 TO 1952

445. GLADDING, MCBEAN & CO (LOS ANGELES) - 1932 TO 1952

446. GLENCOE LIME & CEMENT CO (ST LOUIS) - 1932 TO 1952

447. GOLD SEAL ASPHALT ROOFING (CHICAGO HEIGHTS) - 1932 TO 1952

448. GOODRICH, B.F. CO (AKRON) - 1932 TO 1952

449. GOODRICH. B.F. COMPANY (AKRON) - 1971 TO 1978

450. GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER (AKRON) - 1932 TO 1952

451. GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER CO (AKRON) - 1971 TO 1978

452. GOTSHALL, G.H. CO (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952

453. GREENE TWEED & CO (NORTH WALES) - 1971 TO 1978

454. GREENE TWEED (NORTH WALES) - 1971 TO 1978

455. GREENE, TWEED & CO (BRONX) - 1932 TO 1952

456. GRIZZLY MFG. CO (PAULDING) - 1932 TO 1952

457. GROSSMAN, L. & SONS (QUINCY) - 1932 TO 1952

458. H & S CEMENT PRODUCTS (PENNSYLVANIA) - 1971 TO 1978

459. H.B. DAVIS CO (BALTIMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

460. H.B. FULLER COMPANY (MINNEAPOLIS) - 1971 TO 1978

461. H.B. SMITH CO. INC (WESTFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

462. H.K. PORTER CO. INC (BENNETTSVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

463. H.K. PORTER COMPANY (HUNTINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978

464. H.K. PORTER COMPANY INC (CHARLOTTE) - 1971 TO 1978

465. HACHMEISTER INC (PITTSBURGH) - 1932 TO 1952

466. HALLEMITE MFG. CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

467. HAMLIN CEMENT PRODUCTS INC (GARDEN CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

468. HAMMOND PAINT & CHEMICALS CO. INC (BEACON) - 1932 TO 1952

469. HARRIS, J.E. PAINT CO (WOOSTER) - 1932 TO 1952

470. HART, EDWARD R. COMPANY (CANTON) - 1932 TO 1952

471. HARTFORD CEMENT CO (HARTFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

472. HENRY ASPHALT CO (WINGFOOT) - 1932 TO 1952

473. HERBERT CHEMICAL CO (CINCINNATI) - 1932 TO 1952

474. HERBERT CHEMICAL CO (CINCINNATI) - 1971 TO 1978

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

475. HETZEL ROOFING PRODUCTS CO (NEWARK) - 1932 TO 1952

476. HINMAN ASBESTOS CORP (CAMBRIDGE) - 1932 TO 1952

477. HOBART BROS CO (TROY) - 1932 TO 1952

478. HOMASOTE CO (TRENTON) - 1932 TO 1952

479. HOOD RUBBER CO (WATERTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

480. HOOKER ELECTROCHEMICAL CO (NIAGARA FALLS) - 1932 TO 1952

481. HOOKER INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS (HAHNVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

482. HOOKER INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS (TAFT) - 1971 TO 1978

483. HOOKER MONTAGUE (MONTAGUE) - 1971 TO 1978

484. HOOKER-MONTAGNE (MONTAGNE) - 1971 TO 1978

485. HOOKER-NIAGARA FALLS (NIAGARA FALLS) - 1971 TO 1978

486. HOOSIER BRAKE LINING CORP (NORTH MANCHESTER) - 1932 TO 1952

487. HOWARD ASBESTOS CO (NORTHFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

488. HUDSON VALLEY ASBESTOS CORP (ALBANY) - 1932 TO 1952

489. HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY (PITTSBURGH) - 1971 TO 1978

490. HUMBLE OIL (PITTSBURGH) - 1971 TO 1978

491. HUNTLEY INDUSTRIAL MINERALS (ZURICH) - 1932 TO 1952

492. HUSKY REFINING CO (CODY) - 1932 TO 1952

493. HYD-RYT (COLUMBUS) - 1971 TO 1978

494. HYD-RYT PAINT (COLUMBUS) - 1971 TO 1978

495. I.F. LAUCKS INC (PORTSMOUTH) - 1932 TO 1952

496. IDEAL MARKER & VAULT CO (GOTHENBURG) - 1932 TO 1952

497. IMPERIAL FLOOR CO (ROCHESTER) - 1932 TO 1952

498. INDURALL (BIRMINGHAM) - 1971 TO 1978

499. INDURALL COATINGS INC (BIRMINGHAM) - 1971 TO 1978

500. INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS MFG. CO (NORTH KANSAS CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

501. INLAND (DAYTON) - 1971 TO 1978

502. INLAND MFG. DIV GEN. MOTORS CORP (DAYTON) - 1971 TO 1978

503. INLAND MFG. DIV. GEN. MOTORS CORP (DAYTON) - 1932 TO 1952

504. INLAND MFG. DIV. GEN. MOTORS CORP (DAYTON) - 1971 TO 1978

505. INLAND MFG. DIV. GEN. MOTORS CORP. (DAYTON) - 1971 TO 1978

506. INSULATING PRODUCTS CO (NORTH AURORA) - 1932 TO 1952

507. INSULATION SERVICE INC (MILWAUKEE) - 1932 TO 1952

508. INSUL-MASTIC LABORATORIES (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

509. INTERCHEMICAL CORP (WOOSTER) - 1932 TO 1952

510. INTERCOASTAL PAINT (SAN LEANDRO) - 1932 TO 1952

511. INTERNATIONAL GENERAL ELECTRIC CO (SCHENECTADY) - 1932 TO 1952

512. INTERNATIONAL SELLING CORP (NEW YORK CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

513. INTERNATIONAL VERMICULITE CO (GIRARD) - 1932 TO 1952

514. INTERNATIONAL VERMICULITE CO (GIRARD) - 1971 TO 1978

515. INTERNATIONAL VERMICULITE CO (SPRINGFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

516. INTERSTATE PLBG SUPPLY CO (ALBANY) - 1932 TO 1952

517. IOWA ELECTRIC LIGHT & POWER CO (CRANDIC) - 1932 TO 1952

518. J & P PETROLEUM (LEWISVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

519. J & P PETROLEUM PRODUCTS INC (LEWISVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

520. J & P PETROLEUM PRODUCTS INC. (LEWISVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

521. J & P. PETROLEUM PRODUCTS INC (LEWISVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

522. J&P PETROLEUM PRODUCTS INC (DALLAS) - 1971 TO 1978

523. J.D. ADAMS MFG. CO (INDIANAPOLIS) - 1932 TO 1952

524. J.W. MORTELL CO (KANKAKEE) - 1971 TO 1978

525. J.W. MORTELL CO (WARREN) - 1971 TO 1978

526. J.W. MORTELL COMPANY (GRIFFIN) - 1971 TO 1978

527. J.W. MORTELL COMPANY (PERTH AMBOY) - 1971 TO 1978

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

528. JANNEY SEMPLE HILL & CO (MINNEAPOLIS) - 1932 TO 1952

529. JOHN LUCAS & SON INC (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

530. JOHN WOOD MFG. CO (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952

531. JOHN-MANVILLE CO (MANVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

532. JOHNS-MANVILLE (MANVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

533. JOHNS-MANVILLE (MANVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

534. JOHNS-MANVILLE CORP (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

535. JOHNS-MANVILLE CORP (WAUKEEGAN) - 1932 TO 1952

536. JOHNSON SUPPLY CO (PORTLAND) - 1932 TO 1952

537. JOHSEPH C. LOEW & SONS CO (NEWARK) - 1932 TO 1952

538. JULIUS LANDESBERG (JAMAICA) - 1932 TO 1952

539. K&W PRODUCTS (INDIANA) - 1971 TO 1978

540. KANSAS CITY INSULATING CO (KANSAS CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

541. KANSAS CITY INSULATION CO (KANSAS CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

542. KANTOR BROTHERS (NEWARK) - 1932 TO 1952

543. KEENE CORP (CINCINNATI) - 1971 TO 1978

544. KEENE INSULATION CONTRACTING (BUFFALO) - 1971 TO 1978

545. KELLY ASBESTOS PRODUCTS CO (KANSAS CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

546. KELLY ISLAND LIME & TRANSPORT CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

547. KENNEDY, DAVID E. INC (BROOKLYN) - 1932 TO 1952

548. KENNEDY, DAVID E. INC (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

549. KENNEDY, DAVID E. INC (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

550. KENTILE INC (BROOKLYN) - 1932 TO 1952

551. KENTILE INC (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

552. KENTUCKY COLOR & CHEMICAL CO (LOUISVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

553. KENTUCKY PIPE COVERING CO (LOUISVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

554. KERR MCGEE OIL INDUSTRIES INC (WYNNEWOOD) - 1932 TO 1952

555. KEYSTONE PLBG & SUPPLY CO (SPRINGFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

556. KEYSTONE ROOFING MFG. CO (YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

557. KNOWLTON BROTHERS INC (WATERTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

558. KOLB REFRACTORIES CO (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

559. KRASCO MFG. CO (LOS ANGELES) - 1932 TO 1952

560. KREZ, PAUL J. CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

561. LANDERS, FRARY & CLARK (NEW BRITAIN) - 1932 TO 1952

562. LASCO (NEW ALBANY) - 1971 TO 1978

563. LASCO BRAKE PRODUCTS CORP LTD (OAKLAND) - 1932 TO 1952

564. LASCO BRAKE PRODUCTS CORP. LTD (NEW ALBANY) - 1971 TO 1978

565. LASCO BRAKE PRODUGS CORP. LTD (NEW ALBANY) - 1971 TO 1978

566. LASTIK PRODUCTS CO. INC (PITTSBURGH) - 1971 TO 1978

567. LASTING PRODUCTS CORP (BALTIMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

568. LEHON CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

569. LEHON CO (WILMINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

570. LEITCH MFG. CO. INC (LINCOLN) - 1932 TO 1952

571. LEVALLEY, MCLEOD KINKAID CO (ELMIRA) - 1932 TO 1952

572. LEWIS ASPHALT ENGINEERING (CLARK) - 1932 TO 1952

573. LEWISTON HARDWARE & PLBG SUPPLY (LEWISTON) - 1932 TO 1952

574. LION OIL CO (ELDORADO) - 1932 TO 1952

575. LOFTIS PLBG & HEATING CO (ATLANTA) - 1932 TO 1952

576. LOGAN-LONG CO (FRANKLIN) - 1932 TO 1952

577. LOW SUPPLY CO (BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

578. LOW SUPPLY CO (HYDE PARK) - 1932 TO 1952

579. LUCAS (CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978

580. LUCAS, R.M. CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

581. LUCIER, MRS PHILOMENE (NORTH BROOKFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

582. LUNDAY THAGARD OIL CO (SOUTH GATE) - 1932 TO 1952

583. LUSE-STEVENSON CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

584. LUX, L.A. CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

585. LYCLIF ENTERPRISES (MUNCIE) - 1971 TO 1978

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

586. M. STONE CO. INC (JERSEY CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

587. MAC ARTHUR CO (ST PAUL) - 1971 TO 1978

588. MAC ARTHUR CO. (ST PAUL) - 1971 TO 1978

589. MACARTHUR (ST PAUL) - 1971 TO 1978

590. MACARTHUR CO (ST PAUL) - 1932 TO 1952

591. MACKLIN CO (JACKSON) - 1932 TO 1952

592. MACNAUGHTON (BUFFALO) - 1971 TO 1978

593. MACOUSTIC ENGINEERING CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

594. MAG BESTOS CO. INC (ELIZABETHTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

595. MAINE HARDWARE & PLBG SUPPLY CO (PORTLAND) - 1932 TO 1952

596. MAKALOT CORP (BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

597. MALDEN SUPPLY CO (MALDEN) - 1932 TO 1952

598. MALOTT & PETERSON (SAN FRANCISCO) - 1932 TO 1952

599. MALOTT PETERSON-GRUNDY CO (SAN FRANCISCO) - 1932 TO 1952

600. MANHATTAN RUBBER DIV. OF R.M. INC (PASSAIC) - 1932 TO 1952

601. MANHATTAN RUBBER DIV. OF R.M. INC (PASSAIC) - 1971 TO 1978

602. MANNAN-SMITH SUPPLY CO (ST JOSEPH) - 1932 TO 1952

603. MAREMONT (PAULDING) - 1971 TO 1978

604. MAREMONT CORPORATION (PAULDING) - 1971 TO 1978

605. MARSHALL ASBESTOS CORP (TROY) - 1932 TO 1952

606. MARSHALL F. DAVENSON (HARTFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

607. MARSHALL-ECLIPSE DIV. OF BENDIX (TROY) - 1932 TO 1952

608. MARTI F. & CO. INC (NEW YORK CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

609. MASTIC ASPHALT CORP (SOUTH BEND) - 1932 TO 1952

610. MASTIC LUBRICANTS CO (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

611. MASTIC TILE CORP. OF AMERICA (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

612. MASTIC TILE CORP. OF AMERICA (NEWBURGH) - 1932 TO 1952

613. MATERIAL SERVICE CORP (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

614. MATTHEW BALICH CORP (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

615. MC NAUGHTON BROOK (BUFFALO) - 1971 TO 1978

616. MCCANN SHIELDS PAINT CO (PITTSBURGH) - 1932 TO 1952

617. MCCORD RADIATOR & MFG. CO (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952

618. MCGREW PAINT & ASPHALT CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

619. MCNAUGHTON (BUFFALO) - 1971 TO 1978

620. MCNAUGHTON BROOK (BUFFALO) - 1971 TO 1978

621. MCNAUGHTON BROOKS IND (BUFFALO) - 1971 TO 1978

622. MERDEN PLASTICS (MERIDEN) - 1971 TO 1978

623. MERIDEN MOLDED PLASTICS (MERIDEN) - 1971 TO 1978

624. MERIDEN PLASTICS (CONNECTICUT) - 1971 TO 1978

625. MERIDEN PLASTICS (MERIDEN) - 1971 TO 1978

626. MERKIN PAINT, M.J. CO (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

627. MESA PLASTICS CO (DES PLAINES) - 1971 TO 1978

628. METALIZING (SULLIVAN) - 1971 TO 1978

629. MEYERS CHEM (BUFFALO) - 1971 TO 1978

630. MEYERS CHEMICAL INC (BUFFALO) - 1971 TO 1978

631. MEYERS, JAMES O. CO (BUFFALO) - 1932 TO 1952

632. MEYERS, JAMES O. CO (KENMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

633. MICHEL, R.E. CO (BALTIMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

634. MIDDLESBORO FRICTION CO (MIDDLESBORO) - 1932 TO 1952

635. MIDDLESEX HARDWARE SUPPLY (LOWELL) - 1932 TO 1952

636. MIDEKE SUPPLY CO (OKLAHOMA CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

637. MIDTOWN PLBG & SUPPLY CO (MIDDLETOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

638. MIDWEST PRODUCTS CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

639. MIDWEST PRODUCTS CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

640. MILEY, L.J. CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

641. MILEY, L.J. CO (NORTH MANCHESTER) - 1932 TO 1952

642. MILLER PAINT MFG. CO (HUNTINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

643. MILWAUKEE INSULATION CO (MILWAUKEE) - 1932 TO 1952

644. MINNESOTA MINING & MFG (ST PAUL) - 1971 TO 1978

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

645. MINNESOTA MINING & MFG CO (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952

646. MINNESOTA MINING & MFG CO (LOS ANGELES) - 1932 TO 1952

647. MINNESOTA MINING (MISSOURI) - 1971 TO 1978

648. MINWAX CO (DELAWANA) - 1932 TO 1952

649. MITCHELL & SMITH INC (TOLEDO) - 1932 TO 1952

650. MITSUI & CO. LTD (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

651. MOHAWK SHINGLE CO. INC (ONEIDA) - 1932 TO 1952

652. MOLDED INDUST (PRATTVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

653. MOLDED INDUSTRIAL FRICTION CORP (PRATTVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

654. MOLDED MATERIALS (RIDGWAY) - 1971 TO 1978

655. MOLDED MATERIALS (RIGWAY) - 1971 TO 1978

656. MOLDED MATERIALS DIV. OF CARLISLE (RIDGWAY) - 1971 TO 1978

657. MOLDED MATERIALS DIV. OF CARLISLE (RIDWAY) - 1971 TO 1978

658. MOLDED MATERIALS DIVISION (RIDGWAY) - 1932 TO 1952

659. MONSANTO CHEMICAL CO (INDIAN ORCHARD) - 1932 TO 1952

660. MONSANTO CHEMICAL CO (SEATTLE) - 1932 TO 1952

661. MONSEY PRODUCTS CO (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

662. MONSEY PRODUCTS CO (WISSAHICKON) - 1932 TO 1952

663. MONTGOMERY WARD & CO (BALTIMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

664. MONTOIL INC (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

665. MORENCI PRODUCTS CO (MORENCI) - 1932 TO 1952

666. MORGAN, C. STANLEY (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952

667. MORRISON SUPPLY CO (AMARILLO) - 1932 TO 1952

668. MORTEL CALIFORNIA (RIVERSIDE) - 1971 TO 1978

669. MORTEL GRIFFIN (GRIFFIN) - 1971 TO 1978

670. MORTELL (PERTH AMOY) - 1971 TO 1978

671. MORTELL CALF (RIVERSIDE) - 1971 TO 1978

672. MORTELL CO (KANKAKEE) - 1971 TO 1978

673. MORTELL CO (WARREN) - 1971 TO 1978

674. MORTELL COMPANY (GRIFFIN) - 1971 TO 1978

675. MORTELL COMPANY (PERTH AMBOY) - 1971 TO 1978

676. MORTELL DET (WARREN) - 1971 TO 1978

677. MORTELL DETROIT (WARREN) - 1971 TO 1978

678. MORTELL GRIFFEN (GRIFFIN) - 1971 TO 1978

679. MORTELL GRIFFIN (GRIFFIN) - 1971 TO 1978

680. MORTELL KANK (KANKAKEE) - 1971 TO 1978

681. MORTELL KANKAKEE (ILLIONOIS) - 1971 TO 1978

682. MORTELL KANKAKEE (KANKAKEE) - 1971 TO 1978

683. MORTELL P. AMBOY (PERTH) - 1971 TO 1978

684. MORTELL P.AMBOY (PERTH) - 1971 TO 1978

685. MORTELL PAMBOY (PERTH AMBOY) - 1971 TO 1978

686. MORTELL, J.W. & CO (KANKAKEE) - 1932 TO 1952

687. MOTOROYAL OIL CO (DENVER) - 1932 TO 1952

688. MUESSMAN ASBESTOS MFG. CO (WOODSIDE) - 1932 TO 1952

689. MULTI-BRICK MFG. CO. INC (ST LOUIS) - 1932 TO 1952

690. MUNDET CORK CORP (HILLSIDE) - 1932 TO 1952

691. MUNDET CORK CORP (NORTH BERGEN) - 1932 TO 1952

692. NAILCRETE CORP (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

693. NAPKO (HOUSTON) - 1971 TO 1978

694. NAPKO CORPORATION (HOUSTON) - 1971 TO 1978

695. NAPKO TEXAS (HOUSTON) - 1971 TO 1978

696. NASCHER, P.G (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

697. NAT GYPSUM MILL (MILLINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978

698. NAT. GYPSUM N.O (NEW ORLEANS) - 1971 TO 1978

699. NATIONAL ASBESTOS MFG. CO (JERSEY CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

700. NATIONAL BRAKE BLOCK (MOBILE) - 1971 TO 1978

701. NATIONAL CARBON CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

702. NATIONAL FRICTION (LOGANSPORT) - 1971 TO 1978

703. NATIONAL FRICTION PRODUCTS CO (LOGANSPORT) - 1971 TO 1978

704. NATIONAL GYPSUM (NEW ORLEANS) - 1971 TO 1978

705. NATIONAL GYPSUM CO (BUFFALO) - 1932 TO 1952

706. NATIONAL GYPSUM CO (NEW ORLEANS) - 1971 TO 1978

707. NATIONAL GYPSUM COMPANY (BUFFALO) - 1971 TO 1978

708. NATIONAL GYPSUM COMPANY (NATIONAL CITY) - 1971 TO 1978

709. NATIONAL GYPSUM MILL (MILLINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

710. NATIONAL INDIA RUBBER CO (PROVIDENCE) - 1932 TO 1952

711. NATIONAL MFG. CORP (TONAWANDA) - 1932 TO 1952

712. NATIONAL PAINT (TENNESSEE) - 1971 TO 1978

713. NATIONAL ROOF COATERS (CHARLESTON) - 1971 TO 1978

714. NATIONAL TILE & MARBLE CORP (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

715. NELSON, B.F. MFG. CO (MINNEAPOLIS) - 1932 TO 1952

716. NELSON, N.O. MFG (PUEBLO) - 1932 TO 1952

717. NEW BRITAIN PLBG SUPPLY (NEW BRITAIN) - 1932 TO 1952

718. NEW ENGLAND INSULATION CO (BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

719. NEW ENGLAND LIME CO (PITTSFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

720. NEW ENGLAND PIPE & SUPPLY CO (CHARLESTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

721. NEW ENGLAND PIPE SUPPLY CO (BREWER) - 1932 TO 1952

722. NEW ENGLAND PIPE SUPPLY CO (SOMERVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

723. NEW HAVEN PLBG. & SUPPLY CO (NEW HAVEN) - 1932 TO 1952

724. NEW YORK SHIPBUILDING CORP (CAMDEN) - 1932 TO 1952

725. NICHOLS PIPE CO (EL PASO) - 1932 TO 1952

726. NICOLET AMBLER (AMBLER) - 1971 TO 1978

727. NICOLET ASBESTOS MINES LTD (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

728. NICOLET HAMILTON (HAMILTON) - 1971 TO 1978

729. NICOLET INDUSTRIES INC (AMBLER) - 1971 TO 1978

730. NICOLET INDUSTRIES INC (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

731. NICOLET INDUSTRIES INC (NORRISTOWN) - 1971 TO 1978

732. NICOLET INDUSTRIES INC (ROCKDALE) - 1971 TO 1978

733. NICOLET NORR (NORRISTOWN) - 1971 TO 1978

734. NICOLET NORRISTOWN (NORRISTOWN) - 1971 TO 1978

735. NISELY & CO (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

736. NOLAND CO (ROANOKE) - 1932 TO 1952

737. NOLAND CO. INC (ATLANTA) - 1932 TO 1952

738. NORFOLK & WESTERN RAILWAY CO (ROANOKE) - 1932 TO 1952

739. NORRISTOWN MAGNESIA & ASBESTOS CO. (NORRISTOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

740. NORTHEASTERN ASBESTOS MFG CO. (SOMERVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

741. NORTHWEST INSULATION CO. (VANCOUVER) - 1932 TO 1952

742. NORTON & SON (BAYONNE) - 1932 TO 1952

743. NORTON & SON (BAYONNE) - 1971 TO 1978

744. NORTON LABORATORIES (LOCKPORT) - 1971 TO 1978

745. NOX-RUST CHERNICA]. CORP. (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

746. OAKFIELD GYPSUM PRODUCTS CORP. (SYRACUSE) - 1932 TO 1952

747. OHIO ADHESIVES CORPORATION (NEW PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

748. OHIO ASBESTOS & INSULATION (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

749. OHIO BRASS (MANFIELD) - 1971 TO 1978

750. OHIO BRASS (MANSFIELD) - 1971 TO 1978

751. OHIO BRASS COMPANY (MANSFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

752. OHIO BRASS COMPANY (MANSFIELD) - 1971 TO 1978

753. OHIO PAPER COMPANY (MIAMISBURG) - 1932 TO 1952

754. OHIO RUBBER (STRATFORD) - 1971 TO 1978

755. OHIO RUBBER CO. (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

756. OHIO RUBBER CO. (WILLOUGHBY) - 1932 TO 1952

757. OHIO RUBBER COMPANY (STRATFORD) - 1971 TO 1978

758. OHMALC PAINT & REFINING CO. (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

759. ORANGEBURG MFG. COMPANY (ORANGBURG) - 1932 TO 1952

760. ORENDA CORP (WILMINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

761. ORTENBACH, E.W. (NEW ORLEANS) - 1932 TO 1952

762. OSMOSE WOOD PRESERVING CO. (BUFFALO) - 1932 TO 1952

763. OTIS MASSEY CO. LTD. (HOUSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

764. OWENS-CORNING FIBERGLAS CORP. (NEWARK) - 1932 TO 1952

765. OWENS-ILLINOIS GLASS CO. (TOLEDO) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

766. OZUROVICH J. COMPANY (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

767. P O B MFG CO (CINCINNATI) - 1932 TO 1952

768. PABCO PRODUCTS INC. (SAN FRANCISCO) - 1932 TO 1952

769. PACIFIC ASBESTOS SUPPLY CO. (PORTLAND) - 1932 TO 1952

770. PACIFIC ASBESTOS-CEMENT PRODUCTS (SAN BERNARDINO) - 1932 TO 1952

771. PACIFIC PAINT & VARNISH CO. (BERKELEY) - 1932 TO 1952

772. PALMER ASBESTOS & RUBBER CO. (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

773. PALMER ASBESTOS & RUBBER CORP (CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978

774. PALMER ASBESTOS & RUBBER CORP (LOUISVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

775. PALMER ASBESTOS & RUBBER CORP. (LOUISVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

776. PALMER ASBESTOS & RUBBER CORP. (ST MATTHEWS) - 1932 TO 1952

777. PALMER ST. MAT (ST MATTHEWS) - 1971 TO 1978

778. PALMER STMAT (ST MATTHEWS) - 1971 TO 1978

779. PALMER SUPLIES (CLEVELAND) - 1971 TO 1978

780. PALMER SUPPLIES (CLEVELAND) - 1971 TO 1978

781. PANTHER OIL & GREASE MFG. CO. (FORT WORTH) - 1932 TO 1952

782. PARAFFINE COMPANIES INC. (SOMERVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

783. PARAFINE COMPANIES INC. (EMERIVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

784. PARAFINE COMPANIES INC. (SAN FRANCISCO) - 1932 TO 1952

785. PARAGON PLASTER & SUPPLY CO. (SCRANTON) - 1932 TO 1952

786. PARR (CLEVELAND) - 1971 TO 1978

787. PARR (INDIANA) - 1971 TO 1978

788. PARR FORT WORTH (FORT WORTH) - 1971 TO 1978

789. PARR PAINT & COLOR CO. (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

790. PARR-OCALA (OCALA) - 1971 TO 1978

791. PARSONS BROS. (BRIDGEPORT) - 1932 TO 1952

792. PASSAIO RUBBER CO. (CLIFTON) - 1932 TO 1952

793. PECORA PAINT CO. (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

794. PELISSIER, JONAS & RIVET INC. (WALDEN) - 1932 TO 1952

795. PELTZ (PHILADELPHIA) - 1971 TO 1978

796. PENNSYLVANLA LUBRICATING CO. (PITTSBURG) - 1932 TO 1952

797. PENOLA INC. (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

798. PENOLA INC. (PITTSBURG) - 1932 TO 1952

799. PERRY & DERRICK CO. (CINCINNATI) - 1932 TO 1952

800. PETROL CORPORATION (LOS ANGELES) - 1932 TO 1952

801. PHILADELPHIA ASBESTOS CORP. (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

802. PHILIP CAREY CO. DIV OF PANACON CORP. (HOUSTON) - 1971 TO 1978

803. PHILIP CAREY CO. DIV OF PANACON. CORP (PERTH AMBOY) - 1971 TO 1978

804. PHILIP CAREY COMPANY (MEMPHIS) - 1971 TO 1978

805. PHILIP CAREY COMPANY. DIV. OF PANACON (HOUSTON) - 1971 TO 1978

806. PHILIP CAREY COMPANY. DIV. OF PANACON (LOCKLAND) - 1971 TO 1978

807. PHILIP CAREY COMPANY. DIV. OF PANACON (MEMPHIS) - 1971 TO 1978

808. PHILIP CAREY COMPANY. DIV. OF PANACON (WILMINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978

809. PHILIP CAREY MFG. COMPANY (CINCINNATI) - 1932 TO 1952

810. PHILIP CAREY MFG. COMPANY (PLYMOUTH MEETING) - 1932 TO 1952

811. PIONEER FLINTKOTE CO. (LOS ANGELES) - 1932 TO 1952

812. PIONEER METAL PRODUCTS CO. (BRIDGEPORT) - 1932 TO 1952

813. PURE OIL CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

814. PITTSBURGH PLATE GLASS CO. (NEWARK) - 1932 TO 1952

815. PLANT INSULATION CORP. (LOS ANGELES) - 1932 TO 1952

816. PLANT RUBBER & ASBESTOS WORKS (SAN FRANCISCO) - 1932 TO 1952

817. PLASKALITE CORP. (CHESTER) - 1932 TO 1952

818. PLASKON DIVISION, LIBBEY, OWENS (TOLEDO) - 1932 TO 1952

819. PLASLOK (CHEEKTOWOGA) - 1971 TO 1978

820. PLASLOK (LOCKPORT) - 1971 TO 1978

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

821. PLASTIC MOULDING CORP (SANDY HOOK) - 1932 TO 1952

822. PLASTIC VENEERING INC (MILWAUKEE) - 1932 TO 1952

823. PLASTICS PRODUCTS INC (SOUTH NORWALK) - 1932 TO 1952

824. PODWILS ASBESTOS CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

825. POE CW & CO (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

826. POE HARDWARE & SUPPLY (GREEENVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

827. PORTER AMCO (HUNTINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978

828. PORTER RICHMOND (RICHMOND) - 1971 TO 1978

829. POWHATAN MINING COMPANY (BALTIMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

830. PRO SEAL (CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978

831. PRO-MECH (INDIANAPOLIS) - 1971 TO 1978

832. PRO-MECH SYSTEMS (INDIANAPOLIS) - 1971 TO 1978

833. PROSPECT PAINT COMPANY (SECAUCUS) - 1932 TO 1952

834. PROTECTIVE COATINGS CORP (RICHMOND) - 1932 TO 1952

835. QUAKER STATE OIL REFINING CORP (BUFFALO) - 1971 TO 1978

836. QUIGLEY COMPANY INC. (OLD BRIDGE) - 1932 TO 1952

837. R.C.A. RUBBER COMPANY (AKRON) - 1932 TO 1952

838. R.L. MOORE-PIPE & BOILER COVG. CO (RALEIGH) - 1932 TO 1952

839. R.M. MARSHVILLE (MARSHVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

840. R.M. N. CHARLESTON (N CHARLESTON) - 1971 TO 1978

841. R.V. AYCOCK COMPANY (HOUSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

842. RADIATOR (CHARLOTTE) - 1971 TO 1978

843. RADIATOR SPECIALTY CO. INC (CHARLOTTE) - 1971 TO 1978

844. RALPH E. WEEKS & COMPANY (SCRANTON) - 1932 TO 1952

845. RANDALL COMPANY (CINCINNATI) - 1932 TO 1952

846. RAUB SUPPLY COMPANY (LANCASTER) - 1932 TO 1952

847. RAYBESTOS DIV. OF R.M. (BRIDGEPORT) - 1932 TO 1952

848. RAYBESTOS DIV. OF R.M. (STRATFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

849. RAYBESTOS DIV. OF R.M. INC (STRATFORD) - 1971 TO 1978

850. RAYBESTOS-MANHATTAN (CRAWFORDSVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

851. RAYBESTOS-MANHATTAN INC (MANHEIM) - 1971 TO 1978

852. RAYBESTOS-MANHATTAN INC (NORTH CHARLESTON) - 1971 TO 1978

853. READY BUILT (BALTIMORE) - 1971 TO 1978

854. READY BUILT PRODUCTS COMPANY (BALTIMORE) - 1971 TO 1978

855. READYMIX CONCRETE CO. (SAN FRANCISCO) - 1932 TO 1952

856. REFRACTORY & INSULATION CORP (PORT KENNEDY) - 1932 TO 1952

857. REID ASBESTOS COMPANY (HARTFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

858. REYNOLDS METALS COMPANY (RICHMOND) - 1971 TO 1978

859. RHINEHART, GEORGE INC. (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

860. RIBELIN DISTRIBUTORS INC (HOUSTON) - 1971 TO 1978

861. RICHMAN CHEMICAL PRODUCTS CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

862. RILEY (BURLINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978

863. RILEY BROS INC (BURLINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978

864. RILEY BROS, INC (BURLINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

865. RILEY BROS. INC (BURLINGTON) - 1971 TO 1978

866. RITESET MFG. CO (LOS ANGELES) - 1932 TO 1952

867. RM CRAFORDSVILLE (CRAWFORDSVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

868. RM CRAWFORDSVILLE (CRAWFORDSVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

869. RM CRAWFORDVILLE (CRAWFORDVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

870. RM MANHEIM (MANEHIM) - 1971 TO 1978

871. RM MANHEIM (MANHEIM) - 1971 TO 1978

872. RM MARSHVILLE (MARSHVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

873. RM MARSHVILLE (NORTH CAROLINA) - 1971 TO 1978

874. RM N CHARLESTON (N CHARLESTON) - 1971 TO 1978

875. RM N. CHARLESTON (CHARLESTON) - 1971 TO 1978

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

876. RM STRAT (STRATFORD) - 1971 TO 1978

877. RM STRATFORD (STRATFORD) - 1971 TO 1978

878. ROCBOND COMPANY (HARRISBURG) - 1932 TO 1952

879. ROCBOND PRODUCTS COMPANY (VAN WERT) - 1932 TO 1952

880. ROCHESTER SURFACE PROTECTION CO (PITTSFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

881. ROCKBESTOS PRODUCTS CORP (NEW HAVEN) - 1932 TO 1952

882. ROGERS (CONNECTICUT) - 1971 TO 1978

883. ROWE NIAGARA (NIAGARA FALLS) - 1971 TO 1978

884. ROWE PAINT & VARNISH CO. (NIAGARA FALLS) - 1932 TO 1952

885. ROWE PRODUCTS (NIAGARA FALLS) - 1971 TO 1978

886. ROWE PRODUCTS INC (FREEPORT) - 1971 TO 1978

887. ROWE PRODUCTS INC (NIAGARA FALLS) - 1971 TO 1978

888. ROWE TEXAS (FREEPORT) - 1971 TO 1978

889. ROWLEY. G. A. & CO (PH ILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

890. ROWLEY. G. A. & CO (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

891. ROYAL INDUSTRIES (DANVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

892. ROYSTON (PITTSBURG) - 1971 TO 1978

893. ROYSTON LAB (BLAWNOX) - 1971 TO 1978

894. ROYSTON LABORATORIES (BLAWNOX) - 1971 TO 1978

895. ROYSTON LABORATORIES (SPRINGDALE) - 1932 TO 1952

896. RPM-MEDINA (MEDINA) - 1971 TO 1978

897. RUBEROID CO (SOUTH BOUNDBROOK) - 1932 TO 1952

898. RUSSEL ASBESTOS CO (NORTHFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

899. RUSSELL MFG. COMPANY (MIDDLETOWN) - 1932 TO 1952

900. S.E. MAYLOR COMPANY (PROVIDENCE) - 1932 TO 1952

901. SHANKLIN (AYER) - 1971 TO 1978

902. SAFEPACK MILLS DIV. OF RUBEROID (MILLES) - 1932 TO 1952

903. SALL MOUNTAIN COMPANY (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

904. SALL MOUNTAIN COMPANY (HAMILTON) - 1932 TO 1952

905. SAM'S PLACE (BIDDEFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

906. SCANDINAVIA BELTING CO (NEWARK) - 1932 TO 1952

907. SCHNEE MOREHEAD (IRVING) - 1971 TO 1978

908. SCHNEE-MOREHEAD CHEMICAL CO (IRVING) - 1971 TO 1978

909. SCHOEDINGER, F.O. (COLUMBUS) - 1932 TO 1952

910. SCHUNDLER, F.E. & CO. (JOLIET) - 1932 TO 1952

911. SCHUSTER, CARL T. COMPANY (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

912. SCHWARTZ, R.H. RUBBER (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

913. SCIENTIFIC OIL COMPOUNDING CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

914. SEABOARD ASPHALT PRODUCTS CO (BALTIMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

915. SEABORD PLASTICS CORP (IRVINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

916. SEABORD SUPPLY CO (IRVINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

917. SEA-RO PRODUCTS CO (NEW YPRK) - 1932 TO 1952

918. SEA-RO PRODUCTS CO (WOOD RIDGE) - 1932 TO 1952

919. SEARS, ROEBUCK & COMPANY (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

920. SEGREDYNE (MASSACHUSSETS) - 1971 TO 1978

921. SELINDH (DES MOINS) - 1971 TO 1978

922. SELINDH MACHINE COMPANY (DES MOINES) - 1971 TO 1978

923. SEMET-SOLVEY COMPANY (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

924. SEMUELS SUPPLY COMPANY (BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

925. SERVICIZED PRODUCTS CORP (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

926. SHANKLIN CORPORATION (AYER) - 1971 TO 1978

927. SHEPARD PAINT COMPANY (COLUMBUS) - 1932 TO 1952

928. SKINNER & SHERMAN (WALTHMAN) - 1971 TO 1978

929. SLOANE-BLABON, CORP (TRENTON) - 1932 TO 1952

930. SMITH & KANZLER CORP (ELIZABETH) - 1932 TO 1952

931. SMITH & KANZLER CORP (LINDEN) - 1932 TO 1952

932. SMITH A.O. CORP (MILWAUKEE) - 1932 TO 1952

933. SMITH ASBESTOS PRODUCTS (MILLINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

934. SMITH ASBESTOS PRODUCTS (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

935. SMITH PAINT PRODUCTS (HARRISBURG) - 1932 TO 1952

936. SMITH, E.M. COMPANY (LOS ANGELES) - 1932 TO 1952

937. SONNEBORN L. SONS (NUTLEY) - 1932 TO 1952

938. SOUTHEASTERN MAGNESIA & ASBESTOS (CHARLOTTE) - 1932 TO 1952

939. SOUTHERN ASBESTOS (BENNETSVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

940. SOUTHERN ASBESTOS COMPANY (CHARLOTTE) - 1932 TO 1952

941. SOUTHERN ASPHALT & PETROLEUM (FORT WORTH) - 1932 TO 1952

942. SOUTHERN BENNETSVILLE (BENNETSVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

943. SOUTHERN BENNETSY (BENNETTSVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

944. SOUTHERN BUILDERS INC (TALLAHASSEE) - 1932 TO 1952

945. SOUTHERN CHARLOTTE (CHARLOTTE) - 1971 TO 1978

946. SOUTHERN CHARLOTTE (NORTH CAROLINA) - 1971 TO 1978

947. SOUTHWEST GREASE & OIL CORP (KANSAS CITY) - 1971 TO 1978

948. SOUTHWEST GREASE (KANSAS CITY) - 1971 TO 1978

949. SOUTHWEST GREASE (OLATHE) - 1971 TO 1978

950. SOUTHWEST INSULATING & PACKING (DALLAS) - 1932 TO 1952

951. SOUTHWEST PETE (FORT WORTH) - 1971 TO 1978

952. SOUTHWESTERN PETROLEUM CO (FORT WORTH) - 1932 TO 1952

953. SOUTHWESTERN PETROLEUM CORP (FORT WORTH) - 1971 TO 1978

954. SOUTHWESTERN PETROLEUM CORP (FORTH WORTH) - 1971 TO 1978

955. SPEER CARBON CO (ST MARYS) - 1932 TO 1952

956. SPEER RESISTOR CORP (ST MARYS) - 1932 TO 1952

957. SPENCER, F.E. & CO (NEW HAVEN) - 1932 TO 1952

958. SPRAYED INSULATION INC (MONTCLAIR) - 1932 TO 1952

959. SPRAYO-FLAKE CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

960. SPRINKMAN SONS CORPORATION (MILWAUKEE) - 1932 TO 1952

961. SPRINKMAN SONS CORPORATION (PEORIA) - 1932 TO 1952

962. STANCAL ASPHALT & BITUMULS (INGLEWOOD) - 1932 TO 1952

963. STANCDO SUPPLY (HOUSTON) - 1971 TO 1978

964. STANCO BRAKE LINING CO (HOUSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

965. STANDARD ASB. MFG. & INSULATING (KANSAS CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

966. STANDARD ASBESTOS MFG. (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

967. STANDARD ASBESTOS MFG. (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

968. STANDARD ASBESTOS MFG. (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952

969. STANDARD HARDWARE CO (LYNN) - 1932 TO 1952

970. STANDARD INSULATION CO. OF MD. (BALTIMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

971. STANDARD INSULATION COMPANY (WASHINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

972. STANDARD LIME & STONE COMPANY (MILLVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

973. STANDARD OIL CO. OF CALIFORNIA (RICHMOND) - 1932 TO 1952

974. STANDARD OIL CO. OF CALIFORNIA (SAN FRANCISCO) - 1932 TO 1952

975. STANDCO INDUSTRIES INC (HOUSTON) - 1971 TO 1978

976. STANDCO SUPPLY (HOUSTON) - 1971 TO 1978

977. STANDERD ASBESTOS CO (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

978. STANDERD ASBESTOS CO (SAN FRANCISCO) - 1932 TO 1952

979. STANDO INDUSTRIES INC (HOUSTON) - 1971 TO 1978

980. STANLEY DOGGETT, INC (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

981. STARKIE A. E. CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

982. STEEN, JOHN A. VARNISH CO (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

983. STERLING MATERIALS CO (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

984. STERLING VARNISH CO (HAYSVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

985. ST-MARY'S FRICTION CO (AUSTIN) - 1932 TO 1952

986. STOKES ASBESTOS CO (TRENTON) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

987. STPHENS ADAMSON MFG (LOS ANGELES) - 1932 TO 1952

988. STRONG, CARLISLE & HAMMOND (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

989. SUNDRY U.S. CUSTOMERS (US) - 1971 TO 1978

990. SUNDRY UNITED STATES CUSTOMERS (US) - 1971 TO 1978

991. SUPERBESTOS CORP (WATSEKA) - 1932 TO 1952

992. SUPERIOR AUTO PRODUCTS (BOISE) - 1932 TO 1952

993. SUPERIOR CHEMICAL SALES CO (KANSAS CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

994. SUPRADUR (WIND GAP) - 1971 TO 1978

995. SUPRADUR CORPORATION OF NY (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

996. SWEDISH CRUCIBLE STEEL CO (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952

997. SYNVAR CORPORATION (WILMINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

998. TAFT JENKINS CO. (HOLYOKE) - 1932 TO 1952

999. TAFT, P.M. ASBESTOS COMPANY (HOLYOKE) - 1932 TO 1952

1000. TALLMAN-MCCLUSKEY FABRICS CO (KIRKWOOD) - 1932 TO 1952

1001. TECH SEALANTS (ST PAUL) - 1971 TO 1978

1002. TECHNICAL SEALANTS (ST PAUL) - 1971 TO 1978

1003. TENNESSEE COATING (MEMPHIS) - 1971 TO 1978

1004. TEXAS COMPANY (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

1005. TEXAS REF. TEXAS (FORT WORTH) - 1971 TO 1978

1006. TEXAS REFINERY CORP (FORT WORTH) - 1971 TO 1978

1007. TEXAS REFINERY CORP (FORTH WORTH) - 1971 TO 1978

1008. THE CELOTEX CORPORATION (LOCKLAND) - 1971 TO 1978

1009. THE FLINTKOTE COMPANY (VERNON) - 1971 TO 1978

1010. THE NEW JERSEY ZINC CO. (PALMERTON) - 1971 TO 1978

1011. THEO. H. DAVIES & CO. (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

1012. THERMOID CO., SOUTHERN DIV (CHARLOTTE) - 1932 TO 1952

1013. THERMOID COMPANY (CHARLOTTE) - 1932 TO 1952

1014. THERMOID COMPANY (TRENTON) - 1932 TO 1952

1015. THIEM (MILWAUKEE) - 1971 TO 1978

1016. THIEM CORP (MILWAUKEE) - 1971 TO 1978

1017. THIOKOL (MARYLAND) - 1971 TO 1978

1018. THIOKOL CHEMICAL CORP (ELKTON) - 1971 TO 1978

1019. THIOKOL CHEMICAL CORPL (ELKTON) - 1971 TO 1978

1020. THIOKOL CHEMICAL CORPORATION (BRIGHAM CITY) - 1971 TO 1978

1021. THIOKOL WASATCH (BRIGGHAM) - 1971 TO 1978

1022. THIOKOL WASATCH (BRIGHAM CITY) - 1971 TO 1978

1023. THOMAS MOULDING FLOOR MFG (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

1024. THOMAS SOMERVILLE (WASHINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

1025. THOMPSON-HAYWARD CHEMICAL (KANSAS CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

1026. THURSTON, C.E. & SONS (NORFOLK) - 1932 TO 1952

1027. TILE-TEX CO. (CHICAGO HEIGHTS) - 1932 TO 1952

1028. TILE-TITE CORPORATION (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

1029. TILO ROOFING CO. INC. (STRATFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

1030. TISCHLER BROTHERS (UNION CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

1031. TOPS-ALL (FLORIDA) - 1971 TO 1978

1032. TRANT THOMAS & BRO. (HARTFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

1033. TREMCO MFG. COMPANY (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

1034. TRENTON MINERAL FLOORING CO. (TRENTON) - 1932 TO 1952

1035. TRIPLEWEAR BRAKE LINING CORP. (PATERSON) - 1932 TO 1952

1036. TRI-STATE (BRIDGEPORT) - 1971 TO 1978

1037. TRI-STATE (WHEELING) - 1971 TO 1978

1038. TRI-STATE INDUSTRIAL COMPANY (WHEELING) - 1971 TO 1978

1039. TRI-STATE OHIO (BRIDGEPORT) - 1971 TO 1978

1040. TRI-STATE P.A (PHILIPSBURG) - 1971 TO 1978

1041. TROPICAL PAINT & OIL COMPANY (CLEVLAND) - 1932 TO 1952

1042. TRYBEE COMPANY (GARFIELD) - 1932 TO 1952

1043. TUCO PRODUCTS CORP. (CH ICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

1044. TUFF KOTE INC (WARREN) - 1971 TO 1978

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

1045. U. S. ASBESTOS DIV. OF R. M. INC. (MANHEIM) - 1932 TO 1952

1046. U. S. HEAT & FROST INSULATING CO (BUFFALO) - 1932 TO 1952

1047. U. S. OIL COMPANY (PROVIDENCE) - 1932 TO 1952

1048. U. S. RUBBER COMPANY (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

1049. U. S. SPRING & BUMPER CO. (SANTA CLARA) - 1932 TO 1952

1050. U.S. ASPHALT COMPANY (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

1051. U.S. GYPSUM (EAST CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978

1052. U.S. GYPSUM (ST PAUL) - 1971 TO 1978

1053. U.S. GYPSUM CO (EAST CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978

1054. U.S. GYPSUM CO (ST PAUL) - 1971 TO 1978

1055. U.S. GYPSUM COMPANY (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

1056. U.S. GYPSUM COMPANY (EAST CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978

1057. U.S. GYPSUM COMPANY (JERSEY CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

1058. U.S. GYPSUM ST PAUL (ST PAUL) - 1971 TO 1978

1059. U.S. PLYWOOD CORP (ALGOMA) - 1971 TO 1978

1060. U.S. SANATONE COMPANY (DALLAS) - 1932 TO 1952

1061. UNION ASBESTOS & RUBBER CO. (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

1062. UNION ASBESTOS & RUBBER CO. (CICERO) - 1932 TO 1952

1063. UNION ASBESTOS & RUBBER CO. (DAVIDSON) - 1932 TO 1952

1064. UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION (BOUND BROOK) - 1971 TO 1978

1065. UNIROYAL (HOGANSVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

1066. UNIROYAL FIBRE & TEXTILE (HOGANSVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

1067. UNIROYAL INC (HOGANSVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

1068. UNITED GIBSONITE (SCRANTON) - 1971 TO 1978

1069. UNITED STATES RUBBER CO. (PASSAIC) - 1932 TO 1952

1070. UNITED STATES TREASURY DEPT. (WASHINGTON) - 1932 TO 1952

1071. UNIVERSAL ASBESTOS CORP. (RICHMOND HILLS) - 1932 TO 1952

1072. UNIVERSAL ASBESTOS CORP. (SOUTH AQUEDUCT) - 1932 TO 1952

1073. UNIVERSAL FRICTION MATERIALS CO. (KENDALLVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

1074. UPCO CHEMICALS (CLEVELAND) - 1971 TO 1978

1075. UPCO COMPANY (CLEVELAND) - 1971 TO 1978

1076. UPSON (LOCKPORT) - 1971 TO 1978

1077. UTICA HYDRAULIC CEMENT COMPNY (UTICA) - 1932 TO 1952

1078. UTICA PLUMBING SUPPLY CORPORATION (UTICA) - 1932 TO 1952

1079. UVALDE ROCK ASPHALT COMPANY (SAN ANTONIO) - 1932 TO 1952

1080. VANDERBILT. R.T. CO. INC. (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

1081. VERMONT ASBESTOS (HYDE PARK) - 1971 TO 1978

1082. VERMONT ASBESTOS (LOWELL) - 1971 TO 1978

1083. VERMONT ASBESTOS COMPANY (HYDE PARK) - 1932 TO 1952

1084. VERMONT ASBESTOS MINES (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

1085. VIBRADAMP CORPORATION (JACKSON) - 1932 TO 1952

1086. VICTOR MFG. & GASKET COMPANY (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

1087. VISCOSITY OIL COMPANY (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

1088. W.R. GRACE & CO. CONSTR. PROD. DIV (CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978

1089. W.S. NOTT CO. (MINNEAPOLIS) - 1932 TO 1952

1090. W.W. PARR & COMPANY (EAST HANOVER) - 1971 TO 1978

1091. WAGNER CHARLES A. CO. INC (PHILADELPHIA) - 1971 TO 1978

1092. WAGNER, CHARLES A. CO. INC. (PH ILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

1093. WALLACE & GALE CO (BALTIMORE) - 1971 TO 1978

1094. WALLACE & GALE COMPANY (BALTIMORE) - 1932 TO 1952

1095. WARDS NATRURAL SCIENCE EST. (ROCHESTER) - 1932 TO 1952

1096. WARD'S NATURAL SCIENCE (ROCHESTER) - 1971 TO 1978

1097. WARD'S NATUREL SCIENCE ESTABLISHMENT (ROCHESTER) - 1971 TO 1978

1098. WARREN BROS. CO. (BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

1099. WARREN BROTHERS ROADS COMPANY (BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

1100. WATSON H.F. MILLS. (ERIE) - 1932 TO 1952

1101. WEAVER-WALL CO. (CLEVELND) - 1932 TO 1952

1102. WEIL -MCLAIN COMPANY (MICHIGAN CITY) - 1932 TO 1952

1103. WEINSTOCK SUPPLY COMPANY (NEWARK) - 1932 TO 1952

1104. WESCO WATERPAINTS (WEST BERKELEY) - 1932 TO 1952

1105. WEST VIRGINIA PULP & PAPER CO. (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

1106. WESTERN ASBESTOS CORP. (SAN FRAICISCO) - 1932 TO 1952

1107. WESTERN MINERAL PRODUCTS CO. (MINNEAPOLIS) - 1932 TO 1952

1108. WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE CO. (WILMERDING) - 1932 TO 1952

1109. WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORP. (EAST PITTSBURG) - 1932 TO 1952

1110. WHITE FUEL CORPORATION (SOUTH BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

1111. WHITTAKER, CLARK & DANIELS INC. (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

1112. WHITTAKER. CLARK & DANIELS INC (SOUTH PLAINFIELD) - 1971 TO 1978

1113. WILLIAMAM SUINMERHAYS COMPANY (ROCHESTER) - 1932 TO 1952

1114. WILLIAMSON (CHARLESTON) - 1971 TO 1978

1115. WILLIAMSON PAINT (CHARLESTON) - 1932 TO 1952

1116. WILLIAMSON PAINT MFG. CO (CHARLESTOWN) - 1971 TO 1978

1117. WILSON PAINT COMPANY (CINCINNATI) - 1932 TO 1952

1118. WILSON WELDER & METALS CO. INC. (NEW YORK) - 1932 TO 1952

1119. WING, R.B. & SON CO. (ALBANY) - 1932 TO 1952

1120. WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER CO. (EAST PORT) - 1932 TO 1952

1121. WITCO CHEMICAL CO. (CHICAGO) - 1932 TO 1952

1122. WLDGER & MACDONALD (DETROIT) - 1932 TO 1952

1123. WM ZINGER HANDY PATCHING PLASTER (PHILADELPHIA) - 1932 TO 1952

1124. WOOD, JOHN MFG CO. (BOSTON) - 1932 TO 1952

1125. WOOD, JOHN MFG CO. (RICHMOND HILL) - 1932 TO 1952

1126. WORLD BESTOS (NEW CASTLE) - 1971 TO 1978

1127. WORLD BESTOS CO (NEW CASTLE) - 1971 TO 1978

1128. WORLD BESTOS CORP. (NEW CASTLE) - 1932 TO 1952

1129. WORLD BESTOS CORP. (PATERSON) - 1932 TO 1952

1130. WORLD'S PRODUCTS TRADING CO. (CLEVELAND) - 1932 TO 1952

1131. YODER, S.H. (READING) - 1932 TO 1952

1132. YORK INSULATION CO. (HILLSIDE) - 1932 TO 1952

1133. ZIMMERMAN C.L. CO (CINCINNATI) - 1971 TO 1978

1134. ZIMMERMAN CIN (SHARONSVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

1135. ZIMMERMAN CIN (SHARONVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

1136. ZIMMERMAN CINCIN (CINCINATI) - 1971 TO 1978

1137. ZIMMERMAN CINCIN (CINCINNATTI) - 1971 TO 1978

1138. ZIMMERMAN E. E. COMPANY (PITTSBURG) - 1932 TO 1952

1139. ZIMMERMAN LOUISV (LOUISVILLE) - 1971 TO 1978

1140. 3M - SPRINGFIELD (SPRINGFIELD) - 1971 TO 1978

1141. 3M SPRINGFIELD (SPRINGFIELD) - 1971 TO 1978

1142. 3M ST PAUL (ST PAUL) - 1971 TO 1978

1143. A & H PAINT CO (COLUMBUS) - 1932 TO 1952

1144. A & H PAINT COMPANY (COLUMBUS) - 1971 TO 1978

1145. A.R. ZACHER (FRESNO) - 1971 TO 1978

1146. ABEX CHICAGO (CHICAGO) - 1971 TO 1978

Canadian Sales - 1932-1952 - Individual Client Details

1. ASBESTOS CEMENT PRODUCTS LTD (NEW WESTMINSTER) - 1932 TO 1952

2. ASBESTOS CEMENT PRODUCTS LTD (VANCOUVER) - 1932 TO 1952

3. ASBESTOS CO. LTD (MOOSE JAW) - 1932 TO 1952

4. ASBESTOS COVERING LTD (EDMONTON) - 1932 TO 1952

5. ASBESTOS LTD (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952

6. ASBESTOS PRODUCTS CO. OF CANADA (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

7. ASHDOWN, J. H. HARDWARE CO. LTD (WINNIPEG) - 1932 TO 1952
8. ATLAS ASBESTOS CO. LTD (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952
9. AUTOBESTOS MFG. CO. LTD (SHERBROOKE) - 1932 TO 1952
10. AYERS LIMITED (LACHUTE MILLS) - 1932 TO 1952
11. BAKELITE CO. CANADA LTD (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
12. BARRETT COMPANY (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952
13. BELL & MORRIS LTD (CALGARY) - 1932 TO 1952
14. BELL ASBESTOS MINES LTD (THETFORD MINES) - 1932 TO 1952
15. BRANTFORD OVEN & RACK CO. LTD (BRANTFORD) - 1932 TO 1952
16. BRANTFORD ROOFING CO (BRANTFORD) - 1932 TO 1952
17. BRANTFORD ROOFING MARITIMES LTD (COLDBROOK) - 1932 TO 1952
18. BREMNER, ALEX LTD (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952
19. BUILDING PRODUCTS LTD (HAMILTON) - 1932 TO 1952
20. C. HIND ESQ (OTTAWA) - 1932 TO 1952
21. CANADA & DOMINION SUGAR CO. LTD (CHATHAM) - 1932 TO 1952
22. CANADA BUILDING MATERIALS (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
23. CANADA ROOF PRODUCTS LTD (VANCOUVER) - 1932 TO 1952
24. CANADA VARNISH CO. LTD (LEASIDE) - 1932 TO 1952
25. CANADIAN ASBESTOS CO (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952
26. CANADIAN ASBESTOS ONTARIO LTD (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
27. CANADIAN EXPORT BOARD (OTTAWA) - 1932 TO 1952
28. CANADIAN GOODRICH COMPANY LTD (KITCHENER) - 1932 TO 1952
29. CANADIAN GYPSUM CO. LTD (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
30. CANADIAN INDUSTRIES LTD (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952
31. CANADIAN INDUSTRIES LTD (WINDSOR) - 1932 TO 1952
32. CANADIAN JOHNS-MANVILLE CO. LTD (ASBESTOS) - 1932 TO 1952
33. CANADIAN JOHNS-MANVILLE CO. LTD (PORT UNION) - 1932 TO 1952
34. CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAYS (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
35. CANADIAN RAYBESTOS CO. LTD (PETERBOROUGH) - 1932 TO 1952
36. CANADIAN REFRACTORIES LTD (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952
37. CANADIAN SUNDRY FIRMS (CANADA) - 1932 TO 1952
38. CAPITAL INSULATING CO. LTD (OTTAWA) - 1932 TO 1952
39. CAPO POLISHES LTD (HAMILTON) - 1932 TO 1952
40. CARBIDE & CARBON CHEMICALS LTD (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
41. CERTIFIED PAINT LTD (LEASIDE) - 1932 TO 1952
42. COCHRANE DUNLOP HARDWARE (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
43. CODERE LTD (SHERBROOKE) - 1932 TO 1952
44. CRANE CO (QUEBEC) - 1932 TO 1952
45. CRANE LTD (LONDON) - 1932 TO 1952
46. CRANE PACKING CO. LTD (HAMILTON) - 1932 TO 1952
47. CURRIE PRODUCTS LTD (HAMILTON) - 1932 TO 1952
48. DEPARTMENT OF MUNITIONS & SUPPLY (OTTAWA) - 1932 TO 1952
49. DOMINION BRAKE SHOE CO (LINDSAY) - 1932 TO 1952
50. DOMINION LIME & COAL CO. LTD (REGINA) - 1932 TO 1952
51. DOMINION RUBBER CO. LTD (KITCHENER) - 1932 TO 1952
52. DOMINION TAR & CHEMICAL CO. LTD (VILLE LA SALLE) - 1932 TO 1952
53. DREXEL REFRACTORIES LTD (VANCOUVER) - 1932 TO 1952
54. DRURY'S SUPPLIES (KINGSTON) - 1932 TO 1952
55. EAST ASIATIC CO. P.Q. LTD (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952
56. EDGE, W.G. LTD (OTTAWA) - 1932 TO 1952
57. EMILE ELIE COAL CO (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952
58. EMPIRE BRASS MFG. CO. LTD (LONDON) - 1932 TO 1952
59. ENGINEERS & PLUMBERS (REGINA) - 1932 TO 1952
60. ENSIGN INDUSTRIALS LTD (ST CATHERINES) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

61. EVANS, COLEMAN TRADING CO. LTD (VANCOUVER) - 1932 TO 1952
62. F. DREXEL CO. LTD (VANCOUVER) - 1932 TO 1952
63. FERLAND, J.E. LTD (THETFORD MINES) - 1932 TO 1952
64. FINDLAYS BROTHERS (CARLETON PLACE) - 1932 TO 1952
65. FLECK BROTHERS LTD (VANCOUVER) - 1932 TO 1952
66. FLINTKOTE CO. OF CANADA LTD (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
67. FLINTKOTE MINES LTD (THETFORD MINES) - 1932 TO 1952
68. FOURNIER & PAPILLON LTD (QUEBEC) - 1932 TO 1952
69. FUELOIL & EQUIPMENT LTD (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
70. G.H. L'ESPERANCE (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952
71. GALT BRASS CO (GALT) - 1932 TO 1952
72. GANDY & ALLISON (ST JOHNS) - 1932 TO 1952
73. GARLOCK PACKING CO. OF CANADA (HAMILTON) - 1932 TO 1952
74. GOODRICH, B.F. CO. OF CANADA LTD (KITCHENER) - 1932 TO 1952
75. GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER CO (BOWMANVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952
76. GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER CO (NEW TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
77. GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER CO (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
78. GORMANS LTD (CALGARY) - 1932 TO 1952
79. GORMAN'S LTD (EDMONTON) - 1932 TO 1952
80. GOTHALL, G.H (ESPANOLA) - 1932 TO 1952
81. GRANI-TILE MFG. CO. LTD (VANCOUVER) - 1932 TO 1952
82. GREENING B. WIRE CO (HAMILTON) - 1932 TO 1952
83. GUILFORDS LTD (HALIFAX) - 1932 TO 1952
84. GUTTA PERCHA & RUBBER CO. LTD (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
85. GYPSUM LIME & ALABASTINE CANADA (MONTREAL EAST) - 1932 TO 1952
86. HAMILTON ENGINE PACKING (HAMILTON) - 1932 TO 1952
87. HOFFMAN PRODUCTS LTD (HAMILTON) - 1932 TO 1952
88. IMPERIAL OIL COMPANY (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
89. IMPERIAL OIL REFINERIES (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
90. INDEPENDENT COAL & LUMBER CO. LTD (OTTAWA) - 1932 TO 1952
91. INDUSTRIAL INSULATORS LTD (ST JOHN) - 1932 TO 1952
92. INSULATION FABRICATORS LTD (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
93. INSULATION PRODUCTS (OTTAWA) - 1932 TO 1952
94. INSUL-MASTIC CORP. LTD (WINNIPEG) - 1932 TO 1952
95. INVERMAY SALES LTD (HAMILTON) - 1932 TO 1952
96. ISLAND BUILDING SUPPLY CO (VICTORIA) - 1932 TO 1952
97. ITALIAN MOSAIC & MARBLE CO. OF CANADA LTD (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952
98. J.S. MITCHELL & CO. LTD (SHERBROOKE) - 1932 TO 1952
99. JOHNSON'S CO. LTD (THETFORD MINES) - 1932 TO 1952
100. JOS. OLIVIER (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952
101. JOS. T. BEAUDOIN (THETFORD MINES) - 1932 TO 1952
102. K.V.P CO. LTD (ESPANOLA) - 1932 TO 1952
103. KEASBEY & MATTISON CO (THETFORD MINES) - 1932 TO 1952
104. LA FERRONNERIE DEMERS LTD (THETFORD MINES) - 1932 TO 1952
105. LA FERRONNERIE DEMERS LTEE (THETFORD MINES) - 1932 TO 1952
106. LA SALLE BUILDERS SUPPLY LTD (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952
107. L'AIRE LIQUIDE SOCIETY (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952
108. LAKEHEAD INSULATION CO (PORT ARTHUR) - 1932 TO 1952
109. LANGELIER LTD (OTTAWA) - 1932 TO 1952
110. LAPRAIRIE CO. INC (DELSON JCT) - 1932 TO 1952
111. LAVAL & CO CANADA LTD (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952
112. LEBOEUF & GRENIER (QUEBEC) - 1932 TO 1952
113. LYNN MACLEOD ENG. SUPPLIES LTD (THETFORD MINES) - 1932 TO 1952
114. MACLEAN PLUMBING SERVICE (NORANDA) - 1932 TO 1952
115. MALTBYS LTD (WEST TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

116. MARSHALL-WELLS ALBERTA CO. LTD (EDMONTON) - 1932 TO 1952

117. MASSE MFG. CO (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

118. MATALS LTD (CALGARY) - 1932 TO 1952

119. MCINTYRE & TAYLOR (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

120. MCKEOUGH G. G. LTD (WINDSOR) - 1932 TO 1952

121. MECHANICS SUPPLY CO (QUEBEC) - 1932 TO 1952

122. MITCHELL PLUMBING SUPPLIES LTD (LONDON) - 1932 TO 1952

123. MOFFET, LUCIEN (QUEBEC) - 1932 TO 1952

124. NICOLET ASBESTOS MINES LTD (NORBESTOS) - 1932 TO 1952

125. NORMAN A. SMITH CO (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

126. OTTAWA PAINT WORKS LTD (OTTAWA) - 1932 TO 1952

127. PANTHER OIL & GREASE MFG. CO (LEASIDE TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

128. PARETEX CO. INC (QUEBEC) - 1932 TO 1952

129. PHILIP CAREY CO. LTD (LENNOXVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

130. PRUNEAU LTD (QUEBEC) - 1932 TO 1952

131. QUEBEC ASBESTOS CORP. LTD (EAST BROUGHTON STATION) - 1932 TO 1952

132. QUIGLEY CO. OF CANADA LTD (LACHINE) - 1932 TO 1952

133. RAYMOND A. DAVIES, NORDISCON ENTER (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

134. REALBESTOS CORPORATION (DISRAELI) - 1932 TO 1952

135. SANDERSON-PEARCY-MARIETTA LTD (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

136. SANSAN FLOOR COMPANY (VANCOUVER) - 1932 TO 1952

137. SERVICE STATION EQUIPMENT CO. LTD (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

138. SHERET ANDREW LTD (VANCOUVER) - 1932 TO 1952

139. SIDNEY ROOFING & PAPER CO. LTD (VICTORIA) - 1932 TO 1952

140. SPENCE, JOSEPH & CO (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

141. ST CLAIR PROCESSING CORP. LTD (SARNIA) - 1932 TO 1952

142. STANDARD MFG. CO. LTD (ST JOHNS) - 1932 TO 1952

143. STANDARD PAINT & VARNISH CO. LTD (WINDSOR) - 1932 TO 1952

144. STANDARD SANITARY MFG. CO (HAMILTON) - 1932 TO 1952

145. STEEL CO. OF CANADA LTD (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

146. STERLING MATERIAL CO (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952

147. STERNE G.F. & SONS LTD (BRANTFORD) - 1932 TO 1952

148. STEVENS. FRED B. OF CANADA LTD (WINDSOR) - 1932 TO 1952

149. STORRAR MFG. CO. LTD (WESTON) - 1932 TO 1952

150. T.P. CALKIN LTD (KENTVILLE) - 1932 TO 1952

151. THOMPSON & SUTHERLAND LTD (NORTH SYDNEY) - 1932 TO 1952

152. THORNE W. H. & CO. LTD (ST JOHN) - 1932 TO 1952

153. THOS. ROBERTSON & CO. LTD (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952

154. TOURTELLOT HARDWARE CO. LTD (PORT ARTHUR) - 1932 TO 1952

155. TREUHAFT MFG. LTD (LEASIDE) - 1932 TO 1952

156. TROPICAL OIL CO (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

157. VIGO TRADING LTD (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

158. W.K. DAVIDSON CO. REG'D (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952

159. WEBSTER & SONS LTD (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952

160. WEBSTER & SONS LTD (OTTAWA) - 1932 TO 1952

161. WEBSTER & SONS LTD (QUEBEC) - 1932 TO 1952

162. WESTERN GYPSUM PRODUCTS LTD (WINNIPEG) - 1932 TO 1952

163. WESTERN SUPPLIES LTD (EDMONTON) - 1932 TO 1952

164. WESTILE LIMITED (CALGARY) - 1932 TO 1952

165. WHITE & GREER (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

166. WILD, A. C. & CO (WEST TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

167. WINDSOR PLASTER CO. LTD (WINDSOR) - 1932 TO 1952

168. WING, J. T. & CO. LTD (WINDSOR) - 1932 TO 1952

169. WINNIPEG SUPPLY & FUEL CO. LTD (WINNIPEG) - 1932 TO 1952

170. WOOD, ALEXANDER & JAMES LTD (HAMILTON) - 1932 TO 1952

171. WRIGHT BROTHERS SUPPLY CO (OTTAWA) - 1932 TO 1952

172. YARROWS LTD (VICTORIA) - 1932 TO 1952

173. A. GOLDMAN (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952

174. A.C. LESLIE & CO. LTD (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952

175. ARCO MANUFACTURING COMPANY (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

176. ARISTOCRAT MFG. CO (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

177. ARMSTRONG CORK CANADA LTD (TORONTO) - 1932 TO 1952

178. ASBESTONOS CORPORATION LTD (MONTREAL) - 1932 TO 1952

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

Additional Sales Information for the years 1982 and 1983

1. ABEX MEXICO - 1982 TO 1983
2. AEROQUIP (BARRINGTONS) - 1982 TO 1983
3. ALLPAX CO - 1982 TO 1983
4. AMIANTIT - 1982 TO 1983
5. AQUAIR CORP. (CHARLOTTE) - 1982 TO 1983
6. ARMOR PAINT (OLD CASTLE) - 1982 TO 1983
7. ARMSTRONG - 1982 TO 1983
8. ASANO SLATE - 1982 TO 1983
9. ASBEST ENDUSTRI - 1982 TO 1983
10. ASBESTONOS (ST LAMBERT) - 1982 TO 1983
11. ASBESTOS ATLAS S.A. (MEXICO D F) - 1982 TO 1983
12. ASBESTOS CORPORATION GMBH (NORDENHAM) - 1982 TO 1983
13. ASBESTOS DE MEXICO - 1982 TO 1983
14. ASBESTOS HIDALGO - 1982 TO 1983
15. ASBESTOS INTEGRATED - 1982 TO 1983
16. ASIA ASBESTOS - 1982 TO 1983
17. ASKAR PAZ ASPHALTS - 1982 TO 1983
18. ASLA - 1982 TO 1983
19. ATLAS - MAT. CONSTR. (MONTREAL) - 1982 TO 1983
20. ATLAS - TUYAUX (MONTREAL) - 1982 TO 1983
21. ATLAS PARTS INDUSTRIAL (MANILA) - 1982 TO 1983
22. ATLAS TURNER INC (MONTREAL) - 1982 TO 1983
23. AVNERI ZVI - 1982 TO 1983
24. B. B. A. SCANDURA - 1982 TO 1983
25. BECORIT - 1982 TO 1983
26. BELL - 1982 TO 1983
27. BELL (NIGERIA) - 1982 TO 1983
28. BERAL - 1982 TO 1983
29. BLACK SWAN - 1982 TO 1983
30. BOLCE PAINT (CINCINNATI) - 1982 TO 1983
31. BOOKUK - 1982 TO 1983
32. BRASCOLA - 1982 TO 1983
33. BREMSKERL - 1982 TO 1983
34. BRUNNEL PRODUCTS - 1982 TO 1983
35. CAPE D.I.L. (MANCHESTER) - 1982 TO 1983
36. CAPE DISA (BELGIUM) - 1982 TO 1983
37. CARIBBEAN ASBESTOS - 1982 TO 1983
38. CARVIL S.R.L. - 1982 TO 1983
39. CAVPIN - 1982 TO 1983
40. CENTRAL DISTRIBUIDORA - 1982 TO 1983
41. CHAUFFAGE DE LUXE - DELUXE AIR (MONTREAL) - 1982 TO 1983
42. CHEMIPROD - 1982 TO 1983
43. CIMIANTO - 1982 TO 1983
44. COLOMBO - 1982 TO 1983
45. COLUMBIA PAINT (HUNTINGTON) - 1982 TO 1983
46. CONSTRUCTION - 1982 TO 1983
47. CONVERTIDORA - 1982 TO 1983
48. COPESA - 1982 TO 1983
49. COSMICOAT (GASPORT) - 1982 TO 1983
50. CRANE PACKING (MORTON GROVE) - 1982 TO 1983
51. DAEWON IND. - 1982 TO 1983
52. DALMACIJA CEMENT - 1982 TO 1983
53. DANSK - 1982 TO 1983
54. DELANTA - 1982 TO 1983
55. DICALIT - 1982 TO 1983
56. DJABESMEN - 1982 TO 1983
57. DOW CHEMICAL (SARNIA) - 1982 TO 1983
58. DURAMETALLIC (KALAMAZOO) - 1982 TO 1983
59. EBONESTOS - 1982 TO 1983
60. ECOLE POLY. DE MONTREAL (MONTREAL) - 1982 TO 1983
61. EDILFIBRO - 1982 TO 1983
62. EDILIT - 1982 TO 1983
63. ELYAFLI SUPERLIT - 1982 TO 1983
64. ET ECUATORIANA SA - 1982 TO 1983
65. ET. BURUNDI - 1982 TO 1983
66. ET. EUREKA - 1982 TO 1983
67. ET. HIDALGO - ASBESTOS HIDALGO - 1982 TO 1983
68. ET. NIGERITE - 1982 TO 1983
69. EVERITUBE - 1982 TO 1983
70. EVODE - 1982 TO 1983
71. FAR EAST - 1982 TO 1983

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

72. FARSIT - 1982 TO 1983
73. FERODO - 1982 TO 1983
74. FIBRAMIANTO - 1982 TO 1983
75. FIBRE DE VERRE - 1982 TO 1983
76. FIBROTUBI - 1982 TO 1983
77. FIBROTUBO - 1982 TO 1983
78. FRASLE - 1982 TO 1983
79. FRAS-LE - 1982 TO 1983
80. FRENBLOCK SA (BUENOS AIRES) - 1982 TO 1983
81. FRENDO ABEX (AVELLINO) - 1982 TO 1983
82. FRENITHE - 1982 TO 1983
83. FRENOSA - 1982 TO 1983
84. FRESSEK - 1982 TO 1983
85. GARNECTO - 1982 TO 1983
86. GLASURIT - 1982 TO 1983
87. GLENO - 1982 TO 1983
88. GOLIATH CEMENT (TASMANIA) - 1982 TO 1983
89. GOODYEAR AEROSPACE (BEREA) - 1982 TO 1983
90. GREAT GULF (HOUSTON) - 1982 TO 1983
91. HANKOOK BRAKE - 1982 TO 1983
92. HARDIE TRADING - 1982 TO 1983
93. HARDIE TRADING (BRISBANE) - 1982 TO 1983
94. HARDIE TRADING (MELBOURNE) - 1982 TO 1983
95. HARDIE TRADING (SYDNEY) - 1982 TO 1983
96. HILADOS Y TEFIDOS - 1982 TO 1983
97. HIM HIN ASBESTOS - 1982 TO 1983
98. IAF - TAC CM (UK) - 1982 TO 1983
99. IBERTUBO - 1982 TO 1983
100. IMPERBEL - 1982 TO 1983
101. IMPERLAX - 1982 TO 1983
102. IMPORTQUIM - 1982 TO 1983
103. INLAND OF GEN MOTORS (DAYTON) - 1982 TO 1983
104. INSAAT MALZEMESI (ISTANBUL) - 1982 TO 1983
105. INTERNATIONAL BUILDING PRODUCTS (NEW ORLEANS) - 1982 TO 1983
106. ISOMECA - 1982 TO 1983
107. ITALTUBI (NAPOLI) - 1982 TO 1983
108. ITAMIANT - 1982 TO 1983
109. ITUM - 1982 TO 1983
110. J & P PETROLEUM - 1982 TO 1983
111. JAGENBERG - 1982 TO 1983
112. JAMES HARDIE - 1982 TO 1983
113. JAMES HARDIE AUSTRALIA - 1982 TO 1983
114. JETCOAT - 1982 TO 1983
115. KEUM KANG - 1982 TO 1983
116. KLINGER - 1982 TO 1983
117. KOU CHI ASBESTOS - 1982 TO 1983
118. KOU HWA - 1982 TO 1983
119. KOU YIN CEMENT - 1982 TO 1983
120. KRAFFT - 1982 TO 1983
121. KUWAIT ASBESTOS - 1982 TO 1983
122. LEUMANN - 1982 TO 1983
123. LIMEX - 1982 TO 1983
124. M.M.T.C. - 1982 TO 1983
125. MACNAUGHTON (TORONTO) - 1982 TO 1983
126. MACNAUGHTON BROOKS (BUFFALO) - 1982 TO 1983
127. MAPRINTER (HAVANA) - 1982 TO 1983
128. MATERIALES DE FRICCION - 1982 TO 1983
129. MEREK - 1982 TO 1983
130. MERIDEN PLASTICS - 1982 TO 1983
131. MINNESOTA MINING (SPRINGFIELD) - 1982 TO 1983
132. MINNESOTA MINING (ST PAUL) - 1982 TO 1983
133. MINTEX - 1982 TO 1983
134. MOLDED (PRATTVILLE) - 1982 TO 1983
135. MORTELL (KANKAKEE) - 1982 TO 1983
136. MORTELL (PERTH AMBOY) - 1982 TO 1983
137. MULCO (ST HUBERT) - 1982 TO 1983
138. NEW ASIA - 1982 TO 1983
139. NEW GENUINE AUTO PARTS - 1982 TO 1983
140. NISSHO-IWAI - 1982 TO 1983
141. NORPLEX - 1982 TO 1983
142. NORWIND (MONTREAL) - 1982 TO 1983
143. OHIO BRASS - 1982 TO 1983
144. ORION - 1982 TO 1983
145. OZGUR ATERNIT - 1982 TO 1983
146. P. O. MEXALIT (CHIHUAHUA) - 1982 TO 1983
147. P. O. MEXALIT (TEPA TABASCO) - 1982 TO 1983
148. P.O. COLOMBIT - 1982 TO 1983

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

149. P.O. CONTACT - 1982 TO 1983

150. P.O. MEXALIT (SANTA CLARA) - 1982 TO 1983

151. P.O. WANIT - 1982 TO 1983

152. PAINT PRODUCTS (DANVILLE) - 1982 TO 1983

153. PANALIT - FIBROPAN - 1982 TO 1983

154. PARA GAS - 1982 TO 1983

155. PARR (ELKHART) - 1982 TO 1983

156. PARR (OCALA) - 1982 TO 1983

157. PARR INC. (FT WORTH) - 1982 TO 1983

158. PLASLOK - 1982 TO 1983

159. PLASTEX - 1982 TO 1983

160. POLY COAT (KITCHENER) - 1982 TO 1983

161. PRICE & PIERCE - 1982 TO 1983

162. R.M. GERMANY - 1982 TO 1983

163. RABRAS - 1982 TO 1983

164. RADJA BATIK - 1982 TO 1983

165. RAWE BRAKE LINING - 1982 TO 1983

166. REMSA - 1982 TO 1983

167. REX - 1982 TO 1983

168. RIVEST (ST ANNE DES PLAINES) - 1982 TO 1983

169. RM STRATFORD - 1982 TO 1983

170. ROBERTS ASBESTOS - 1982 TO 1983

171. RPM INC (MEDINA) - 1982 TO 1983

172. SALONIT - 1982 TO 1983

173. SATEF HUETTENES (VICENZA) - 1982 TO 1983

174. SCANDURA - 1982 TO 1983

175. SE-A INDUSTRIAL - 1982 TO 1983

176. SENTCO (YOUNGSTOWN) - 1982 TO 1983

177. SHELL BANGKOK - 1982 TO 1983

178. SIAM FIBRE CEMENT CO. (BANGKOK) - 1982 TO 1983

179. SIEGWART - 1982 TO 1983

180. SOC. NATIONALE DE L'AMIANTE (SHERBROOKE) - 1982 TO 1983

181. SOON SENG - 1982 TO 1983

182. SOUHWEST PETRO CHEM - 1982 TO 1983

183. STROYIMPEX - 1982 TO 1983

184. SUEDDEUTSCHE ISOLATORENWERKE - 1982 TO 1983

185. SUEDWEST CHEMIE - 1982 TO 1983

186. SURECA (MARACAY) - 1982 TO 1983

187. SYNTHESIA (BARCELONA) - 1982 TO 1983

188. TAF DUBLIN - 1982 TO 1983

189. TAF FERODO - 1982 TO 1983

190. TAIWAN ASBESTOS - 1982 TO 1983

191. TAIWAN CEMENT CORP - 1982 TO 1983

192. TAIWAN CEMENT CORP (TAIWAN) - 1982 TO 1983

193. TAMBOUR ASKAR - 1982 TO 1983

194. TEXTAR - 1982 TO 1983

195. THIROCO - 1982 TO 1983

196. TICO-VAC - 1982 TO 1983

197. TUNNEL - 1982 TO 1983

198. UMM AL QAIWAIN ASBESTOS CEMENT - 1982 TO 1983

199. UNASBEST - 1982 TO 1983

200. UNIM - 1982 TO 1983

201. URALITE (UK) - 1982 TO 1983

202. VERMONT ASBESTOS - 1982 TO 1983

203. VIAU HEATING (VERDUN) - 1982 TO 1983

204. VITROCIM - 1982 TO 1983

205. WALKER - 1982 TO 1983

206. WALKER AUSTRALIA - 1982 TO 1983

207. --YNGEDOUW (KUURNE) - 1982 TO 1983

208. YUAN FENG - 1982 TO 1983

209. ZIMMERMAN - 1982 TO 1983

210. ZONOX CHEMICAL CORP-GREAT GULF (HOUSTON) - 1982 TO 1983

211. ABEX - 1982 TO 1983

212. ABEX (LINDSAY) - 1982 TO 1983

213. ABEX (SALISBURY) - 1982 TO 1983

214. ABEX (WINCHESTER) - 1982 TO 1983

© 2026 Raymond Chabot inc. All rights reserved.

SCHEDULE K

CONFIDENTIALITY AND RESTRICTED USE
OF PROTECTED INFORMATION UNDERTAKING
[Law Firm Form]

BY _____ (the "**Recipient**", a law firm acting for the Claimant(s) (as such term is defined below) listed at **Schedule A** hereto, for the purposes of the Insolvency Proceedings (as such term is defined below))

WHEREAS Asbestos Corporation Limited ("**ACL**"), a Québec corporation, is the object of insolvency proceedings under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act ("**CCAA**") before the Superior Court of Québec (Commercial Division), Judicial District of Frontenac (the "**Canadian Court**") in court docket number 235-11-000008-259 (the "**CCAA Proceedings**");

WHEREAS the Canadian Court, in accordance with the CCAA, has appointed Raymond Chabot Inc. to act as the independent court-appointed Monitor ("**Monitor**") as part of the CCAA Proceedings;

WHEREAS proceedings ancillary to the CCAA Proceedings have been commenced in the United States pursuant to Chapter 15 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code before the United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of New York (the "**U.S. Court**") in case no. 25-10934 (MG) (the "**U.S. Proceedings**", and together with the CCAA Proceedings, the "**Insolvency Proceedings**");

WHEREAS, on January 6, 2026, the Canadian Court issued Orders (I) Establishing a Bar Date for Existing Asbestos Claims, (II), Approving the Proof of Claim Form, and (III) Approving Notice to Claimants (as such order was rectified on January 7, 2026) (the "**Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order**") as part of the CCAA Proceedings;

WHEREAS the Monitor undertook, with the consent of ACL, to render available to potential claimants certain information relating to the historical sales of ACL in the U.S. and in Canada;

WHEREAS the Monitor has prepared a summary of the sales of asbestos by ACL in the U.S. which include the client names, cities, States or provinces and total sales figures (in tons and in dollars) from 1955 to 1985, and a summary for the sales of asbestos by ACL in Canada from 1960 to 1980 (collectively, the "**Summaries of Asbestos Sales**");

WHEREAS on January 9, 2026, the Monitor has published the Summaries of Asbestos Sales on its website for such summaries to be available to potential claimants for the sole purpose of evaluating or advancing their position in the Insolvency Proceedings, including for the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order;

WHEREAS the Monitor has further advised that (i) the annual sales registers from 1955 to 1985 (U.S.) and from 1960 to 1980 (Canada), which include shipment years, tons, client names (including cities and State or province) and fiber grades, are available electronically (the "**Other Documents Available Electronically**") and that (ii) sales registers for other years (namely, from 1932 to 1952 in the U.S., and from 1932 to 1959 (other than 1953 and 1954) in Canada, and certain other sales registers for 1982 and 1983 in Canada and the U.S., and potentially certain other documents relating to sales of asbestos by ACL (including, if any, certain purchase orders, invoices or shipping records)) are located physically in a warehouse on ACL's premises in Thetford Mines, Québec, but, as of February 23, 2026, have/had not yet been digitized (the "**Other Documents Available Physically**" and, collectively with the Other Documents Available Electronically, the "**Other Information**");

WHEREAS, because ACL and the Monitor contend that the Other Information constitutes confidential and protected information of ACL which should not be used by the Recipient for other purposes than the Insolvency Proceedings, ACL and the Monitor require the Recipient to first sign

this Confidentiality and Restricted Use of Protected Information Undertaking (the "**Undertaking**") prior to its disclosure;

THEREFORE, the Recipient agrees as follows:

Definitions

1. For the purposes of this Undertaking, the following terms have the following meaning:

   a. "**Claimant**" means the individual claimant(s), any bankruptcy trustee, court officer or representative of the court, acting in his capacity as fiduciary to a debtor's estate, that the Recipient represents in **Schedule A** hereto, including for greater certainty any claimants whose claims have not yet been filed or asserted that the Recipient sends in writing to the Monitor to be added as a complement to Schedule A after signing of this Undertaking;

   b. "**Protected Information**" means all information provided or made available by the Monitor solely and exclusively as part of the Insolvency Proceedings, including the Summaries of Asbestos Sales, the Other Information and all information pertaining to the sales of ACL;

   c. "**Restricted Permitted Purpose**" means solely and exclusively evaluating or advancing the Claimant's position in the Insolvency Proceedings, including, but not limited to, the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order;

   d. "**Virtual Data Room**" means the secure, access-controlled electronic platform established by the Monitor for the storage, hosting, organization and review of the Protected Information, which employs commercially reasonable administrative, technical and physical safeguards, including encryption of information both in transit and at rest, to protect the confidentiality, integrity, security and use of the Protected Information and to restrict access solely to authorized users.

Access to Other Information; Right to Refuse or Revoke Access

2. The Monitor agrees to give the Recipient access to the Virtual Data Room containing the Other Documents Available Electronically within three (3) business days following the receipt of this Undertaking signed by the Recipient and acknowledged by the Monitor, and subject to the conditions and terms of this Undertaking.

3. The Monitor agrees to give the Recipient access to the Virtual Data Room containing the Other Documents Available Physically, subject to the conditions and terms of this Undertaking, at the same time as the Other Documents Available Electronically if the digitization of the Other Documents Available Physically is completed at the time of receipt of this Undertaking signed by the Recipient and acknowledged by the Monitor, or immediately upon completion of digitization of the Other Documents Available Physically, which is expected to occur by March 16, 2026.

4. The Monitor has the right to refuse, suspend, limit or revoke access by any person to the Virtual Data Room and the Protected Information in case of a breach or threatened breach of this Undertaking, or to preserve the integrity and security and/or maintain the protection

and confidentiality of the Protected Information in case of a system breach or dysfunction regarding the Virtual Data Room, or otherwise to comply with applicable law or court order.

Confidentiality and Restricted Permitted Use

5. The Recipient acknowledges that the Protected Information to which the Monitor will grant access in the context of the Insolvency Proceedings is subject to the implied obligation of confidentiality established in the decision *Lac d'Amiante du Québec Ltée* v. *2858-0702 Québec inc.*, 2001 SCC 51, and undertakes to handle this information in accordance with the protections and limitations set out in that decision. The Recipient also acknowledges that it understands the confidentiality obligations outlined in *Lac d'Amiante du Québec Ltée* v. *2858-0702 Québec inc.*, 2001 SCC 51.

6. The Recipient acknowledges that ACL maintains that the information to which the Monitor will grant access in the context of the Insolvency Proceedings is subject to the restrictions of the *Business Concerns Records Act* and may not be forced to be sent outside Québec, and that ACL is not renouncing to any rights in this respect, outside of the Insolvency Proceedings.

7. The Recipient undertakes to keep the Protected Information strictly confidential, other than as expressly provided by this Undertaking, and to use it solely and exclusively for the Restricted Permitted Purpose. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Protected Information may not be used in any other litigation, claims process or court proceedings than the Insolvency Proceedings. The Recipient shall not use the Protected Information to develop, support, or influence claims, defenses, strategies, or expert analyses in any other litigation, arbitration, administrative, or regulatory matter, nor for any competitive, commercial, marketing, research, or data mining purposes.

8. Except as expressly permitted herein, the Recipient will not directly or indirectly disclose, publish, allow access to, transmit, or transfer the Protected Information or any portion thereof to any person without ACL's and the Monitor's prior written consent.

Sharing with Clients and Team Members

9. Except as expressly permitted herein, the Protected Information may not be transmitted to any third party. If the Recipient shares the Protected Information with a Claimant listed in Schedule A, that Claimant must also execute a copy of the Confidentiality and Restricted Use of Protected Information Undertaking [Claimant Form] (the "**Claimant Undertaking**"), directly binding the Claimant to the conditions and terms of the Claimant Undertaking.

10. The Recipient may share Protected Information only with its employees (including partners and associates) and professional advisors, including any expert retained by the Recipient or the Claimants in the context of the Insolvency Proceedings, in all cases only if (i) they have a strict need-to-know for the Restricted Permitted Purpose and (ii) they have executed a copy of this Undertaking and the Monitor have signed the acknowledgment of same. The Monitor will maintain a current list of Recipients and authorized individuals and provide it to ACL upon request.

Prior Notice; Court Filings and Sealing of Protected Information

11. Prior to filing any of the Protected Information in the Canadian Court or U.S. Court record or communicating any such Protected Information in connection with or in support of any

submissions filed in the Insolvency Proceedings, the Recipient agrees to consult with the Monitor and ACL regarding steps necessary to preserve the confidentiality and restricted use on the record, and agrees to support any orders deemed necessary to preserve any applicable protection of the information concerned on the record for it to be limited to the Restricted Permitted Purpose, which may include seeking a sealing order, filing under seal, and/or redaction.

12. For greater certainty, nothing herein shall prevent, the Claimants or the Recipient, on behalf of any Claimant, from relying on the Protected Information in their proofs of claim filed in the Insolvency Proceedings, including for the purposes of submitting such proofs of claim to the Monitor. The inclusion of Protected Information in a proof of claim submitted to the Monitor shall not constitute a breach of this Agreement and shall not be subject to the prior notice requirements set forth in paragraph 11, and the confidentiality, protection and restrictions of this Undertaking shall continue to apply to any Protected Information included in a proof of claim.

Legal Process and Compelled Disclosure

13. If the Recipient is requested pursuant to, or required by, applicable law or legal process to disclose the Protected Information or any portion thereof, the Recipient shall, to the extent permitted under applicable law, forthwith (and in any event within five (5) business days of any such request or requirement), provide the Monitor and ACL with written notice of such request or requirement, in order to enable the Monitor or ACL to seek an appropriate protective order or other remedy or to waive compliance with the terms of this Undertaking. The Recipient shall cooperate fully with ACL or the Monitor to seek such a protective order or other remedy. If, notwithstanding such efforts, disclosure is required, the Recipient will disclose only that portion of the Protected Information that it is legally required to disclose and will use reasonable efforts to ensure that such disclosure is afforded protected treatment.

Security Measures

14. The Recipient shall use reasonably prudent methods to maintain the protection of the Protected Information, including by establishing and maintaining industry-standard administrative, technical and physical security measures to safeguard the Protected Information from unauthorized access, use, copying or disclosure.

15. The Recipient shall take reasonable steps to enforce the restriction and protection obligations under this Undertaking and shall reasonably remediate any identified security vulnerabilities.

Incident Notification and Cooperation

16. If the Recipient becomes aware of any unauthorized access, use, or disclosure of the Protected Information, the Recipient shall promptly (and in any event within forty-eight (48) hours) notify ACL and the Monitor in writing and shall cooperate with ACL and the Monitor in every reasonable way to investigate, to help regain possession of the Protected Information, and to prevent further unauthorized use or disclosure, including implementing remedial measures.

Return and Destruction

17. Upon conclusion of the Insolvency Proceedings, the Recipient and any individual where Protected Information was shared in accordance with this Undertaking, shall promptly (i) destroy or return all Protected Information in its possession, if any, and all copies, extracts, summaries, analyses, or other documents based on or incorporating information from the Protected Information, and (ii) certify in writing such destruction or return upon conclusion of the Insolvency Proceedings.

18. Nothing in this Undertaking shall have the effect of obliging the Recipient to return or destroy the Protected Information in contravention of the professional rules governing the retention of their files that apply to them.

Ownership

19. The Protected Information is, and shall remain, the property of ACL. No rights, title, or interest in or to the Protected Information are granted or implied except the limited right to use for the Restricted Permitted Purpose.

No Representation; No Liability

20. This Undertaking does not constitute any representation, warranty or guarantee with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the Protected Information or any portion thereof and the Recipient will not be entitled to rely on the accuracy or completeness of the Protected Information. None of ACL, the Monitor, any of their directors, officers, employees, professional advisors (including, without limitation, financial advisors, lawyers and accountants) or agents will be held liable for any errors or omissions in the Protected Information or the use or the results of the use of the Protected Information.

Remedies; Indemnity

21. The Recipient shall be liable for any breach of the confidentiality and restricted use obligations contained herein. The Recipient agrees that monetary damages alone may be insufficient to remedy any breach and that ACL and the Monitor shall be entitled to equitable relief, including injunction and specific performance, in addition to any other remedy available at law or in equity.

22. The Recipient shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless ACL, the Monitor, and their respective directors, officers, employees, and professional advisors from and against any and all losses, damages, liabilities, penalties, costs, and expenses (including reasonable legal fees and disbursements) arising out of or related to any breach of this Undertaking by the Recipient or its representatives.

Term; Survival

23. This Undertaking is effective as of the date signed by the Recipient and shall continue until the conclusion of the Insolvency Proceedings, unless earlier terminated by ACL or the Monitor upon written notice. All confidentiality, protection, non-use, security, and indemnity obligations shall survive termination or conclusion of the Insolvency Proceedings for a period of five (5) years.

Governing Law; Jurisdiction

24. This Undertaking shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the Province of Quebec. The parties irrevocably submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Canadian Court for all matters arising out of or relating to this Undertaking.

Assignment; Entire Agreement; Amendments; Severability; Counterparts

25. The Recipient may not assign, transfer, or delegate any rights or obligations under this Undertaking without the Monitor's and ACL's prior written consent.

26. This Undertaking constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes any prior understandings or agreements, whether written or oral.

27. This Undertaking may be amended only by a written instrument signed by the Recipient, ACL, and the Monitor.

28. If any provision of this Undertaking is determined to be invalid or unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall remain in full force and effect.

29. This Undertaking may be executed in counterparts and delivered electronically; each counterpart shall be deemed an original and all counterparts together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

(signatures on following page)

The Recipient has entered into this Undertaking on _____in the city and State or province of _____.

Per: _____
Name:
Title:
Firm/organization:

Acknowledgement

The Monitor acknowledges having received an executed copy of this Undertaking on _____, in the province of Québec, Canada.

Raymond Chabot Inc., in its capacity as court-appointed monitor of Asbestos Corporation Limited and not in its personal capacity

Per: _____
Name:
Title:

SCHEDULE A

LIST OF CLAIMANT(S) REPRESENTED BY THE RECIPIENT

[To be filed by the Recipient]

CONFIDENTIALITY AND RESTRICTED USE OF PROTECTED INFORMATION UNDERTAKING
[Claimant Form]

BY _____ (the "**Recipient**", a Claimant in the Insolvency Proceedings (as such term is defined below))

WHEREAS Asbestos Corporation Limited ("**ACL**"), a Québec corporation, is the object of insolvency proceedings under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act ("**CCAA**") before the Superior Court of Québec (Commercial Division), Judicial District of Frontenac (the "**Canadian Court**") in court docket number 235-11-000008-259 (the "**CCAA Proceedings**");

WHEREAS the Canadian Court, in accordance with the CCAA, has appointed Raymond Chabot Inc. to act as the independent court-appointed Monitor ("**Monitor**") as part of the CCAA Proceedings;

WHEREAS proceedings ancillary to the CCAA Proceedings have been commenced in the United States pursuant to Chapter 15 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code before the United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of New York (the "**U.S. Court**") in case no. 25-10934 (MG) (the "**U.S. Proceedings**", and together with the CCAA Proceedings, the "**Insolvency Proceedings**");

WHEREAS, on January 6, 2026, the Canadian Court issued Orders (I) Establishing a Bar Date for Existing Asbestos Claims, (II), Approving the Proof of Claim Form, and (III) Approving Notice to Claimants (as such order was rectified on January 7, 2026) (the "**Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order**") as part of the CCAA Proceedings;

WHEREAS the Monitor undertook, with the consent of ACL, to render available to potential claimants certain information relating to the historical sales of ACL in the U.S. and in Canada;

WHEREAS the Monitor has prepared a summary of the sales of asbestos by ACL in the U.S. which include the client names, cities, States or provinces and total sales figures (in tons and in dollars) from 1955 to 1985, and a summary for the sales of asbestos by ACL in Canada from 1960 to 1980 (collectively, the "**Summaries of Asbestos Sales**");

WHEREAS on January 9, 2026, the Monitor has published the Summaries of Asbestos Sales on its website for such summaries to be available to potential claimants for the sole purpose of evaluating or advancing their position in the Insolvency Proceedings, including for the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order;

WHEREAS the Monitor has further advised that (i) the annual sales registers from 1955 to 1985 (U.S.) and from 1960 to 1980 (Canada), which include shipment years, tons, client names (including cities and State or province) and fiber grades, are available electronically (the "**Other Documents Available Electronically**") and that (ii) sales registers for other years (namely, from 1932 to 1952 in the U.S., and from 1932 to 1959 (other than 1953 and 1954) in Canada, and certain other sales registers for 1982 and 1983 in Canada and the U.S., and potentially certain other documents relating to sales of asbestos by ACL (including, if any, certain purchase orders, invoices or shipping records)) are located physically in a warehouse on ACL's premises in Thetford Mines, Québec, but, as of February 23, 2026, have/had not yet been digitized (the "**Other Documents Available Physically**" and, collectively with the Other Documents Available Electronically, the "**Other Information**");

WHEREAS, because ACL and the Monitor contend that the Other Information constitutes confidential and protected information of ACL which should not be used by the Recipient for other purposes than the Insolvency Proceedings, ACL and the Monitor require the Recipient to first sign

this Confidentiality and Restricted Use of Protected Information Undertaking (the "**Undertaking**") prior to its disclosure;

THEREFORE, the Recipient agrees as follows:

Definitions

1. For the purposes of this Undertaking, the following terms have the following meaning:

   a. "**Claimant**" means an individual claimant, any bankruptcy trustee, court officer or representative of the court, who has a purported claim against ACL;

   b. "**Protected Information**" means all information provided or made available by the Monitor solely and exclusively as part of the Insolvency Proceedings, including the Summaries of Asbestos Sales, the Other Information and all information pertaining to the sales of ACL;

   c. "**Restricted Permitted Purpose**" means solely and exclusively evaluating or advancing the Claimant's position in the Insolvency Proceedings, including, but not limited to, the completion of a proof of claim pursuant to the Existing Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order;

   d. "**Virtual Data Room**" means the secure, access-controlled electronic platform established by the Monitor for the storage, hosting, organization and review of the Protected Information, which employs commercially reasonable administrative, technical and physical safeguards, including encryption of information both in transit and at rest, to protect the confidentiality, integrity, security and use of the Protected Information and to restrict access solely to authorized users.

Access to Other Information; Right to Refuse or Revoke Access

2. The Monitor agrees to give the Recipient access to the Virtual Data Room containing the Other Documents Available Electronically within three (3) business days following the receipt of this Undertaking signed by the Recipient and acknowledged by the Monitor, and subject to the conditions and terms of this Undertaking.

3. The Monitor agrees to give the Recipient access to the Virtual Data Room containing the Other Documents Available Physically, subject to the conditions and terms of this Undertaking, at the same time as the Other Documents Available Electronically if the digitization of the Other Documents Available Physically is completed at the time of receipt of this Undertaking signed by the Recipient and acknowledged by the Monitor, or immediately upon completion of digitization of the Other Documents Available Physically, which is expected to occur by March 16, 2026.

4. The Monitor has the right to refuse, suspend, limit or revoke access by any person to the Virtual Data Room and the Protected Information in case of a breach or threatened breach of this Undertaking, or to preserve the integrity and security and/or maintain the protection and confidentiality of the Protected Information in case of a system breach or dysfunction regarding the Virtual Data Room, or otherwise to comply with applicable law or court order.

Confidentiality and Restricted Permitted Use

5. The Recipient acknowledges that the Protected Information to which the Monitor will grant access in the context of the Insolvency Proceedings is subject to the implied obligation of confidentiality established in the decision *Lac d'Amiante du Québec Ltée* v. *2858-0702 Québec inc.*, 2001 SCC 51, and undertakes to handle this information in accordance with the protections and limitations set out in that decision. The Recipient also acknowledges that it understands the confidentiality obligations outlined in *Lac d'Amiante du Québec Ltée* v. *2858-0702 Québec inc.*, 2001 SCC 51.

6. The Recipient acknowledges that ACL maintains that the information to which the Monitor will grant access in the context of the Insolvency Proceedings is subject to the restrictions of the *Business Concerns Records Act* and may not be forced to be sent outside Québec, and that ACL is not renouncing to any rights in this respect, outside of the Insolvency Proceedings.

7. The Recipient undertakes to keep the Protected Information strictly confidential, other than as expressly provided by this Undertaking, and to use it solely and exclusively for the Restricted Permitted Purpose. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Protected Information may not be used in any other litigation, claims process or court proceedings than the Insolvency Proceedings. The Recipient shall not use the Protected Information to develop, support, or influence claims, defenses, strategies, or expert analyses in any other litigation, arbitration, administrative, or regulatory matter, nor for any competitive, commercial, marketing, research, or data mining purposes.

8. Except as expressly permitted herein, the Recipient will not directly or indirectly disclose, publish, allow access to, transmit, or transfer the Protected Information or any portion thereof to any person without ACL's and the Monitor's prior written consent.

Sharing with Counsel and Other Professional Advisor

9. Except as expressly permitted herein, the Protected Information may not be transmitted to any third party. If the Recipient shares the Protected Information with a counsel or other professional advisor, such person must also execute a copy of the the Confidentiality and Restricted Use of Protected Information Undertaking [Law Firm Form] (the "**Law Firm Undertaking**"), directly binding such counsel or other professional advisor to the conditions and terms of the Law Firm Undertaking.

10. The Monitor will maintain a current list of Recipients and authorized individuals and provide it to ACL upon request.

Prior Notice; Court Filings and Sealing of Protected Information

11. Prior to filing any of the Protected Information in the Canadian Court or U.S. Court record or communicating any such Protected Information in connection with or in support of any submissions filed in the Insolvency Proceedings, the Recipient agrees to consult with the Monitor and ACL regarding steps necessary to preserve the confidentiality and restricted use on the record, and agrees to support any orders deemed necessary to preserve any applicable protection of the information concerned on the record for it to be limited to the Restricted Permitted Purpose, which may include seeking a sealing order, filing under seal, and/or redaction.

12. For greater certainty, nothing herein shall prevent the Recipient from relying on the Protected Information in his/her/their proof of claim filed in the Insolvency Proceedings, including for the purposes of submitting such proofs of claim to the Monitor. The inclusion of Protected Information in a proof of claim submitted to the Monitor shall not constitute a breach of this Agreement and shall not be subject to the prior notice requirements set forth in paragraph 11, and the confidentiality, protection and restrictions of this Undertaking shall continue to apply to any Protected Information included in a proof of claim.

Legal Process and Compelled Disclosure

13. If the Recipient is requested pursuant to, or required by, applicable law or legal process to disclose the Protected Information or any portion thereof, the Recipient shall, to the extent permitted under applicable law, forthwith (and in any event within five (5) business days of any such request or requirement), provide the Monitor and ACL with written notice of such request or requirement, in order to enable the Monitor or ACL to seek an appropriate protective order or other remedy or to waive compliance with the terms of this Undertaking. The Recipient shall cooperate fully with ACL or the Monitor to seek such a protective order or other remedy. If, notwithstanding such efforts, disclosure is required, the Recipient will disclose only that portion of the Protected Information that it is legally required to disclose and will use reasonable efforts to ensure that such disclosure is afforded protected treatment.

Security Measures

14. The Recipient shall use reasonably prudent methods to maintain the protection of the Protected Information, including by establishing and maintaining industry-standard administrative, technical and physical security measures to safeguard the Protected Information from unauthorized access, use, copying or disclosure.

15. The Recipient shall take reasonable steps to enforce the restriction and protection obligations under this Undertaking and shall reasonably remediate any identified security vulnerabilities.

Incident Notification and Cooperation

16. If the Recipient becomes aware of any unauthorized access, use, or disclosure of the Protected Information, the Recipient shall promptly (and in any event within forty-eight (48) hours) notify ACL and the Monitor in writing and shall cooperate with ACL and the Monitor in every reasonable way to investigate, to help regain possession of the Protected Information, and to prevent further unauthorized use or disclosure, including implementing remedial measures.

Return and Destruction

17. Upon conclusion of the Insolvency Proceedings, the Recipient and any individual where Protected Information was shared in accordance with this Undertaking, shall promptly (i) destroy or return all Protected Information in its possession, if any, and all copies, extracts, summaries, analyses, or other documents based on or incorporating information from the Protected Information, and (ii) certify in writing such destruction or return upon conclusion of the Insolvency Proceedings.

18. Nothing in this Undertaking shall have the effect of obliging the Recipient to return or destroy the Protected Information in contravention of the professional rules governing the retention of their files that apply to them.

Ownership

19. The Protected Information is, and shall remain, the property of ACL. No rights, title, or interest in or to the Protected Information are granted or implied except the limited right to use for the Restricted Permitted Purpose.

No Representation; No Liability

20. This Undertaking does not constitute any representation, warranty or guarantee with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the Protected Information or any portion thereof and the Recipient will not be entitled to rely on the accuracy or completeness of the Protected Information. None of ACL, the Monitor, any of their directors, officers, employees, professional advisors (including, without limitation, financial advisors, lawyers and accountants) or agents will be held liable for any errors or omissions in the Protected Information or the use or the results of the use of the Protected Information.

Remedies; Indemnity

21. The Recipient shall be liable for any breach of the confidentiality and restricted use obligations contained herein. The Recipient agrees that monetary damages alone may be insufficient to remedy any breach and that ACL and the Monitor shall be entitled to equitable relief, including injunction and specific performance, in addition to any other remedy available at law or in equity.

22. The Recipient shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless ACL, the Monitor, and their respective directors, officers, employees, and professional advisors from and against any and all losses, damages, liabilities, penalties, costs, and expenses (including reasonable legal fees and disbursements) arising out of or related to any breach of this Undertaking by the Recipient or its representatives.

Term; Survival

23. This Undertaking is effective as of the date signed by the Recipient and shall continue until the conclusion of the Insolvency Proceedings, unless earlier terminated by ACL or the Monitor upon written notice. All confidentiality, protection, non-use, security, and indemnity obligations shall survive termination or conclusion of the Insolvency Proceedings for a period of five (5) years.

Governing Law; Jurisdiction

24. This Undertaking shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the Province of Quebec. The parties irrevocably submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Canadian Court for all matters arising out of or relating to this Undertaking.

Independent Legal Advice

25. The Recipient acknowledges that it has had an opportunity to consult with independent legal counsel of its choice prior to executing this Undertaking and that it enters into this Undertaking knowingly and voluntarily.

Assignment; Entire Agreement; Amendments; Severability; Counterparts

26. The Recipient may not assign, transfer, or delegate any rights or obligations under this Undertaking without the Monitor's and ACL's prior written consent.

27. This Undertaking constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes any prior understandings or agreements, whether written or oral.

28. This Undertaking may be amended only by a written instrument signed by the Recipient, ACL, and the Monitor.

29. If any provision of this Undertaking is determined to be invalid or unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall remain in full force and effect.

30. This Undertaking may be executed in counterparts and delivered electronically; each counterpart shall be deemed an original and all counterparts together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

(signatures on following page)

The Recipient has entered into this Undertaking on _____ in the city and State or province of _____.

Per: _____
Name:
Address:

Acknowledgement

The Monitor acknowledges having received an executed copy of this Undertaking on _____, in the province of Québec, Canada.

Raymond Chabot Inc., in its capacity as court-appointed monitor of Asbestos Corporation Limited and not in its personal capacity

Per: _____
Name:
Title:

SCHEDULE L

Stephanie Plancich, Ph.D.
Managing Director
National Economic Research Associates, Inc.
1166 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
+1 212 345 3000 Fax +1 212 345 4650
Direct dial: +1 212 345 7719
stephanie.plancich@nera.com

Stephanie Plancich, Ph.D.
Managing Director

Dr. Plancich received her B.Sc. in Economics from the London School of Economics, University of London, and her Ph.D. in Economics from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. At MIT, Dr. Plancich taught undergraduate courses in microeconomics and macroeconomics.

Dr. Plancich has prepared asbestos and other mass torts forecasts for clients over the past 20 years. Her work includes developing estimation models of personal injury liabilities in bankruptcy, for solvent defendants, creditors, insurers and fiduciaries.

Dr. Plancich is a member of NERA's Mass Torts Practice. Experts in the practice have more than 25 years of experience estimating asbestos liabilities in a wide variety of contexts and for a wide range of parties.

- Estimation work in bankruptcy litigation to evaluate the future asbestos liabilities of the debtor. NERA's work in bankruptcy court has been for defendants, creditors and court appointed Future Claims Representatives ("FCRs").
- Consulting work for solvent defendants, to assist them with reserve reporting requirements for financial statements.
- Ongoing consulting work for asbestos trusts and the FCRs of trusts to project liabilities, evaluate assets, and calculate payment percentages if the assets are insufficient to pay full value.
- Analyses for insurance carriers in mediations, arbitrations and litigation cases, in disputes between insureds and carriers as well as between different carriers (*e.g.*, insurers/reinsurers). This work includes forecasting indemnity and defense costs, allocation of claims to coverage, and analyses of policy limits and policy exhaustion.
- Cases for asbestos trusts and claims administrators related to sample design and review of claim files.

Specific case examples:

- For Kaiser Gypsum, Dr. Plancich performed reserve-related estimation work of asbestos liabilities prior to the firm's bankruptcy filing. NERA was publicly disclosed as a firm assisting the debtor in its bankruptcy after the filing.
- Dr. Plancich was retained by the FCR, Judge Barbara Houser, in the HONX bankruptcy in 2022 to assist in the estimation of asbestos liabilities.

- Dr. Plancich has been retained on the talc-related case by the FCR in Barretts Minerals in 2023 and by the FCR in the talc-related case Presperse in 2025.
- Dr. Plancich was retained by the creditors committee in the Hopeman Brothers, Inc. bankruptcy in 2024 to assist in the allocation of asbestos claims to insurance coverage.
- NERA was retained by the Special Master of the Takata PSAN Inflator Individual Restitution Fund to assist him in evaluating the current and future liabilities associated with PSAN airbag inflator injuries. NERA continues to assist the Trust with economic analysis of ongoing liabilities.
- Dr. Plancich and experts at NERA have estimated mass tort liabilities in a wide range of other torts, including claims alleging exposure to cosmetic and industrial talc, PFAS, creosote, diacetyl, and opioid-related personal injury claims. Many of these engagements have been in the context of bankruptcy litigation. Dr. Plancich frequently participates in mediations between debtors, carriers, and creditors in the mass tort practice area.

Dr. Plancich's graduate work was focused in the fields of labor economics and public finance, and her dissertation concentrated on issues of taxation for mutual funds. A section of her dissertation, "Mutual Fund Capital Gain Distributions and the Tax Reform Act of 1997," was published in the National Tax Journal in 2003.

Education

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Ph.D., Economics, 2002

London School of Economics, University of London
B.Sc., Economics (Hons. First Class), 1995

Professional Experience

NERA Economic Consulting

2021- Managing Director/Director

2012-2021 Associate Director/Vice President

2005-2012 Senior Consultant

2002-2005 Consultant
Responsible for projects in the areas of securities and finance and mass torts.

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

2000-2002 Teaching Assistant
Taught undergraduate courses in macroeconomics and microeconomic theory.

National Bureau of Economic Research

1999 Research Assistant
Analyzed life insurance and actuarial data for Professor Jeffrey Brown, John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University.

SAFECO Mutual Funds

1996-1998 Dealer Services Analyst, Registered Shareholder Services Representative (passed Series 6 and 63 exams)
Facilitated transactions between Funds and large broker-dealer clients. Provided customer service to shareholders, with a special emphasis on individual retirement plans.

Testimony and Reports (4 years)

Declaration and Deposition before Superior for the State of California County of Almeda, *Kevin Shenkman v. Tesla Inc.,* March 2026

Declaration before United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, New Haven Division, *George I. Roumeliotis, Chapter 7 Trustee for The Nash Engineering Company v. NASH Engineering Holdings LLC*, February 2026

Rebuttal Report and Deposition before United States Court for the Southern District of New York, *Nafeesa Syeed v. Bloomberg LP*, December 2025, January 2026

Expert Report before the Superior Court of New Jersey Atlantic County, Law Division, *Jennifer Jankowski-Boyle v. Breakthru Beverage Group, LLC and Breakthru Sunbelt,* July 2025

Expert Report before the American Arbitration Association, *Gregory Vincent v. CoStar Group Inc and CoStar Realty Information Inc.,* March 2025

Trial Testimony, Declaration, and Deposition before the Court of Chancery in the State of Delaware*, Centrella v. Avantor, Inc*., January, March and May 2025

Declaration before United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, *Nathan Campos, et al. v. Big Fish Games, Inc., et al*., March 2025

Testimony, Deposition, Reply, and Expert Reports before the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, *Perella Weinberg Partners LLC, et al. v. Michael A. Kramer, Derron S. Slonecker, Joshua S. Scherer, and Adam W. Verost,* September, October, November 2019 and February 2025

Declaration before United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, *Naula Ndugga v. Bloomberg L.P,* February 2025

Expert Report and Deposition before United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, *Kellie Carder et al. v. Graco Children's Products, Inc.,* October and November 2024

Expert Report, Supplemental Report, and Deposition before United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Virginia, Richmond Division, *Hopeman Brothers, Inc.,* November 2024

Expert Report before United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, *Dominique Morrison et al., v. AQ Textiles and Creative Textiles Mills PVT. Ltd.,* June 2024

Deposition Testimony and Expert Report before the U.S. District Court, Western District of Missouri, *Graves, et al., v. CAM2 International LLC, et al.*, June 2024

Declaration before the United States District Court, District of New Jersey, *United States v. Alden Leeds et al.*, March 2024

Expert Report, Reply Report, and Declaration before the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas Houston Division, *HONX, Inc.,* September and October 2023

Expert Report and Deposition before the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Alameda, *Kevin Shenkman, v. Tesla, Inc.*, January 2023 and February 2023

Expert Report before the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, *John Doe v. New York University*, April 2022

Publications and Presentations (10 years)

"Trends in Wage and Hour Settlements: 2019 Update," (Dr. Stephanie Plancich and Janeen McIntosh) NERA Publication, June 2020

SCHEDULE M

C A N A D A S U P E R I O R C O U R T
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT OF FRONTENAC

"Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act (RSC 1985, c. C-36), as amended"
No. COURT: 235-11-000008-259

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT AND COMPROMISE OF

CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON
- and -
TENECOM LIMITED (as successor to Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company formerly known as Accident & Casualty Insurance Company of Winterthur, Switzerland)
- and -
THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED (as successor to liabilities of Commercial Union Assurance Company Limited, The Edinburgh Assurance Company, The Indemnity Marine Assurance Company Limited, The Northern Assurance Company Limited, The Road Transport & General Insurance Company Limited, United Scottish Insurance Company Limited, and The Victoria Insurance Company Limited)
- and -
NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED (as successor to liabilities of New London Reinsurance Company Limited)
- and-
THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED
Co-Applicants

- and -
ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED
Debtor and Co-Applicant

- and -
RAYMOND CHABOT INC.
Monitor

Report of Stephanie Plancich, Ph.D.

4 MAY 2026

Table of Contents

I. Executive Summary 1

II. ACL Historical Data 3

A. Asbestos-related disease and litigation in the US 3

B. Electronic Claims Database and Case Evaluation Forms 4

C. US asbestos trust TDP data 5

III. Methodology to Value Compensable Asbestos Personal Injury Claims in US Tort System 6

A. Limit data to settled claims 7

B. Calculate averages by disease 7

C. Determine appropriate time period for average values 9

D. Inflation-adjust historical settlements 12

E. Calculate average values by disease 12

F. Calculate maximum values by disease 13

G. Comparison to US trust TDPs/CRPs 14

IV. Conclusion 16

I. Executive Summary

1. I was retained by Raymond Chabot, Inc., the Monitor in the above captioned matter, to estimate the value of compensable asbestos personal injury claims against Asbestos Corporation Limited ("ACL", or "the Company") in the US tort system, as of May 6, 2025.[1]

2. Based on my education, experience—including more than two decades of work on cases involving the estimation of the value of asbestos liabilities in the US tort system—and my review of ACL's asbestos litigation history, I conclude that ACL's historical settlement values provide the most reasonable and reliable estimate of the average value of an ACL asbestos personal injury claim in the US tort system. Settlement values represent the negotiated outcome between plaintiff and defendant and so reflect what each party deemed reasonable and acceptable at the time.

3. I understand that the Monitor intends to use my work to assist in the determination of the quantum to existing claimants, after the claimants have been found to be eligible for compensation. Given this, it is appropriate to limit the historical average settlement calculations to the subset of claims resolved for positive payment and to exclude dismissed claims from the average values.[2]

4. Average settlement values vary significantly by the disease alleged. Classifying the settlements into categories based on disease and generating disease-specific average values improves the accuracy of the average values. For the reasons set forth below, I find that the disease categories of mesothelioma, lung cancer with underlying asbestosis/pleural disease, lung cancer without underlying asbestosis/pleural disease, other cancer, and non-malignant (asbestosis/pleural disease) are the categories best suited to value ACL's compensable personal injury claims.

[1] Draft Adjudication Order, Asbestos Corporation Limited et al., Superior Court of Quebec, District of Frontenac, No. 235-11-000008-259, May [ ], 2026 ("Draft Order"). ACL initiated proceedings under Canada's *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (CCAA) in the Superior Court of Québec, and also initiated recognition proceedings under Chapter 15 of the *Bankruptcy Code* in the United States, on May 6, 2025 (see, https://cases.ra.kroll.com/asbestoscorporation/#:~:text=Case%20Background,Southern%20District%20of%20New%20York).

[2] As discussed below, ACL dismissed—or resolved for zero payment—31,651 claims historically, and 3,981 claims between 2015-2024. If these claims were included in the historical averages, the values would be significantly lower.

5. Settlement averages may also change over time, influenced, *e.g.*, by changes in the tort system environment. It is appropriate to take this into consideration when calculating average values and to rely on data from a period that reflects current tort system outcomes. For the reasons set forth below, I conclude that using historical settlement data over a ten-year historical period, from 2015-2024, generates a reasonable and reliable estimate of the compensable claim values in the US tort system.

6. While historical average settlement values are a reasonable estimate of the value of a typical asbestos claim against ACL, any individual settlement may be higher or lower than the average value. Claimant-specific characteristics, including the severity of injury, extent of economic loss, and strength of claim against ACL, may have affected individual values.

7. In addition to the average value, I also calculated a "maximum" value for each asbestos-related disease category based on historical data. Because individual settlement values reflect claim- and case-specific factors, there is variability in the historical data. A very small number of settlements are at the extreme tails of the observed settlement distribution. These "outlier" observations are reflected in the overall settlement averages but are extremely rare occurrences. Consequently, I conclude it is appropriate to exclude these outliers from the calculation of the maximum values and instead to use the 95th percentile of the distribution to reflect the maximum.

8. There is a long history of asbestos litigation and asbestos-related bankruptcies in the US, and many trusts have been established in the US to pay asbestos claims. While the historical average and maximum values in Table 1 are appropriately ACL-specific, there are similarities between the structure of these trusts and Table 1. A review of the publicly-available Trust Distribution Procedures ("TDPs") and Claim Resolution Procedures ("CRPs") of 63 US asbestos personal injury trusts shows:

    a. 60 trusts assign values by disease.

b. 58 of the trusts assign values to the same major disease categories as ACL as reflected in Table 1. Of the 58, 39 of the trusts include two levels of lung cancer, and 48 of the trusts have multiple levels for non-malignant diseases.

c. 38 trusts present average and maximum values.

9. The results of my analysis of the value of compensable asbestos personal injury claims against ACL in the US tort system, as of May 6, 2025, are presented in Table 1, ACL's Inflation-Adjusted 2015-2024 Settlement Values, By Disease. [3]

Table 1
ACL's Inflation-Adjusted 2015-2024 Settlement Values (USD), By Disease

Values	Mesothelioma	Lung Cancer with Asbestosis/Pleural Disease	Lung Cancer without Asbestosis/Pleural Disease	Other Cancer	Asbestosis/Pleural Disease
Average Value	$67,200	$21,600	$15,200	$17,600	$10,400
Maximum Value (95th Percentile)	$195,700	$53,300	$33,300	$39,100	$26,800

II. ACL Historical Data

A. Asbestos-related disease and litigation in the US

10. Asbestos has been classified as a known carcinogen by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services since 1980.[4] Epidemiological studies have shown that asbestos exposure may lead to an increased risk of some diseases/injuries. These diseases include mesothelioma (a cancer of the thin membrane that surrounds the lung and

[3] I use "we" or "our" to describe analysis performed by me or by my team under my direction. All opinions set forth in this report are my own.

[4] National Cancer Institute, "Asbestos Exposure and Cancer Risk", *National Institute of Health*, May 20, 2021, last accessed April 27, 2026, available at: https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/substances/asbestos/asbestos-fact-sheet; National Toxicology Program, "Asbestos", In Re: Report on Carcinogens, *Fifteenth Edition*, *U.S. Department of Health and Human Services*, 2016; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Health Effects Assessment for Asbestos", September 1984, pp. 21-41.

other internal organs), lung cancer, some other cancers, asbestosis lung disease, and pleural lung disease.[5]

11. Claimants alleging that they were harmed from exposure to asbestos and developed asbestos-related diseases or injuries have brought hundreds of thousands of personal injury claims in the US over the past decades. Individuals alleging all of these categories of asbestos-related diseases have also filed claims historically against ACL. These claims are captured in ACL's historical claims data records.

B. Electronic Claims Database and Case Evaluation Forms

12. ACL has provided a record of its historical asbestos claims data in an Excel file, called "ACL_Pending claims & Settlements analysis.xlsx", or the "Electronic Claims Database". This file includes fields containing information on each filed claim, including claim status, filing and resolution dates, alleged diagnosis information, alleged exposure information, attorney information, state of filing, alleged client of ACL, and amount paid, if any.

13. Exhibits 1-3 show descriptive statistics of the Electronic Claims Database. Exhibit 1 shows all historical filings, by disease and file year. Historically, ACL received 57,311 claim filings.

14. Claims filed against ACL have been resolved through dismissal, settlement, or a verdict, either for plaintiff or defendant.[6] At the time ACL initiated proceedings under the CCAA, May 6, 2025, ACL also had 6,063 claims filed but remaining unresolved. See Exhibit 2.

15. The remaining 51,248 filings against ACL were resolved, of which 31,651 were dismissed. Of the claims resolved, 19,597 were settled, or resolved for positive

[5] Epidemiological models have been developed that estimate the mathematical relationship between asbestos exposure and incidence of mesothelioma and lung cancer. See, *e.g.*, Health Effects Institute-Asbestos Research, 1991, "Asbestos in Public and Commercial Buildings: A Literature Review and Synthesis of Current Knowledge." Other types of cancers that have been associated with asbestos exposure include, for example, laryngeal cancer, and ovarian cancer (National Cancer Institute, https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/substances/asbestos). Additionally, pharyngeal cancer has been associated with asbestos exposure (National Cancer Institute, https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/substances/asbestos/asbestos-fact-sheet).

[6] 158 of the 6,063 Pendings have the status "PR".

payment, for a total of $192.5 million, in May 2025 inflation-adjusted US dollars. See Exhibit 3.

16. In addition to the Electronic Claims Database, 514 "Case Evaluation Forms" for claims settled by ACL between 2015 and 2024, prepared by ACL's defense counsel, were provided.[7] As described below, these Case Evaluation Forms provided additional information about the alleged disease of settled claimants.

C. US asbestos trust TDP data

17. Many asbestos trusts have been established in the US that have assumed the liability of asbestos defendants. These trusts are governed by Trust Distribution Plans or Claims Resolution Procedures. TDPs and CRPs typically include information on the values the trust will assign to claims it determines to be compensable. While these TDP/CRP values are not directly relevant to ACL because they reflect the tortfeasor's own historical experience in the tort system—which may differ substantially from ACL's experience—the TDPs do provide information about the method by which claims are valued under a trust system. For example, most TDPs provide a list of compensable diseases and the corresponding values of potential allowed amounts under each trust's procedures.

18. We collected data from publicly available TDPs and CRPs.[8] To identify TDPs/CRPs, we did the following:

    a. Identified 69 asbestos trusts based on RAND studies, on-line research and law firm websites. Identified trusts were established between 1988 and 2024.

    b. Gathered TDP and CRP documents where publicly available on trust websites, in financial filings, and/or in bankruptcy court documents.

[7] We received a total of 514 Case Evaluation Forms for the 517 claims settled between 2015-2024. There were three settled claims from the 2015-2024 for which Case Evaluation Forms were not provided. We understand these Forms were not completed. The Case Evaluation Forms reviewed for this analysis were redacted to remove privileged and confidential information.

[8] A Claim Valuation Process ("CVP") was used for Fraser's Boiler Liquidating Trust. A Claims Resolution and Distribution Procedure ("CRDP") was used for Keene Creditors Trust. Case Valuation Matrices and TDPs were used for Western Asbestos Settlement Trust, J.T. Thorpe Settlement Trust, Plant Asbestos Settlement Trust, and Thorpe Insulation Settlement Trust.

c. Coded information on the structure of the diseases and values used by 63 of the trusts (where 6 of the 69 did not have a TDP or CRP publicly available). [9]

19. A list of all TDPs/CRPs identified and reviewed is attached as Exhibit 4.

III. Methodology to Value Compensable Asbestos Personal Injury Claims in US Tort System

20. In the US tort system, settlements are the result of negotiations between the plaintiffs and defendants in the case. Settlement values will reflect what each party deemed reasonable and acceptable at the time the settlement was made.

21. Asbestos is a mature tort.[10] In fact, the litigation arising from asbestos is the longest running and largest mass tort in the United States history, spanning many decades.[11] Both plaintiffs and defendants in asbestos litigation have had years to collect relevant evidence and expertise to put on their cases in court. As described above, ACL has a long history and detailed records of its settlements with plaintiffs for approximately 50 years.

22. ACL's historical settlements represent the tort system outcomes of these claims, and they reflect a mix of "stronger" and "weaker" claims. All of ACL's paid claims had information about exposure to ACL fiber in the Electronic Claims Database.[12]

23. Based on these facts, I conclude that ACL's average historical settlement values provide the most reasonable and reliable basis to estimate the value of compensable asbestos personal injury claims in the US tort system. Below, I describe the methodology used to generate these values.

[9] No TDPs or CRPs were publicly available for the following trusts: UNR Asbestos-Disease Claims Trust, Lykes Tort Claims Trust, Bartells Asbestos Settlement Trust, Swan Asbestos and Silica Settlement Trust, Stone and Webster Asbestos Trust, and Utex Industries, Inc. Successor Trust.

[10] Carroll et al. "Asbestos Litigation" *RAND Institute for Civil Justice*, 2005, ("RAND 2005"), p. xvii.

[11] See, Rand 2005, p. xvii.; Gaines, G. P., "Are PFAS the Next Asbestos? How and Why the Emergence of PFAS as a Mass Tort Could Impact the Insurance Industry." *Environmental Claims Journal*, *36*(2), 115–126, 2024.

[12] There are 169 paid claims with the "Client" variable blank. All 169 have "Exposition" variable of "Bystander" or "Bystander & env.", indicating that the claims were not related to direct exposure at a worksite. 158 were settled before 2015 and 11 were settled on or after 2015.

A. Limit data to settled claims

24. I understand that the Monitor's process will involve an initial review of claims to determine eligibility for compensation.

25. Because the historical averages will only be considered by the Monitor to determine the quantum for these eligible claims, it is appropriate to limit the historical analysis to claims that were paid by ACL in the tort system (in other words, to exclude dismissed claims from the data for this analysis). If dismissed claims were included in the calculation, the average value per resolved claim would be lower than the average value per settled claim presented in Table 1.[13]

B. Calculate averages by disease

26. One primary determinate of the potential value of a claim is the alleged disease. This is for two reasons. First, some asbestos-related diseases are more severe than others. For example, individuals diagnosed with mesothelioma have a three year survival rate of only 15%.[14] Lung cancer and other cancers also are severe illnesses that may be fatal.[15] The severity, or impairment level, of non-malignant asbestos diseases such as asbestosis and pleural disease will vary, and can depend, among other things, on the dose and duration of exposure to asbestos fibers of any individual claimant.[16]

27. Second, some disease diagnoses provide more direct evidence of a link to asbestos exposure. Mesothelioma is often considered a "signature" malignant disease of

[13] As described above, there are 31,651 total dismissed, or zero-pay, claims in the data and 3,981 claims dismissed between 2015-2024. Were these to be included in the overall average historical value on a per-resolved—rather than a per-settled—basis, the values in Table 1 would be almost 90% lower.

[14] See, Didier, Alexander J et al., "Trends in Mesothelioma Mortality in the United States Between 1999 and 2020", *JTO clinical and research reports* vol. 6,5 100804, February 11, 2025, doi:10.1016/j.jtocrr.2025.100804.

[15] "It is estimated that there are 225,000 new cases of lung cancer in the United States annually, and approximately 160,000 die because of lung cancer," Siddiqui et al., "Lung Cancer", *National Library of Medicine*, ("Lung Cancer Definition"), last updated May 8, 2023, last accessed April 27, 2026, available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK482357/, p. 1. "The overall survival rate for kidney and renal pelvis cancers is 75%" Pandey et al., "Renal Cancer", *National Library of Medicine*, last updated October 4, 2024, last accessed April 27, 2026, available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK558975/, p. 2. "Gastric cancer is the fourth leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide and the fifth most frequently diagnosed cancer" Menon et al., "Gastric Cancer", *National Library of Medicine*, last updated October 26, 2024, last accessed April 27, 2026, available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK459142/, p. 1. "Esophageal cancer ranks as the fourth most common gastrointestinal cancer, with the third highest mortality rate in the United States, following colorectal, pancreatic, and hepatobiliary cancers", Wang et al., "Esophageal Cancer", *National Library of Medicine*, last updated August 17, 2024, last accessed April 27, 2026, available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK459267/, p. 1.

[16] American Thoracic Society, "Diagnosis and Initial Management of Nonmalignant Diseases Related to Asbestos", *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, Volume 170, Issue 6, September 2004, Pages 691–715.

asbestos exposure.[17] Asbestosis is caused by inhalation of asbestos fibers which can cause scarring of the lungs.[18]

28. ACL's historical settlement data confirms that settlement values vary significantly by disease category.[19] The Company systematically tracked disease-related information while managing its asbestos litigation. In the Electronic Claims Database, settled claims can be categorized into one of four disease categories: mesothelioma, lung cancer, other cancer or asbestosis/pleural disease.[20]

29. Settlement values may also vary across claims, for example, by differences in alleged disease type and the alleged level of impairment associated with that disease.[21] It is appropriate to take this into consideration when calculating average values; however, this level of granular disease-specific information is not included in the Electronic Claims Database.

30. To supplement the Electronic Claims Database, we coded the medical information alleged in the Case Evaluation Forms. We collected information about the disease alleged in each form, including diagnosis date and medical test score results if provided. If there was an allegation of an underlying bilateral non-malignant

[17] See, National Cancer Institute, "Asbestos Exposure and Cancer Risk", *National Institute of Health*, May 20, 2021, last accessed April 27, 2026, available at: https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/substances/asbestos/asbestos-fact-sheet.

[18] See, Bhandari et al., "Asbestosis", *National Library of Medicine*, last updated September 19, 2022, last accessed April 27, 2026, available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK555985/, p. 1. "Exposure to asbestos may cause a chronic inflammatory process in the lungs with increasing fibrosis. The disability may vary, but most individuals with a severe form of this disease have a considerably shortened life expectancy. Such cases almost exclusively occur among persons with occupational exposure to asbestos, hence the name of the disease," Järvholm, Bengt, et al., "Asbestos and disease - a public health success story?", *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health* vol. 50,2, 2024: 53-60, p. 55.

[19] A regression analysis of the settlement values by five-category disease (where Lung Cancer settlements were classified by those with an underlying asbestos/pleural disease and those without an underlying asbestos/pleural disease) in the ACL historical data confirms that the averages are statistically significantly different.

[20] The Electronic Claims Database also includes a residual category of other alleged diseases which we have classified as "unknown disease." For these records, there is no affirmative evidence provided of an allegation of one of the known asbestos disease categories. Only 55 filed claims were "unknown" from 2015 to 2024, and only one "unknown" claim was paid in this category since 2015.

[21] For example, non-malignant asbestos claims, alleging asbestosis or pleural disease, are often categorized into severity levels. Individuals diagnosed with "severe" asbestosis typically present with significant scarring in their lungs, 2/1 or higher ILO B-Read, and significant lung impairment, *e.g.*, PFT results of TLC less than 65% or FVC less than 65% and FEV1/FVC ratio greater than 65%. See, *e.g.*, Kilburn KH et al., "Severity of pulmonary asbestosis as classified by International Labour Organisation profusion of irregular opacities in 8749 asbestos-exposed American workers, Those who never smoked compared with those who ever smoked," Arch Intern Med, February 1992;152(2):325-7; Bhatt, Surya P., et al., "FEV_1/FVC Severity Stages for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease," *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine,* vol. 208, 6 (2023): 676-684.

condition with an alleged cancer diagnosis, this was also captured. See Exhibit 5 for the full protocol used to code the Case Evaluation Form data.

31. The Case Evaluations Forms contain more detailed descriptions of the disease alleged than the Electronic Claims Database. Based on the coding of this Case Evaluation Form information, we determined that 18 claims were misclassified in the Electronic Claims Database.[22] We reclassified these claims to the disease that was included in the Case Evaluation Form. See Exhibit 6 for claims that reclassified disease, by Electronic Claims Database disease and Case Evaluation Form disease.

32. The more detailed medical diagnoses alleged in the Case Evaluation Forms also allowed us to capture claimants alleging both a lung cancer *and* an asbestosis or pleural disease diagnosis. Claimants without any affirmative allegation of asbestosis or pleural disease in their Case Evaluation Forms were categorized as having only a lung cancer disease. These allegations allowed us to break the lung cancer claims into two groups for the purposes of analysis of historical settlement values, lung cancer with asbestosis/pleural disease, and lung cancer without evidence of asbestosis/pleural disease.[23]

33. While there was consistent evidence of alleged asbestosis/pleural disease diagnoses for lung cancer claimants in the Case Evaluation Forms, there was not any consistent evidence of the impairment level of the claims alleging non-malignant disease. Consequently, we group all asbestosis and pleural disease claims into one "non-malignant" category.

C. Determine appropriate time period for average values

34. As described above, ACL has been resolving claims in the US tort system for approximately 50 years and has a significant settlement history. Using the complete history of all past settlements to calculate an overall historical settlement value for

[22] Of the 18 claims which changed disease from the Electronic Claims Database, 10 of these changed to other cancer. Therefore, an additional review of the other cancer Case Evaluation Forms was conducted, resulting in the additional recode of one other cancer claim to alleged lung cancer.

[23] Because there were only 14 other cancer claims settled from 2015 to 2024 in the historical settlement data and Case Evaluation Form population, we did not attempt to separate that disease category into two subcategories.

each disease category would maximize the number of observations that feed into the average but would pull in older filing and settlement activity that may not be reflective of the current US tort litigation system. In other words, there is a trade-off between using a longer period, increasing sample size, and limiting to a more recent, shorter period that more accurately reflects the tort system realities as May 6, 2025.

35. To determine the appropriate period over which to calculate historical averages, we analyzed ACL's filing, resolution, and total indemnity spending data over time.

36. Figures 1 and 2 summarize the disease mix of settled claims and total indemnity spending, respectively, over three alternative time periods. The first is the full historical period (1978-2025), the second is using all data through 2014, and the third is the most recent period using the last ten years for which a full year of data was available (2015-2024). For all years and the period through 2014, the vast majority of ACL's settled claims alleged a non-malignant disease.

Figure 1
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Distribution of Settled Claims [1] Across Diseases
1978-2025 [2]
From the Electronic Claims Database

Year Closed	Mesothelioma	Lung Cancer	Other Cancer	Asbestosis/Pleural Disease	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
I. Settled Claims					
1978-2025 (All Data) [2]	2,385	1,544	8	13,948	17,885 [3]
1978-2014	2,118	1,415	2	13,830	17,365 [3]
2015-2024	263	129	6	118	516 [3]
II. Disease Mix by Percentage					
1978-2025 (All Data) [2]	13 %	9 %	0 %	78 %	100 %
1978-2014	12	8	0	80	100
2015-2024	51	25	1	23	100

Notes and Sources:
- Data from "ACL_Pending claims & Settlements analysis.xlsx" received on October 13, 2025.
[1] Settled claims includes claims with "Judgement" of "Settled" in the "STATUS" field in the Electronic Claims Data.
[2] Includes partial year data.
[3] Does not include claims with an unknown disease.

Figure 2
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Distribution of Inflation Adjusted [1, 2] Settlement Values Across Diseases
1978-2025 [3]
From the Electronic Claims Database

Year Closed	Mesothelioma	Lung Cancer	Other Cancer	Asbestosis/Pleural Disease	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
I. Settlement Value Inflation Adjusted [2]					
1978-2025 (All Data) [3]	$ 86,905,506	$ 21,997,394	$ 228,399	$ 64,207,563	$ 173,338,862 [4]
1978-2014	69,079,513	19,739,942	63,261	62,881,615	151,764,332 [4]
2015-2024	17,615,993	2,257,452	165,137	1,325,948	21,364,530 [4]
II. Disease Mix by Percentage					
1978-2025 (All Data) [3]	50 %	13 %	0 %	37 %	100 %
1978-2014	46	13	0	41	100
2015-2024	82	11	1	6	100

Notes and Sources:
- Data from "ACL_Pending claims & Settlements analysis.xlsx" received on October 13, 2025.
[1] Settled claims includes claims with "Judgement" of "Settled" in the "STATUS" field in the Electronic Claims Data.
[2] Data from Bureau of Labor Statistics. Inflation adjusted using average annual Consumer Price Index (CPI) values.
[3] Includes partial year data.
[4] Does not include settlements with an unknown disease.

37. However, in the most recent period, there was a substantial shift in the disease mix of settled claims, primarily driven by the reduction in the number of settled claims alleging a non-malignant disease. In the recent period, 75% of the claims resolved for positive payment alleged a malignant disease compared to in the earlier periods when less than 25% of settled claims were mesothelioma, lung cancer or other cancer. A similar pattern exists when comparing the disease mix for total indemnity payments. Of the total indemnity payments prior to 2015, approximately 41% were paid to resolve claims alleging asbestosis or pleural disease. Since then, ACL has paid less than 10% of its total indemnity payments to resolve claims with non-malignant alleged diseases.

38. On May 6, 2025, ACL initiated proceedings under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (CCAA) and recognition proceedings under Chapter 15 of the U.S. *Bankruptcy Code*, staying all litigation, so the 2025 Electronic Claims Database only includes four full months of data. There are only 39 filings and four settlements in

2025. Consequently, I exclude 2025 from the historical settlement average calculation.

39. Based on our review of the data and my professional judgement, I find that it is reasonable and appropriate to include ten years of settlement data, from 2015-2024, in the average values. This period provides enough observations to calculate the average for each disease category, and it also captures the more recent, stable period during which settlement activity was more concentrated on malignant claims.

D. Inflation-adjust historical settlements

40. ACL's Electronic Claims Database reports settlement values as of the year the settlements were paid, so in nominal values. To estimate the value of claims in the US tort system as of May 6, 2025, it is appropriate from the perspective of economics to calculate the average value in May 2025 dollars to reflect the reduction in the purchasing power of the US dollars over time due to inflation.

41. To calculate inflation-adjusted average 2015-2024 historical values, we first adjusted all historical settlement values from the year of settlement to May 2025 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) values published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The CPI-U index measures the changes in the prices of consumer goods and services based on a representative basket of items. Then, using the inflation-adjusted settlement values, we calculate the average values for each of the disease categories.

E. Calculate average values by disease

42. The final result of the analysis of the historical settlement values is presented in Table 1, Row 1.

43. The relative values across disease categories shown in Table 1 are consistent with my experience working on cases related to the estimation of asbestos liabilities in the US tort system. As noted above, mesothelioma is a fatal disease that is associated with asbestos exposure, so mesothelioma claimants typically receive the highest settlements as compared to other disease claims. Generally, the lung and other cancer claims are more severe diseases than asbestosis and pleural disease, so these claims typically also receive relatively higher values than non-malignant claims. Within the

malignant diagnoses, individuals with both lung cancer and asbestosis diagnoses may have a stronger link to asbestos as the cause of their disease, so typically have higher average settlement values than lung cancer claims without a corresponding asbestosis/pleural disease diagnosis or other cancer claims.

44. For the reasons described above, using ten years of ACL's settlement history from 2015-2024 as shown in Table 1 provides a reliable estimate of the value of compensable asbestos claims in the US Tort system. As a sensitivity test on this assumption, however, we ran alternatives using different time horizons.[24] If average values were calculated using all of the historical data (taking disease from the Electronic Claims Database prior to 2015 as given), the overall average would be 76% lower, indicating that the more recent data is significantly different from the earlier period. If as an alternative only the most recent period were used, for example the last five years, the sample size to calculate the averages would be significantly smaller (only 165 instead of 514 observations), and the overall average settlement value per claim would be approximately 9% lower.

F. Calculate maximum values by disease

45. I also calculated "maximum" values for each disease category. In the historical ACL settlement data, there is significant variability in settlement values within each disease category. The overall average settlement for each disease includes all these high and low values, capturing this variability. A handful of observations in the historical data, however, are at the extreme tails of the settlement distribution. These "outlier" observations are atypical of the vast majority of settlements, and so would not be a representative measure of a typical maximum value for a strong claim.

46. Exhibits 7-11 include histograms of the 2015-2024 inflation-adjusted settlements values for the five disease categories: mesothelioma, lung cancer with asbestosis or pleural disease, lung cancer without asbestosis or pleural disease, other cancer and asbestosis/pleural disease claims. For each chart, labels indicate the 5th, 50th, and 95th

[24] Additionally, in the Case Evaluation Forms, some claims had alternative settlement values which were captured in our coding process. We compared these values to those in the Electronic Claims Data and found some differences. As a sensitivity test, if we were to recalculate the averages shown in Table 1 using the Case Evaluation Form settlement values, there would be no material difference because the overall settlement average would still be within 0.02% of the data I relied upon, and no individual disease category would vary by more than 1%.

percentile of the distributions. Consistent across all disease categories, the charts reveal that the settlement value distributions are right-skewed, which indicates that the bulk of the observations, and 50th percentile, are lower than the observed mean, driven by the presence of a few outlier settlement values. Also, a long tail with few observations indicates that these higher values do not occur with high frequency.

47. Based on this analysis of the distribution of the settlement data, I find that the 95th percentile value is a more reliable estimate of the maximum value to inform the Monitor's determination process. The 95th percentile is frequently used as a threshold for determining statistical significance.[25]

G. Comparison to US trust TDPs/CRPs

48. As a final check on the construction of the data in Table 1, I compared it to the structure of valuation matrices used in US asbestos trusts. As described above, there is a significant amount of publicly available information on the valuation process of these trusts.

49. The *amounts* awarded to any claimant may vary substantially across TDPs and also vary significantly from ACL's historical settlement experience. As explained above, the settlement values reflected in ACL's own historical data are the most reasonable and reliable estimate of its expected liability for asbestos personal injury claims in the US tort system. The values of other trusts or settlements of other defendants will reflect the expected liability of those entities, and not ACL. However, the TDPs of other asbestos trusts do provide relevant information on the categorization of asbestos personal injury claims for assessing the quantum of a claim.

50. In particular, almost all the TDPs assign values by disease.

[25] The complement of the 95th percent significance level is the 5% threshold. See, *e.g.*, Kaye, David H. and Freedman, David A., "Reference Guide on Statistics," Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence, 3rd ed., The National Academies Press, 2011, p. 251: "In practice, statistical analysts typically use levels of 5% and 1% [footnote omitted]. The 5% level is the most common in social science, and an analyst who speaks of significant results without specifying the threshold probably is using this figure." Also, see, Evans, Michael and Rosenthal, Jeffrey, "Probability and Statistics: The Science of Uncertainty, Second Edition (2010), pg. 334-335: "Often cutoff values like 0.05 or 0.01 are used to determine whether the results of a test are significant or not. For example, if the P-value is less than 0.05, then the results are said to be *statistically significant* at the 5% level."

a. 60 trusts assign values by disease. Of the trusts we reviewed, only Fraser's Boiler Liquidating Trust ("Fraser's Boiler"), Kaiser Gypsum Asbestos Personal Injury Trust ("Kaiser Gypsum"), and GST Settlement Facility ("GST") do not assign values by disease.[26]

b. 58 of the trusts assign values to the same major disease categories as in the ACL. Trusts were counted in this category if they had values assigned for mesothelioma, lung cancer, other cancer, and at least one non-malignant level.[27]

c. 39 of the 59 trusts with lung cancer include two levels of lung cancer, while the other 20 trusts include only one level of lung cancer. Additionally, 48 of the 58 trusts with a non-malignant level, have multiple levels for non-malignant diseases.

51. In addition, the majority of TDPs present average and maximum values, which are used by the trusts in the claim valuation process. 38 trusts present average and maximum values.[28] Trusts were included in this category if they provided average and maximum values for all their malignant disease levels and at least one of their non-malignant levels if applicable.[29]

[26] Fraser's Boiler trust uses a Claim Valuation Process that mentions mesothelioma, lung cancer, other cancer, and pulmonary asbestosis, but does not assign specific values. Kaiser Gypsum's TDP only mentions "asbestos-related diseases" without providing specific diseases. GST determines settlement amounts using various multiplicative factors called the "Injured Party Factors." The resulting product of the Injured Party Factors is used to adjust various "Maximum Settlement Values" which are assigned based on disease and the intensity and frequency of exposure; specific diseases are accounted for with the "Medical Information Factor" which applies a different multiple based on the disease. Additionally, the HONX Asbestos Trust is categorized as a trust which assigns values by disease even though the trust assigns points and not dollars because HONX indicates the points are converted to a monetary award.

[27] The two trusts from the 60 trusts that assign values by disease that do not assign values based on the same major disease categories are NMBFiL, Inc. Asbestos Personal Injury Trust ("NMBFiL") and United States Mineral Products Company Asbestos PI Settlement Trust ("United States Mineral Products"). NMBFiL only has values for two levels of mesothelioma. United States Mineral Products includes mesothelioma and lung cancer; however, the final level includes, "primary colo-rectal, laryngeal, esophageal, pharyngeal, or stomach cancer (plus evidence of an underlying Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease), asbestosis, or Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease." This level does not separate the other cancers from the non-malignant category; therefore, it was determined to not have the same major disease categories as in ACL.

[28] TDPs may also present scheduled values, which may be assigned to claims determined to be eligible but not individually reviewed.

[29] J.T. Thorpe Settlement Trust, Plant Asbestos Settlement Trust, Western Asbestos Settlement Trust, and Thorpe Insulation Settlement Trust are included in the 38 trusts and do provide average and maximum values. However, these four trusts determine settlement values using a "Case Valuation Matrix." The Case Valuation Matrix multiplies the "base case value" by the product of adjustments to the base case value to determine a settlement value.

IV. Conclusion

52. For the purpose of assisting the Monitor in the above captioned matter in its determination of the quantum to existing claimants, I estimated the value of ACL's compensable claims in the US tort system. My professional opinion is that the most reasonable and reliable basis for this estimate is ACL's historical settlement data. I also determined that values should be estimated by alleged disease, and that, given the available historical information, using the alleged disease categories of mesothelioma, lung cancer with asbestosis or pleural disease, lung cancer without asbestosis or pleural disease, other cancer, and non-malignant asbestosis or pleural disease generates a reasonable and reliable estimate of the compensable claim values in the US tort system.

Exhibit 1
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Filed Claims by Disease and Year Served
From the Electronic Claims Database
1974-2025

Year Served	Mesothelioma	Lung Cancer	Other Cancer	Asbestosis/ Pleural Disease	Unknown	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7) Sum[(2):(6)]
Pre-1994	673	744	-	10,586	10,058	22,061
1994	80	60	-	594	1,241	1,975
1995	124	143	-	735	1,194	2,196
1996	125	101	-	715	2,794	3,735
1997	141	114	-	976	2,492	3,723
1998	141	104	-	471	1,258	1,974
1999	204	177	-	475	867	1,723
2000	224	121	-	335	3,063	3,743
2001	191	141	-	1,589	818	2,739
2002	180	120	-	908	945	2,153
2003	162	102	-	518	823	1,605
2004	259	413	-	759	318	1,749
2005	207	228	-	554	602	1,591
2006	167	64	-	87	73	391
2007	205	99	-	248	55	607
2008	266	62	-	95	108	531
2009	258	83	-	63	29	433
2010	222	103	1	80	28	434
2011	131	50	-	59	8	248
2012	122	71	1	93	9	296
2013	157	724	-	89	10	980
2014	156	556	-	64	5	781
2015	131	72	1	32	3	239
2016	127	393	1	23	16	560
2017	86	60	1	21	6	174
2018	55	21	-	40	2	118
2019	41	21	-	29	3	94
2020	45	17	3	14	-	79
2021	60	16	3	29	23	131
2022	58	12	-	14	2	86
2023	42	17	1	16	-	76
2024	27	9	3	8	-	47
2025 [1]	17	8	6	8	-	39
Total	**5,084**	**5,026**	**21**	**20,327**	**26,853**	**57,311**

Notes and Sources:

- Data from "ACL_Pending claims & Settlements analysis.xlsx" received on October 13, 2025.

[1] Includes partial year data.

Exhibit 2
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Pending Claims by Disease and Year Served
From the Electronic Claims Database
1994-2025

Year Served	Mesothelioma	Lung Cancer	Other Cancer	Asbestosis/ Pleural Disease	Unknown	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7) Sum[(2):(6)]
1994	-	-	-	-	760	760
1995	-	-	-	-	656	656
1996	-	-	-	-	1,429	1,429
1997	1	2	-	-	1,216	1,219
1998	1	3	-	150	602	756
1999	13	-	-	1	333	347
2000	-	2	-	1	85	88
2001	1	-	-	1	21	23
2002	-	1	-	3	76	80
2003	1	-	-	1	26	28
2004	6	3	-	1	5	15
2005	2	2	-	4	263	271
2006	5	3	-	4	4	16
2007	14	3	-	2	2	21
2008	3	2	-	7	1	13
2009	6	6	-	3	1	16
2010	7	6	-	16	1	30
2011	-	2	-	13	-	15
2012	4	6	-	8	2	20
2013	2	2	-	8	-	12
2014	5	2	-	3	-	10
2015	7	4	-	1	1	13
2016	9	2	-	1	1	13
2017	12	4	-	3	-	19
2018	3	-	-	-	-	3
2019	5	-	-	3	1	9
2020	3	1	-	-	-	4
2021	4	2	1	15	22	44
2022	10	4	-	6	2	22
2023	18	11	-	10	-	39
2024	19	5	3	7	-	34
2025 [1]	16	8	6	8	-	38
Total	**177**	**86**	**10**	**280**	**5,510**	**6,063**

Notes and Sources:

- Data from "ACL_Pending claims & Settlements analysis.xlsx" received on October 13, 2025.

[1] Includes partial year data.

Exhibit 3
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Settled Claims[1], Settlement Amount[1,2], and Average Settlement Value[1,2] Per Settled Claim by Disease and Year Closed
From the Electronic Claims Database
1978-2025 [3]

Year Closed	Mesothelioma	Lung Cancer	Other Cancer	Asbestosis/ Pleural Disease	Unknown	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
I. Settled Claims [1]						
1978	-	-	-	1	-	1
1979	-	-	-	-	-	-
1980	6	3	-	11	-	20
1981	5	26	-	68	623	722
1982	-	7	-	35	22	64
1983	-	-	-	3	-	3
1984	10	5	-	79	243	337
1985	12	12	-	89	33	146
1986	6	4	-	46	5	61
1987	12	10	-	89	28	139
1988	17	22	-	206	56	301
1989	18	25	-	79	100	222
1990	186	65	-	1,431	403	2,085
1991	80	112	-	2,088	46	2,326
1992	122	131	-	1,634	72	1,959
1993	77	98	-	1,447	21	1,643
1994	30	113	-	2,154	2	2,299
1995	47	62	-	1,202	11	1,322
1996	47	42	-	229	6	324
1997	62	54	-	274	2	392
1998	75	53	-	270	-	398
1999	68	69	-	577	4	718
2000	96	41	-	146	2	285
2001	156	155	-	822	26	1,159
2002	99	33	-	126	-	258
2003	54	22	-	118	2	196
2004	120	53	-	124	-	297
2005	48	21	-	60	-	129
2006	39	9	-	34	-	82
2007	94	18	-	28	2	142
2008	34	25	-	87	1	147
2009	136	12	-	47	-	195
2010	49	15	-	27	-	91
2011	98	27	1	40	-	166
2012	73	21	-	18	-	112
2013	66	18	-	78	-	162
2014	76	32	1	63	1	173
2015	45	40	-	14	-	99
2016	59	16	-	39	-	114
2017	44	20	1	8	1	74
2018	26	11	1	2	-	40
2019	15	5	-	5	-	25
2020	20	10	-	26	-	56
2021	5	3	-	1	-	9
2022	15	9	1	3	-	28
2023	18	14	3	20	-	55
2024	16	1	-	-	-	17
2025 [3]	4	-	-	-	-	4
Total	**2,385**	**1,544**	**8**	**13,948**	**1,712**	**19,597**

Exhibit 3
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Settled Claims[1], Settlement Amount[1,2], and Average Settlement Value[1,2] Per Settled Claim by Disease and Year Closed
From the Electronic Claims Database
1978-2025 [3]

Year Closed	Mesothelioma	Lung Cancer	Other Cancer	Asbestosis/ Pleural Disease	Unknown	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
II. Settlement Value Inflation Adjusted [1,2]						
1978	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 61,273	$ -	$ 61,273
1979	-	-	-	-	-	-
1980	1,065,128	472,675	-	487,755	-	2,025,559
1981	545,099	1,360,863	-	1,717,855	12,234,185	15,858,003
1982	-	274,204	-	609,712	127,799	1,011,715
1983	-	-	-	27,289	-	27,289
1984	780,597	123,281	-	1,184,950	76,437	2,165,265
1985	305,762	205,944	-	2,836,433	725,832	4,073,971
1986	89,710	96,272	-	882,783	52,731	1,121,496
1987	680,418	254,121	-	1,516,223	364,855	2,815,617
1988	763,360	478,988	-	2,936,904	1,036,334	5,215,586
1989	325,394	967,696	-	977,681	1,451,229	3,722,001
1990	1,704,585	478,176	-	5,406,196	1,838,769	9,427,727
1991	1,053,002	688,391	-	5,712,503	201,790	7,655,685
1992	1,728,317	610,002	-	3,962,509	181,581	6,482,409
1993	1,681,615	996,883	-	6,233,447	155,531	9,067,475
1994	1,515,716	457,774	-	2,535,771	30,082	4,539,343
1995	485,976	274,028	-	2,526,896	16,939	3,303,838
1996	1,378,383	698,394	-	1,533,776	200,807	3,811,360
1997	2,972,037	2,132,723	-	2,242,985	49,802	7,397,548
1998	2,215,786	491,370	-	1,900,570	-	4,607,727
1999	1,055,123	382,849	-	1,894,178	12,862	3,345,011
2000	1,832,107	509,707	-	665,531	10,120	3,017,465
2001	6,363,743	3,301,706	-	4,899,644	339,055	14,904,148
2002	2,872,971	418,495	-	640,645	-	3,932,111
2003	918,786	201,374	-	455,878	13,906	1,589,944
2004	3,210,798	610,209	-	798,833	-	4,619,840
2005	2,231,719	221,641	-	166,683	-	2,620,043
2006	1,006,094	172,450	-	140,925	-	1,319,469
2007	4,395,402	195,828	-	122,134	9,253	4,722,617
2008	1,759,564	451,642	-	325,447	3,512	2,540,166
2009	3,989,887	154,264	-	334,858	-	4,479,009
2010	1,151,409	102,195	-	157,142	-	1,410,746
2011	3,450,208	561,122	49,754	5,967,705	-	10,028,789
2012	4,546,091	745,088	-	137,426	-	5,428,605
2013	3,775,333	179,127	-	209,325	-	4,163,785
2014	7,229,393	470,459	13,507	671,749	10,277	8,395,385
2015	2,756,235	499,711	-	101,183	-	3,357,129
2016	4,011,566	358,390	-	470,303	-	4,840,259
2017	3,076,701	555,072	19,568	90,664	13,045	3,755,049
2018	1,775,773	255,319	95,506	8,914	-	2,135,512
2019	1,016,231	50,030	-	11,257	-	1,077,517
2020	1,604,921	84,014	-	314,436	-	2,003,371
2021	239,554	73,754	-	590	-	313,898
2022	835,859	103,799	5,463	3,278	-	948,399
2023	1,280,302	270,228	44,601	325,323	-	1,920,453
2024	1,018,852	7,136	-	-	-	1,025,988
2025 [3]	210,000	-	-	-	-	210,000
Total	**$ 86,905,506**	**$ 21,997,394**	**$ 228,399**	**$ 64,207,563**	**$ 19,156,733**	**$ 192,495,595**

Exhibit 3
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Settled Claims[1], Settlement Amount[1,2], and Average Settlement Value[1,2] Per Settled Claim by Disease and Year Closed
From the Electronic Claims Database
1978-2025 [3]

Year Closed	Mesothelioma	Lung Cancer	Other Cancer	Asbestosis/ Pleural Disease	Unknown	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
III. Average Settlement Value Inflation Adjusted Per Settled Claim [1]						
1978	$ n.a.	$ n.a.	$ n.a.	$ 61,273	$ n.a.	$ 61,273
1979	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1980	177,521	157,558	n.a.	44,341	n.a.	101,278
1981	109,020	52,341	n.a.	25,263	19,638	21,964
1982	n.a.	39,172	n.a.	17,420	5,809	15,808
1983	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	9,096	n.a.	9,096
1984	78,060	24,656	n.a.	14,999	315	6,425
1985	25,480	17,162	n.a.	31,870	21,995	27,904
1986	14,952	24,068	n.a.	19,191	10,546	18,385
1987	56,701	25,412	n.a.	17,036	13,031	20,256
1988	44,904	21,772	n.a.	14,257	18,506	17,328
1989	18,077	38,708	n.a.	12,376	14,512	16,766
1990	9,164	7,357	n.a.	3,778	4,563	4,522
1991	13,163	6,146	n.a.	2,736	4,387	3,291
1992	14,167	4,657	n.a.	2,425	2,522	3,309
1993	21,839	10,172	n.a.	4,308	7,406	5,519
1994	50,524	4,051	n.a.	1,177	15,041	1,974
1995	10,340	4,420	n.a.	2,102	1,540	2,499
1996	29,327	16,628	n.a.	6,698	33,468	11,763
1997	47,936	39,495	n.a.	8,186	24,901	18,871
1998	29,544	9,271	n.a.	7,039	n.a.	11,577
1999	15,517	5,549	n.a.	3,283	3,215	4,659
2000	19,084	12,432	n.a.	4,558	5,060	10,588
2001	40,793	21,301	n.a.	5,961	13,041	12,859
2002	29,020	12,682	n.a.	5,084	n.a.	15,241
2003	17,015	9,153	n.a.	3,863	6,953	8,112
2004	26,757	11,513	n.a.	6,442	n.a.	15,555
2005	46,494	10,554	n.a.	2,778	n.a.	20,310
2006	25,797	19,161	n.a.	4,145	n.a.	16,091
2007	46,760	10,879	n.a.	4,362	4,627	33,258
2008	51,752	18,066	n.a.	3,741	3,512	17,280
2009	29,337	12,855	n.a.	7,125	n.a.	22,969
2010	23,498	6,813	n.a.	5,820	n.a.	15,503
2011	35,206	20,782	49,754	149,193	n.a.	60,414
2012	62,275	35,480	n.a.	7,635	n.a.	48,470
2013	57,202	9,952	n.a.	2,684	n.a.	25,702
2014	95,124	14,702	13,507	10,663	10,277	48,528
2015	61,250	12,493	n.a.	7,227	n.a.	33,910
2016	67,993	22,399	n.a.	12,059	n.a.	42,458
2017	69,925	27,754	19,568	11,333	13,045	50,744
2018	68,299	23,211	95,506	4,457	n.a.	53,388
2019	67,749	10,006	n.a.	2,251	n.a.	43,101
2020	80,246	8,401	n.a.	12,094	n.a.	35,774
2021	47,911	24,585	n.a.	590	n.a.	34,878
2022	55,724	11,533	5,463	1,093	n.a.	33,871
2023	71,128	19,302	14,867	16,266	n.a.	34,917
2024	63,678	7,136	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	60,352
2025 [3]	52,500	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	52,500

Exhibit 3
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Settled Claims[1], Settlement Amount[1,2], and Average Settlement Value[1,2] Per Settled Claim by Disease and Year Closed
From the Electronic Claims Database
1978-2025 [3]

Year Closed	Mesothelioma	Lung Cancer	Other Cancer	Asbestosis/ Pleural Disease	Unknown	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
IV. Rolling Average Values						
1978-2025 [3]	**$ 36,438**	**$ 14,247**	**$ 28,550**	**$ 4,603**	**$ 11,190**	**$ 9,823**
2015-2024	**66,981**	**17,500**	**27,523**	**11,237**	**13,045**	**41,349**
2020-2024	**67,290**	**14,566**	**12,516**	**12,873**	**n.a.**	**37,649**

Notes and Sources:

- Data from "ACL_Pending claims & Settlements analysis.xlsx" received on October 13, 2025.

[1] Settled claims includes claims with "Judgement" of "Settled" in the "STATUS" field in the Electronic Claims Database.

[2] Data from Bureau of Labor Statistics. Inflation adjusted using average annual Consumer Price Index (CPI) values.

[3] Includes partial year data.

Exhibit 4
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary of Asbestos Trust Research

Trust Name	Year Established [1]	Reviewed TDP or CRP
(1)	(2)	(3)
Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust	1988	Yes
UNR Asbestos-Disease Claims Trust	1990 [2]	-
Eagle-Picher Industries Inc. Personal Injury Settlement Trust	1996	Yes
Keene Creditors Trust	1996	Yes
Lykes Tort Claims Trust	1997 [2]	-
Celotex Asbestos Settlement Trust	1998	Yes
Fuller-Austin Asbestos Settlement Trust	1998	Yes
H.K. Porter Asbestos Trust	1998	Yes
Raytech Corporation Asbestos Personal Injury Settlement Trust	2000	Yes
Bartells Asbestos Settlement Trust	2001 [2]	-
Shook & Fletcher Asbestos Settlement Trust	2002	Yes
NGC Bodily Injury Trust	2003	Yes
Swan Asbestos and Silica Settlement Trust	2003 [2]	-
A-Best Asbestos Settlement Trust	2004	Yes
J.T. Thorpe Company Successor Trust	2004 [2]	Yes
Stone and Webster Asbestos Trust	2004 [2]	-
Utex Industries, Inc. Successor Trust	2004 [2]	-
Western Asbestos Settlement Trust	2004	Yes
DII Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust	2005	Yes
United States Mineral Products Company Asbestos PI Settlement Trust	2005 [2]	Yes
API, Inc. Asbestos Settlement Trust	2005 [3]	Yes
ABB Lummus Global Inc. 524(g) Asbestos PI Trust	2006	Yes
Armstrong World Industries Asbestos Personal Injury Settlement Trust	2006	Yes
Babcock & Wilcox Asbestos Personal Injury Settlement Trust	2006	Yes
C.E. Thurston & Sons Asbestos Trust	2006	Yes
Combustion Engineering 524(g) Asbestos PI Trust	2006	Yes
J.T. Thorpe Settlement Trust	2006	Yes
Kaiser Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2006	Yes
Owens Corning Fibreboard Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2006	Yes
Plibrico Asbestos Trust	2006	Yes
United States Gypsum Asbestos Personal Injury Settlement Trust	2006	Yes
ARTRA Asbestos Trust	2007	Yes
Federal Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2007	Yes
Porter Hayden Bodily Injury Trust	2007	Yes
ACandS Asbestos Settlement Trust	2008	Yes
ASARCO Asbestos Personal Injury Settlement Trust	2009	Yes
Burns & Roe Personal Injury Settlement Trust	2009	Yes
G-I Holdings Inc. Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2009	Yes
T.H. Agriculture & Nutrition, LLC Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2009	Yes
Christy Refractories Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2010	Yes
Congoleum Plan Trust	2010	Yes
Hercules Chemical Company, Inc. Asbestos Settlement Trust	2010	Yes
Leslie Controls, Inc. Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2011	Yes
Motors Liquidation Company Asbestos PI Trust	2011	Yes
Brauer 524(g) Asbestos Trust	2012	Yes
Plant Asbestos Settlement Trust	2012	Yes
State Insulation Corporation Asbestos PI Trust	2012	Yes
APG Asbestos Trust	2013	Yes

Exhibit 4
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Summary of Asbestos Trust Research

Trust Name	Year Established [1]	Reviewed TDP or CRP
(1)	(2)	(3)
North American Refractories Company Asbestos Personal Injury Settlement Trust	2013	Yes
Quigley Company, Inc. Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2013	Yes
Thorpe Insulation Settlement Trust	2013 [4]	Yes
Bondex Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2014	Yes
Metex Asbestos PI Trust	2014	Yes
NMBFiL, Inc. Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2014	Yes
United Gilsonite Laboratories Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2014	Yes
WRG Asbestos PI Trust	2014	Yes
Flintkote Asbestos Trust	2015	Yes
Yarway Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2015	Yes
Pittsburgh Corning Corporation Asbestos Personal Injury Settlement Trust	2016	Yes
GST Settlement Facility	2017	Yes
Geo. V Hamilton, Inc. Asbestos Trust	2018	Yes
Maremont Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2019	Yes
Duro Dyne Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2020	Yes
Fraser's Boiler Liquidating Trust	2020	Yes
Sepco Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2020	Yes
Fairbanks Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2021	Yes
Kaiser Gypsum Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2021	Yes
Owens-Illinois Asbestos Personal Injury Trust	2022	Yes
The HONX Asbestos Trust	2024	Yes
Total	**69**	**63**

Notes and Sources:

- Proposed Skinner Engine Co. Asbestos Trust excluded because the plan was rejected in Bankruptcy Court. A&I Corporation Asbestos Bodily Injury Trust excluded because publicly available Trust documents indicate the Trust is now inactive.

[1] Data obtained from Trust websites. If information was not available on Trust website, data obtained from publicly available Trust documents. If information was not available in Trust documents, data obtained from publicly available financial filings and court documents.

[2] Data obtained from Dixon L., McGovern G., Coombe A., "Asbestos Bankruptcy Trusts: An Overview of Trust Structure and Activity with Detailed Reports on the Largest Trust," Rand Institute for Civil Justice, 2010.

[3] API website states "The API, Inc. Asbestos Settlement Trust is a court-ordered entity resulting from the confirmation of the Third Amended Plan of Reorganization of API, Inc. (The Plan), dated December 6, 2005." The Effective Date of the Trust is February 5, 2007. The 2005 Confirmation is reflected on the table.

[4] The "Fifteenth Annual Report and Accounting, Audited Financial Statements and Claim Report" states, "On February 1, 2010, the Bankruptcy Court entered the 'Order Confirming Fifth Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization' ('2010 Confirmation Order'). Following remand of certain issues upheld on appeal, the Bankruptcy Court entered the 2013 Confirmation Order on May 8, 2013. The Plan became effective on July 9, 2013." The 2013 Confirmation Order is reflected on the table.

Exhibit 5
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Case Evaluation Form Coding Protocol

- Case Evaluation Forms were provided for 514 of the 517 settled claims from 2015 to 2024.
- Alleged medical information from two sections of the Case Evaluation Forms was collected.
    - "Section I. Background"
        - This section of the Case Evaluation form typically contained information on the disease alleged by a given plaintiff.
        - If multiple diseases were alleged, the most severe was coded as the most severe alleged disease and the other additional alleged diseases were recorded in a separate column.
            - Severity was determined as follows: mesothelioma is the most severe, then lung cancer, then other cancer, and finally non-malignant, which is the broader category for all variations of asbestosis/pleural disease.
        - If the "date of diagnosis alleged" was included, this was also coded.
    - "Section IV. Medical Data Plaintiff Expert(s) Findings (include ILO reading, PFT results, fiber burden analysis, etc.):"
        - This section of the Case Evaluation Forms typically included the plaintiff's medical support for their alleged diseases and diagnosis dates were provided here.
        - Several pieces of information were captured from this section, if available.
            - Disease[1]
                - The most severe disease was recorded based on the same ranking of disease categories as described above, and any

[1] Of the 18 claims which changed disease from the claims database, 10 of these changed to Other Cancer, see Exhibit 6. Therefore, an additional review of these Other Cancer claims was conducted, resulting in the additional recode of one claim to alleged Lung Cancer.

additional diseases purported to be diagnosed were recorded in a separate column.

- Internal Labor Organization (ILO) B-Reader scores and Pulmonary Function Test (PFT) medical test scores if provided
    - X-ray B-reading results and/or ILO scores.
    - Forced Expiratory Volume (FEV1), Diffusing Capacity of the Lungs for Carbon Monoxide (DLCO), Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) and Total Lung Capacity (TLC) scores from any performed PFTs
        - Additionally, if the date of a PFT was provided that was recorded as well.
- Purported medical support that the most severe disease was caused by asbestos exposure.

- For Case Evaluation Forms where there was alleged medical support for a Lung Cancer or Other Cancer Diagnosis, we captured any information regarding whether
    - The cancer was designated as a primary cancer.
    - If there was an underlying bilateral non-malignant condition.
    - If there was purported medical support that the underlying bilateral non-malignant condition was caused by asbestos exposure.

- "Section IX. Settlement / Judgement"
    - The amount of settlement and the date of the settlement were recorded.
- Data were double entered by two independent coders and reconciled. If there were any discrepancies between the coders, a third, independent reviewer looked at the Case Evaluation Form and validated the accurate information.

Exhibit 6
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Comparison of Disease in the Electronic Claims Database versus Case Evaluation Forms

	Electronic Claims Database Disease[1]				
Case Evaluation Disease[2]	**Mesothelioma**	**Lung Cancer**	**Other Cancer**	**Non-Malignant**	**Unknown**
(1)	**(2)**	**(3)**	**(4)**	**(5)**	
Mesothelioma	-	-	-	1	-
Lung Cancer	-	-	-	-	-
Other Cancer	-	1	-	9	-
Non-Malignant	1	4	1	-	1

Notes and Sources:

[1] Original diseases from ACL_Pending claims & Settlements analysis.xlsx.

[2] Case Evaluation Form Disease was determined based on the alleged disease and disease from plaintiff expert reflected in the form. If alleged disease and the disease from plaintiff expert agreed then that disease was taken. If the disease from plaintiff expert was unknown, the alleged disease was taken. If alleged disease was unknown, the disease from plaintiff expert was taken. If disease alleged and disease from plaintiff expert were both not unknown and disagreed, then the disease from the plaintiff expert was taken. Additionally, one claim was reclassified from "Other Cancer" to "Lung Cancer" based on review of the attorney notes section of the Case Evaluation Form.

Exhibit 7
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Mesothelioma
Using Inflation-Adjusted 2015-2024 Historical ACL Settlements



Notes and Sources:
- Data from "ACL_Pending claims & Settlements analysis.xlsx" received on October 13, 2025.
- Data from Bureau of Labor Statistics. Inflation adjusted using average annual Consumer Price Index (CPI) values as of May 2025.

Exhibit 8
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Lung Cancer with Asbestosis/Pleural Disease
Using Inflation-Adjusted 2015-2024 Historical ACL Settlements



Notes and Sources:
- Data from "ACL_Pending claims & Settlements analysis.xlsx" received on October 13, 2025.
- Data from Bureau of Labor Statistics. Inflation adjusted using average annual Consumer Price Index (CPI) values as of May 2025.



Notes and Sources:
- Data from "ACL_Pending claims & Settlements analysis.xlsx" received on October 13, 2025.
- Data from Bureau of Labor Statistics. Inflation adjusted using average annual Consumer Price Index (CPI) values as of May 2025.

Exhibit 10
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Other Cancer
Using Inflation-Adjusted 2015- 2024 Historical ACL Settlements



Notes and Sources:
- Data from "ACL_Pending claims & Settlements analysis.xlsx" received on October 13, 2025.
- Data from Bureau of Labor Statistics. Inflation adjusted using average annual Consumer Price Index (CPI) values as of May 2025.

Exhibit 11
Asbestos Corporation Limited
Asbestosis/Pleural Disease
Using Inflation-Adjusted 2015-2024 Historical ACL Settlements



Notes and Sources:

- Data from "ACL_Pending claims & Settlements analysis.xlsx" received on October 13, 2025.
- Data from Bureau of Labor Statistics. Inflation adjusted using average annual Consumer Price Index (CPI) values as of May 2025.

SCHEDULE N

SCHEDULE N

PROJECTED CASH FLOW STATEMENT

FOR THE 6-MONTH PERIOD ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2026

The Projections have been divided into three based on the use of funds:

1- **Operational Cash flow:** consists of mining site operations and administrative expenses, and were prepared on a consolidated basis as management manages cash on a consolidated basis (i.e., the Debtor and its wholly owned subsidiary, 3R Minéral Inc.).

2- **Asbestos-related Cash flow**: consists of Asbestos-related receipts (from insurers and investment held in trust) and expenses. The Debtor has a separate and restricted bank account to manage these funds.

3- **CCAA Proceedings and Chapter 15 Proceedings Cash flow:** consists mainly of professional fees and disbursements in regard to the CCAA Proceedings and Chapter 15 Proceedings. These funds will be managed by the Monitor through his trust account.

The above Projections are presented on the next pages along with the main underlying assumptions of the Projections.

OPERATIONAL CASH FLOW

(In 000 of CA$ - unaudited)	April 2026	May 2026	June 2026	July 2026	Aug. 2026	Sept. 2026	**Total**
Receipts							
Other operating receipts and accounts receivables	150	205	179	152	121	113	**921**
Easement agreement	-	-	-	488	-	-	**488**
Asbestos-related claims - Management fees	-	153	-	-	139	-	**292**
GST/QST related to invoices from CCAA professionals	-	-	-	-	-	-	**-**
	150	358	179	640	260	113	**1 700**
Disbursements							
Salaries and benefits	(107)	(107)	(107)	(145)	(107)	(107)	**(677)**
Other operating disbursements and accounts payables	(250)	(219)	(157)	(195)	(141)	(356)	**(1 317)**
Professional fees	(350)	(36)	(36)	(36)	(36)	(36)	**(530)**
Transfer of GST/QST refunds	(736)	-	-	-	-	-	**(736)**
	(1 442)	(361)	(299)	(375)	(284)	(498)	**(3 260)**
Monthly variation	(1 292)	(3)	(120)	265	(24)	(385)	**(1 560)**
Beginning Balance	1 783	490	487	366	632	608	**1 783**
Ending Balance	**490**	**487**	**366**	**632**	**608**	**223**	**223**

The main underlying assumptions of the Projections can be summarized as follows:

Receipts

1- Operating receipts: These receipts primarily include buildings and land rentals, royalties, demonstration plant revenues based on the terms of existing contracts, lease agreements and on management's experience.

2- Easement agreement: based on an agreement with Hydro-Québec, the timing of the payment is however not defined in the agreement. Management estimates that the funds will be received in July 2026.

3- Asbestos-related claims – Management fees: These receipts correspond to the Debtor's compensation for services rendered in connection with Asbestos-related litigation. An equivalent disbursement is projected under the Asbestos-Related cash flow.

4- GST/QST related to invoices from CCAA professionals: reimbursement of sales taxes associated with invoices issued by professionals engaged in the CCAA Proceedings. As management is unable to determine when the relevant filings will be made with the applicable governmental authorities—given that the timing depends on the transmission and receipt of supporting documentation by the Monitor—no amount has been included in the cash flow projections.

Disbursements

5- Salaries and Benefits: based on the most recent payroll data and management assessment of necessary headcount. Salaries and social benefits are paid and remitted to the government weekly.

6- Other operating disbursements: include, among others, maintenance, property taxes, insurance, electricity, site operational expenses (surveillance, treatment, tests, etc.), directors' remuneration and other administration expenses.

7- Professional fees: consist of legal, audit and accounting fees, other consulting fees, recruitment expenses and mining rights based on management's estimates and historical data. These fees exclude fees and expenses related to the CCAA Proceedings and Chapter 15 Proceedings.

8- GST/QST refund reimbursement on the Interim Financing: transfer of GST/QST refunds to the Monitor's Trust account as these refunds relate to professional fees paid under the Interim Financing Facility. The amount of $736,000 was received by ACL in March.

ASBESTOS-RELATED CASH FLOW

(In 000 of CA$ - unaudited)	April 2026	May 2026	June 2026	July 2026	Aug. 2026	Sept. 2026	**Total**
Receipts							
Other operating receipts and accounts receivables	150	205	179	152	121	113	**921**
Easement agreement	-	-	-	488	-	-	**488**
Asbestos-related claims - Management fees	-	153	-	-	139	-	**292**
GST/QST related to invoices from CCAA professionals	-	-	-	-	-	-	**-**
	150	358	179	640	260	113	**1 700**
Disbursements							
Salaries and benefits	(107)	(107)	(107)	(145)	(107)	(107)	**(677)**
Other operating disbursements and accounts payables	(250)	(219)	(157)	(195)	(141)	(356)	**(1 317)**
Professional fees	(350)	(36)	(36)	(36)	(36)	(36)	**(530)**
Transfer of GST/QST refunds	-	(736)	-	-	-	-	**(736)**
	(706)	(1 097)	(299)	(375)	(284)	(498)	**(3 260)**
Monthly variation	(556)	(739)	(120)	265	(24)	(385)	**(1 560)**
Beginning Balance	1 783	1 226	487	366	632	608	**1 783**
Ending Balance	**1 226**	**487**	**366**	**632**	**608**	**223**	**223**

The main underlying assumptions of the Projections can be summarized as follows:

9- Insurance Reimbursements: Based on pending insurance reimbursements requests submitted by ACL to the insurers for fees incurred in previous months.

10- Account Payable – US Legal fees: legal fees for services provided by ACL's non-insolvency legal counsel for work performed prior to the CCAA Procedures.

11- Asbestos-related claims – Management fees: These disbursements correspond to the Debtor's compensation for services rendered in connection with Asbestos-related litigation. An equivalent receipt is projected under the Operational cash flow.

12- Asbestos-related claims settlement: no disbursements were forecasted for Asbestos-related claims settlement due to the stay of proceedings under the Initial Order. These claims will be addressed through a future Claims Process under the CCAA.

CCAA PROCEEDINGS AND CHAPTER 15 PROCEEDINGS CASH FLOW

(In 000 of USD$ - unaudited)	April 2026	May 2026	June 2026	July 2026	Aug. 2026	Sept. 2026	**Total**
Receipts							
Interim Financing	-	15 000	-	-	-	5 000	**20 000**
Transfers from operating account (GST/QST refunds)	533	-	-	-	-	-	**533**
	533	15 000	-	-	-	5 000	**20 533**
Disbursements							
Restructuring fees and expenses	(3 540)	(2 920)	(4 017)	(4 204)	(4 204)	(5 064)	**(23 950)**
Monthly Variation	(3 006)	12 080	(4 017)	(4 204)	(4 204)	(64)	**(3 416)**
Beginning Balance	5 471	2 464	14 545	10 528	6 323	2 119	**5 471**
Ending Balance	**2 464**	**14 545**	**10 528**	**6 323**	**2 119**	**2 054**	**2 054**

The main underlying assumptions of the Projections can be summarized as follows:

13- Interim Financing: Pursuant to the Amended Interim Financing Loan Agreement dated December 12, 2025, with a maximum authorized amount of US$45,000,000, an initial draw of US$9,475,000 was made on May 23, 2025, the second draw of US$10,525,000 was made on November 25, 2025, and a third draw of US$10,000,000 was made on February 9, 2026. Based on current cash flow projections, an additional draw of USD $15,000,000 in May will be required

and the facility will be fully utilized in September. A request to increase the interim financing facility is anticipated to be needed in September 2026 to support ongoing restructuring fees and expenses. The primary purpose of the Interim Financing Facility is to fund professional fees and expenses related to the restructuring process, including those incurred in connection with the CCAA Proceedings and the Chapter 15 Proceedings.

14- Restructuring Fees and Expenses: These disbursements consist of fees and expenses in connection with the CCAA proceedings, the Chapter 15 proceedings, and related contestations, including with respect to the Claims Process, and namely includes a significant amount to be incurred if the notification for solicitation of claims is also done outside of Canada and the U.S. during the cash flow period. The monthly forecasted disbursements reflect the estimated fees for professional services to be rendered during the preceding month. The forecasted monthly professional fees are presented in **Schedule O**.

The restructuring fees and expenses primarily relate to the following professionals:

- Raymond Chabot Inc.: the Monitor.
- McCarthy Tétrault LLP: the Monitor's Canadian legal counsel.
- Orrick LLP: the Monitor's U.S. legal counsel.
- LTL Advisory LLC: the Chief restructuring officer.
- Steven J. Fink PLLC: the Chief restructuring officer U.S. legal counsel.
- Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP: the Debtor's legal counsel.
- Stikeman Elliott LLP: the Co-Applicants' Canadian legal counsel.
- Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP: the Co-Applicants' U.S. legal counsel.
- Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC: the Notification officer.
- NERA: the asbestos liability & risk experts.
- Oxaro Inc.: Developer – Online Claims Submission Platform and call centre.

SCHEDULE O

SCHEDULE O

FORECASTED PROFESSIONAL FEES

(In USD - unaudited)	April 2026	May 2026	June 2026	July 2026	Aug. 2026	Sept. 2026	Total
Professionals							
McCarthy Tetrault LLP	400	750	750	750	750	750	**4 150**
Raymond Chabot inc.	500	500	750	750	750	750	**4 000**
Stikeman Elliott LLP	400	650	650	650	650	650	**3 650**
Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP	300	500	500	500	500	500	**2 800**
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP	90	90	90	90	500	500	**1 360**
Orrick LLP	250	400	300	350	800	1 000	**3 100**
	1 940	2 890	3 040	3 090	3 950	4 150	**19 060**
Other consultants							
Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC	200	200	200	200	200	200	**1 200**
Oxaro	100	200	200	200	200	100	**1 000**
LTL Advisory LLC	100	100	100	100	100	100	**600**
ACL - Expense reimbursement	30	30	30	30	30	30	**180**
NERA	350	300	300	250	250	250	**1 700**
Steven J. Fink PLLC	5	5	5	5	5	5	**30**
	785	835	835	785	785	685	**4 710**
	2 725	**3 725**	**3 875**	**3 875**	**4 735**	**4 835**	**23 770**
Applicable taxes	195	292	329	329	329	314	1 790
Total	**2 920**	**4 017**	**4 204**	**4 204**	**5 064**	**5 149**	**25 560**

The professionals and consultants have prepared their estimate based on the following general assumptions.

- The continuation of the CCAA Proceedings and the related Chapter 15 proceedings;
- The court hearing relating to the proposed Claims Review and Adjudication Orders, including contested matters raised by the CCAA Opposition Parties;
- Continued implementation and administration of the Claims Process, including claim intake (online portal, email, mail and fax) and claimant support through the toll-free call center and dedicated mailbox;
- The preparation and implementation of the claims review and adjudication process;
- The ongoing administration and reporting under the CCAA proceedings, including monitoring of operations and financial reporting, updated cash flow forecasting, and reporting required under the Interim Financing Facility;
- The Chapter 15 proceedings, including work related to contested matters and continuing steps associated with the pending appeal process following the Recognition Order and the Recognition of the Claims Bar Date & Claims Review and Adjudication Order.

EXHIBIT B

Order Approving Claims Review and Adjudication Procedures with Respect to Non-Asbestos Claims

SUPERIOR COURT

(COMMERCIAL DIVISION)

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUEBEC
DISTRICT FRONTENAC
OF

N° : 235-11-000008-259

DATE : May 12, 2026

PRESIDING : THE HONOURABLE JEAN-FRANÇOIS ÉMOND, J.S.C.

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C., 1985, C. C-36, OF:

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED

Debtor/Co-Applicant

and

CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON

and

TENECOM LIMITED

and

THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

and

NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED

and

THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

CLMI/Co-Applicants

and

RAYMOND CHABOT INC.

Monitor

NON-ASBESTOS CLAIMS REVIEW AND ADJUDICATION ORDER
(SECTIONS 9, 11 AND FOLLOWING OF
THE *COMPANIES CREDITORS' ARRANGEMENT ACT*, (R.S.C. 1985, C. C-36)

---

[1] **ON READING** the Application for the Issuance of (i) an Asbestos Claims Review Adjudication Order, (ii) a Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, and (iii) an Order Extending the Stay Period dated May 4, 2026 (the **"Application**") filed pursuant to the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36 (the **"CCAA**"), the sworn statement and the exhibits filed in support thereof;

[2] **CONSIDERING** the notification of the Application;

[3] **CONSIDERING** the Orders (I) Establishing a Bar Date for Existing Non-Asbestos Claims, (II) Approving the Non-Asbestos Claim Proof of Claim Form, and (III) Approving Notice to Claimants issued by this Court on December 12, 2025;

[4] **CONSIDERING** the issuance by the Monitor of the Monitor's Bar Date Certificate on March 6, 2026;

[5] **CONSIDERING** the submissions of counsel and the testimony of the witnesses heard; and

[6] **CONSIDERING** the provisions of the CCAA;

FOR THESE REASONS, THE COURT:

[7] **GRANTS** the Application;

[8] **DECLARES** that the service and the notice given for the presentation of the Application are proper and sufficient;

[9] **ORDERS** that any prior delay for the presentation of this Application is hereby abridged and validated so that this Application is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof;

[10] **PERMITS** service of the present *Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order* (the "Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order") at any time and place and by any means whatsoever;

[11] **ISSUE**S this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order divided under the following headings:

- Definitions;
- Review and Determination of Non-Asbestos Claims;
- Claims Officer;
- Notices and Communications;
- Aid and Assistance of Other Courts;
- General Provisions;

Definitions

[12] **ORDERS** and **DECLARES** that capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have, unless otherwise indicated, the following meanings ascribed thereto:

(a) "**Appeal Application**" means a written application that may be delivered after a Claimant has received a Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance in order to dispute such Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance and set out, in detail, the grounds of dispute from a Notice of Determination or Disallowance, and which Appeal Application shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as **Schedule "C"**;

(b) "**Claims Bar Date**" means 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) on September 10, 2026, as established by the Non-Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order and by the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate, with respect to Non-Asbestos Claims and Claims against Directors and Officers. Excluded Claims are not subject to the Non-Asbestos Claims Bar Date;

(c) "**Business Day**" means a day, other than Saturday, Sunday, or a holiday (as defined in article 61 of the *Interpretation Act*, R.S.Q., c. I-16, as amended), or a day on which commercial banks are required or authorized to close in Québec City, Québec or New York City, New York;

(d) "**CCAA**" means the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended;

(e) "**CCAA Proceedings**" means the proceedings in respect of the Debtor before the Court commenced pursuant to the CCAA in court file number 235-11-000008-259;

(f) "**Claim**" means any and all direct or indirect claims, demands, debts, liabilities, actions, right of recovery, right to payment, causes of actions, suits, damages, equitable remedies, obligations, proceedings or remedies of any kind whatsoever, whether at law or in equity, whether or not reduced to judgment, whether asserted or unasserted, contingent, matured or unmatured, liquidated or unliquidated, based on contribution, indemnification, subrogation, reimbursement, alter ego, veil piercing, vicarious liability, or otherwise;

(g) "**Claim against Directors and Officers**" means a claim as defined in paragraph 11.03(1) of the CCAA against the Directors and Officers as well as any Non-Asbestos Claim for which one or more of the Directors and Officers are or could be held liable in whole or in part; provided, however, that in no case shall a Claim against Directors and Officers include an Excluded Claim;

(h) "**Claimant**" means any Person having validly submitted a Proof of Claim pursuant to the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, and includes, without limitation, the estate, heirs, beneficiaries, successors, assigns, subrogees, insurers, indemnitors, or representatives of such Person, as well as any trustee, interim receiver, receiver, receiver and manager, liquidator, administrator, monitor, or other Person, having

validly submitted a Proof of Claim pursuant to the Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order on behalf of, or in the name or right of, such Person;

(i) "**Claims Officer(s)**" means any individual(s) appointed as claims officer(s) pursuant to the present Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, and which may include any person considered to be qualified by the Monitor and the Co-Applicants;

(j) "**CLMI**" means Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London, and Tenecom Limited (as successor to Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company, formerly known as Accident & Casualty Insurance Company of Winterthur, Switzerland, and to Yasuda Fire and Marine Insurance Company (UK) Limited and now known as Tenecom Ltd.), The Ocean Marine Insurance Company (as successor to liabilities of Commercial Union Assurance Company Limited, The Edinburgh Assurance Company, The Indemnity Marine Assurance Company Limited, The Northern Assurance Company Limited, The Road Transport & General Insurance Company Limited, United Scottish Insurance Company Limited, and The Victoria Insurance Company Limited), NRG Victory Reinsurance Limited, as successor to liabilities of New London Reinsurance Company Limited, and The Scottish Lion Insurance Company Limited;

(k) "**Co-Applicants**" means the Debtor and CLMI;

(l) "**Court**" means the Superior Court of Quebec (Commercial Division);

(m) "**Debtor**" means Asbestos Corporation Limited;

(n) "**Determination Date**" means May 6, 2025;

(o) "**Directors and Officers**" means any of the present or former de jure or de facto directors or officers of the Debtor as well as any other individuals legally entitled to administer the affairs of the Debtor;

(p) "**Dispute Package**" means, with respect to any Disputed Non-Asbestos Claim, a copy of the related Non-Asbestos Proof of Claim, a copy of the Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance, and a copy of the Appeal Application;

(q) "**Disputed Non-Asbestos Claim**" means a Non-Asbestos Claim and/or a Claim against Directors and Officers for which a Non-Asbestos Proof of Claim was filed and for which an Appeal Application has been issued within the prescribed time period to do so after the Monitor has sent the Claimant a Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance;

(r) "**Excluded Claim**" means (i) the claims of the beneficiaries of the Administration Charge (as defined in the Initial Order) provided for in the Initial Order; (ii) any Claim and/or Claim against Directors and Officers in connection with any indebtedness or obligation of any kind which came into existence after the Determination Date and any interest thereon; (iii) any Existing Asbestos Claim; and (iv) any Future Asbestos Claim;

(s) "**Existing Asbestos Claim**" means any Claim against the Debtor or General Dynamics, and/or any of their respective predecessors, current or former employees, directors, officers, agents, representatives, assigns, or any of their respective Insurers (as defined below), that (i) has been advanced (including, without limitation, in any outstanding or pending litigation), that could have been advanced or that could be advanced by, on behalf of, or based on actual or alleged harm to, any Person that has been diagnosed with symptoms or injury as of March 6, 2026 (being the date of the Monitor's Bar Date Certificate), and (ii) arises from, is based upon, is related to, or is in any way connected, directly or indirectly to, exposure to asbestos or an asbestos-containing product which was at any time prior to May 6, 2025 (being the Determination Date), distributed, supplied, or sold, or otherwise placed into the stream of commerce, by the Debtor or any of its predecessors or affiliates. For greater certainty, "Existing Asbestos Claim" includes, without limitation, any Claim for personal injury, sickness, disease, death, wrongful death, fear of disease or other injury, medical monitoring, medical expenses, loss of consortium, loss of support, lost wages, lost household service, economic or business loss, indemnity, contribution, reimbursement, subrogation, property damage, punitive or exemplary damages, statutory or regulatory relief, equitable relief, or any other form of damages or remedy whatsoever, provided, however, that an Existing Asbestos Claim shall not include any Future Asbestos Claim;

(t) "**Future Asbestos Claim**" means any Claim of a Person that would otherwise qualify as an Existing Asbestos Claim but for the fact that such Person had not been diagnosed with symptoms or injury as of March 6, 2026;

(u) "**Insurers**" means CLMI and all other insurers of ACL, including, without limitation, those insurers listed in **Schedule "A"** to this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order;

(v) "**Monitor**" means Raymond Chabot Inc., acting in its capacity as Monitor in the CCAA Proceedings;

(w) "**Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate**" means the Monitor's Claims Bar Date Certificate issued by the Monitor on March 6, 2026, in accordance with the Non-Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, establishing, namely, the Claims Bar Date;

(x) "**Non-Asbestos Claim**" means any Claim against the Debtor and/or any of its predecessors, current or former employees, agents, representatives, assigns, which is based in whole or in part on facts existing prior to the Determination Date, or which would have been claims provable in bankruptcy had the Debtor become bankrupt on the Determination Date, and, without limitation, shall include: (i) the right or ability of any Person to advance a claim for contribution, indemnity or otherwise with respect to any matter, action or cause, which indebtedness, liability or obligation is based in whole or in part on facts existing as at the Determination Date; (ii) any Equity Claim; (iii) any Unaffected Claim; or (iv) any claim which would constitute a claim under the CCAA as at the Determination Date; provided, however, that in no case shall a Non-Asbestos Claim include an Excluded Claim;

(y) "**Non-Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order**" means the Order (I) Establishing a Bar Date for Existing Non-Asbestos Claims, (II) Approving the Non-Asbestos Proof of Claim Form, and (III) Approving Notice to Claimants rendered by the Court on December 12, 2025;

(z) "**Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance**" means the notice referred to in paragraph [13] hereof, issued by the Monitor to advise a Claimant that the Monitor has revised or rejected all or part of its Non-Asbestos Claim and/or Claim against Directors and Officers, as set out in its Non-Asbestos Proof of Claim, and setting out the reasons for such revision or disallowance, which notice shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as **Schedule "B"**;

(aa) "**Person**" means any individual, corporation, limited or unlimited liability company, general or limited partnership, association, trust, unincorporated organization without legal personality, joint venture, governmental body or agency, or any other entity or natural person;

(bb) "**Non-Asbestos Proof of Claim**" means the form of proof of claim approved by the Court in the Non-Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, and validly submitted by a Claimant before the Claims Bar Date in accordance with the provisions of the Non-Asbestos Claims Bar Date Order, or otherwise accepted for filing pursuant to further order of the Court; and

(cc) "**Proven Non-Asbestos Claim**" means the monetary amount of an Non-Asbestos Claim which has been finally determined as a valid Non-Asbestos Claim for voting and distribution purposes in accordance with this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order and any future order of the Court relating to voting or distribution to the holders of Proven Non-Asbestos Claims;

Review and Determination of Claims

[13] **ORDERS** that the following procedure shall apply where a Claimant submits a Non-Asbestos Claim or a Claim Against Directors and Officers Proof of Claim before the Non-Asbestos Claims Bar Date:

(a) the Monitor, in consultation with the Co-Applicants, shall review each Non-Asbestos Claim Proof of Claim and/or Claim Against Directors and Officers and all supporting materials to value the amounts and terms set out therein for voting and distribution purposes;

(b) the Monitor shall send the Claimant, and/or its legal counsel, as well as the Co-Applicants and their legal counsel, a Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance in accordance with paragraph [24] of the present Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order;

(c) a Claimant or a Co-Applicant who receives a Non-Asbestos Notice of Determination or Disallowance personally or through its legal counsel and wishes to dispute the Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance, shall, within thirty

(30) calendar days of issuance of the Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance, and in accordance with paragraph [26] of the present Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, serve a copy of an Appeal Application to the Monitor and the Claimants or Co-Applicants, as applicable;

(d) if (i) an Appeal Application is not served on the Monitor and the Co-Applicants, within the time period provided for in paragraph (c) above, the Claimant and the Co-Applicants shall be deemed to have accepted the determination of the Non-Asbestos Claim Proof of Claim or the Claim Against Directors and Officers Proof of Claim as set out in the Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance;

(e) upon being served with an Appeal Application within the prescribed time period, the Monitor may, in its discretion, (i) seek to resolve the Appeal Application consensually, or (ii) notify the Claimant and the Co-Applicants that the adjudication of the Appeal Application and Disputed Non-Asbestos Claim will proceed either before a Claims Officer or the Court;

(f) in accordance with paragraph [13](e), if the Monitor determines that the Appeal Application and the Disputed Non-Asbestos Claim are to be adjudicated before a Claims Officer, the Monitor shall deliver a Dispute Package to the Claims Officer;

(g) in accordance with paragraph [13](e), if the Monitor determines that the Appeal Application and the Disputed Non-Asbestos Claim are adjudicated before the Court, the Monitor shall file a Dispute Package to the Court; and

(h) where a Claimant or a Co-Applicant files an Appeal Application or where a Claimant, the Monitor or the Debtor appeal a determination made by a Claims Officer in respect of a Claims Application, the appeal shall be treated as a true appeal on the record and not an appeal *de novo*;

Claims Officer

[14] **ORDERS** that the Monitor shall have the power and authority to appoint from time to time, in consultation with the Co-Applicants, one or more individuals to act as a Claims Officer for the purpose of this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order under such terms as may be agreed between the Monitor and the Claims Officer, including with regards to the Claims Officer's reasonable remuneration;

[15] **ORDERS** that if the Monitor elects to appoint a Claims Officer(s), it shall notify the Court and the Service List and seek Court approval of the proposed appointment;

[16] **ORDERS** that upon receipt of a Dispute Package, the Claims Officer shall schedule and conduct a hearing to resolve the Disputed Non-Asbestos Claim and shall, as soon as practicable thereafter, notify the Co-Applicants, the Monitor and the Claimant of his or her determination, which determination shall be rendered in writing and shall include reasons;

[17] **ORDERS** that any Claims Officer shall be authorized and empowered to determine any substantive or procedural matter in connection with the adjudication of any Appeal Application

referred to the Claims Officer in accordance with this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order;

[18] **ORDERS** that any hearing before a Claims Officer may be conducted by videoconference or in person, as determined by the Claims Officer having regard to the interests of justice, proportionality and procedural efficiency;

[19] **ORDERS** that where a Claimant, the Monitor or the Co-Applicants fail to comply with any direction of the Claims Officer or fail to attend a hearing after due notice, the Claims Officer may proceed in the absence of that party and make such determination as the Claims Officer considers just on the basis of the record before him or her;

[20] **ORDERS** that no Claims Officer shall incur any liability or obligation as a result of his or her appointment or the carrying out of the provisions of this Order, save and except for intentional or gross fault or bad faith;

[21] **ORDERS** that a Claims Officer may, with the prior written consent of the applicable Claimant, the Monitor and the Co-Applicants, act as a mediator in respect of any Appeal Application without thereby being disqualified from subsequently adjudicating upon such Appeal Application. All communications, documents, submissions and information exchanged, disclosed or made in the course of any such mediation shall be confidential, without prejudice and subject to settlement privilege, shall be kept separate from the adjudicative record relating to the applicable Appeal Application, and shall not be disclosed to or relied upon by the Court or admitted into evidence in any subsequent adjudication or appeal, except to the extent necessary to enforce any settlement reached through such mediation;

[22] **ORDERS** that a Claims Officer's determination adjudicating an Appeal Application will be final and binding, subject to a right to appeal to the Court by the applicable Claimant, the Monitor and/or the Co-Applicants through filing an application to the Court within twenty-one (21) calendar days after notification of the Claims Officer's determination to the applicable Claimant, the Monitor and/or the Co-Applicants, and served on the Co-Applicants, the Monitor and/or the applicable Claimant, as the case may be. The right to appeal a Claims Officer's determination to the Court shall be limited to questions of law or palpable and overriding error of fact, or to determine whether the Claims Officer exceeded his or her jurisdiction or power, or the procedure provided by this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order was not followed or the Claims' Officer's determination violates public order;

[23] **ORDERS** that if an appeal to the Court is not filed within the time period outlined in paragraph [22], then the Claims Officer's determination shall, be deemed to be final and binding on the Co-Applicants, the Monitor or the Claimant, as applicable, and there shall be no further right of appeal, review or recourse to the Court from the Claims Officer's final determination of a Disputed Non-Asbestos Claim;

Notices and Communications

[24] **ORDERS** that except as otherwise specified herein, any document sent by the Monitor or the Co-Applicants pursuant to this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order may be sent by email (where permitted), ordinary mail, registered mail or courier. A Claimant shall be deemed to have received any document sent pursuant to this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and

Adjudication Order five (5) Business Days after the document is sent by ordinary mail or registered mail and one (1) Business Day after the document is sent by courier or e-mail. Documents shall not be sent by ordinary or registered mail during a postal strike or work stoppage of general application;

[25] **ORDERS** that the Monitor shall not be required to send any Claimant a confirmation of receipt by the Monitor of any document provided by a Claimant pursuant to this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order and each Claimant shall be responsible for obtaining proof of delivery, if they so require, through their choice of delivery method;

[26] **ORDERS** that any notice, service or other communication to be given under this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order by a Claimant to the Monitor or the Co-Applicants, as applicable, shall be in writing in substantially the form provided for in this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order and will be sufficiently given, or validly filed, only if submitted through one of the following:

a) **If to the Co-Applicants**:

With a copy to:

Asbestos Corporation Limited
C/o Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP
800, rue du Square-Victoria
Suite 3500
Montréal, Québec H3C 0B4

By email to: Me Luc Beliveau (lbeliveau@fasken.com)
By email to: Me Marc-André Morin (mamorin@fasken.com)
By email to : Me Éliane Dupéré-Tremblay (edtremblay@fasken.com)

And with a copy to:

CLMI
C/o Stikeman Elliott LLP
1155, boul. René-Lévesque West
Suite 4100
Montréal, Québec H3B 3V2

By email to: Me Guy P. Martel (gmartel@stikeman.com)
By email to: Me Nathalie Nouvet (nnouvet@stikeman.com)

b) **If to the Monitor**:

In Canada:

Raymond Chabot Inc.
Attention: Asbestos Corporation Limited
National Bank Tower
600 De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite 2000

Montréal, Québec H3B 4L8

In the U.S.:

Grant Thornton NYC
Attention: Asbestos Corporation Limited
757 Third Ave
9th Floor
New York, NY 10017

By email to: asbestoscorp@rcgt.com

And with a copy to:

McCarthy Tétrault LLP
1000, rue De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite MZ400
Montréal, Québec H3B 0A2

By email to: Me Alain Tardif (atardif@mccarthy.ca)
By email to: Me Jocelyn Perreault (jperreault@mccarthy.ca)
By email to: Me Frédérique Drainville (fdrainville@mccarthy.ca)

Aid and Assistance of Other Courts

[27] **REQUESTS** the aid and recognition of any court or any judicial, regulatory or administrative body in any province or territory of Canada and any judicial, regulatory or administrative tribunal or other court constituted pursuant to the Parliament of Canada or the legislature of any province or any court or any judicial, regulatory or administrative body within the United States and of any other nation or state to act in aid of and to be complementary to this Court in carrying out the terms of this Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order;

General Provisions

[28] **ORDERS** that the Monitor shall incur no liability or obligation whatsoever arising from or out of in whole or in part any act, omission, duty, responsibility, obligation, dealing or other occurrence in any way connecting to its actions as an officer of the Court carrying out its mandate in the CCAA Proceeding, including without limitation carrying out the provisions of this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order. The Monitor shall have all of the protections given to it by the CCAA and any other applicable legislation, including pursuant to any other orders the Court has issued or will issue in this CCAA Proceedings;

[29] **ORDERS** that references in this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order to the singular include the plural, to the plural include the singular and to any gender include the other gender, as necessary and applicable;

[30] **ORDERS** that the Monitor may apply to this Court for advice and direction in connection with the discharge or variation of its powers and duties under this Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order;

[31] **ORDERS** that the Monitor may make any amendments or modifications that are not material to the Schedules without seeking approval of this Court;

[32] **ORDERS** that the Monitor is authorized and empowered to exercise all its powers hereunder;

[33] **THE WHOLE without costs.**

JEAN-FRANÇOIS ÉMOND, J.S.C.

Date of hearing : May 11, 2026

SCHEDULE A

London Market Companies

1. ACCREDITED INSURANCE (EUROPE) LIMITED (AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO BALTICA-SKANDINAVIA INSURANCE COMPANY)

2. AGEAS INSURANCE LIMITED

3. AGF INTERNATIONAL

4. AMERICAN HOME ASSURANCE COMPANY

5. ARGONAUT NORTHWEST INSURANCE COMPANY

6. ASSICURAZIONI GENERALI S.P.A. UK BRANCH

7. AXA BELGIUM S.A.

8. AXA GLOBAL RISKS PREVIOUSLY AVIA FRANCE

9. AXA INSURANCE PLC

10. AXA INSURANCE UK PLC

11. AXA LIABILITIES MANAGERS UK

12. BALOISE INSURANCE LIMITED, BOTHNIA INTERNATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD,LA PRESERVATRICE C.A. D'ASSURANCES A.I.R.D.

13. BRITAMCO POOL

14. BRITISH AVIATION INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

15. BRITISH RESERVE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

16. CAVELLO BAY REINSURANCE LIMITED (AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO HARPER INSURANCE LTD F/K/A TUREGUM INS CO; AND AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO BRITTANY INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

17. CATALINA WORTHING INSURANCE LTD F/K/A HFPI (AS PART VII TRANSFEREE OF (EXCESS INSURANCE COMPANY LTD AND/OR LONDON & EDINBURGH INSURANCE COMPANY LTD AS SUCCESSOR TO LONDON & EDINBURGH GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD))

18. CIGNA INSURANCE COMPANY OF EUROPE

19. COMPAGNIE D'ASSURANCES MARITIMES AERIENNES ET TERRESTRES

20. COMPANHIA DE SEGUROS IMPERIO S.A.

21. DARAG DEUTSCHLAND AG, FORMERLY KNOWN AS DARAG DEUTSCHE VERSICHERUNGS-UND RUCKVERSICHERUNGS-AG, AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO AG INSURANCE SA/NV, FORMERLY KNOWN AS AG DE 1830 COMPAGNIE BELGE

22. EAGLE STAR INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

23. ECONOMIC INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED, NORWICH

24. EIFLOW INSURANCE LTD, AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO ICAROM PLC (FORMERLY THE INSURANCE CORPORATION OF IRELAND PLC)

25. GENERALI FRANCE ASSURANCES

26. GUARDIAN ROYAL EXCHANGE ASSURANCE PLC

27. HARTFORD FINANCIAL PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL LTD AS PART VII TRANSFEREE OF LONDON & EDINBURGH INS CO LTD PER THE CF&AU POOL

28. HDI GLOBAL SPECIALTY SE (FORMERLY KNOWN AS INTERNATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF HANNOVER SE)

29. HELVETIA ACCIDENT SWISS INSURANCE COMPANY, ALLIANZ SUISSE INSURANCE COMPANY (FKA HELVETIA-ACCIDENT SWISS INSURANCE COMPANY FKA GEN INS CO HELVETIA LTD)

30. HELVETIA SWISS INSURANCE COMPANY (FKA ALBA GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED), ALLIANZ SUISSE INSURANCE COMPANY (FKA HELVETIA-ACCIDENT SWISS INSURANCE COMPANY), COMPANHIA DE SEGUROS FIDELIDADE SA (FKA FIDELIDADE INSURANCE COMPANY OF LISBON)

31. INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA LIMITED

32. INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA (UK) LIMITED

33. LA PRESERVATRICE CA D'ASSURANCES A.I.R.D. PER LENNOX

34. LOMBARD CONTINENTAL INSURANCE PLC

35. LOMBARD ELIZABETHAN INSURANCE PLC

36. LOMBARD INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

37. LOMBARD INSURANCE COMPANY (UK) LIMITED

38. LONDON AVIATION INSURANCE GROUP

39. MAAS LLOYD NV SCHADEVERZEKERINGSMAATSCHAPPIJ

40. MERCANTILE INDEMNITY COMPANY LIMTIED (AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO; i) ALLIANCE ASSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED (AVIATION); ii) BRITISH FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED; iii) BRITISH LAW INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED; iv) LONDON & LANCASHIRE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED; v) NORTHERN MARITIME INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED; vi) PHOENIX ASSURANCE PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY; AND vii) ROYAL INSURANCE PLC)

41. NATIONAL CASUALTY COMPANY

42. NATIONAL CASUALTY COMPANY OF AMERICA LIMITED

43. NATIONAL CONTINENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY

44. PORTMAN INSURANCE LTD

45. QBE INSURANCE COMPANY (UK) LIMITED

46. RIVERSTONE INSURANCE (UK) LIMITED (AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO EAGLE STAR INSURANCE COMPANY LTD)

47. RIVERSTONE INSURANCE (UK) LIMITED (AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO IRON TRADES INSURANCE COMPANY LTD)

48. RIVERSTONE INSURANCE (UK) LIMITED (AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO SKANDIA UK INSURANCE PLC FOR THEIR PARTICIPATION IN THE ORION POOL)

49. RIVERSTONE INSURANCE (UK) LIMITED (AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO SPHERE DRAKE INSURANCE LTD)

50. RIVER THAMES INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED (ON ITS OWN BEHALF AND AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO; i) UNIONAMERICA INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED, WHICH IN TURN IS SUCCESSOR TO CERTAIN BUSINESS OF ST. KATHERINE INSURANCE COMPANY PLC; ii) SOUTHERN INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED; iii) SUMITOMO MARINE AND FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED; AND iv) MARLON INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED, WHICH IN TURN IS SUCCESSOR TO VESTA (UK) INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED)

51. ROYAL EXCHANGE ASSURANCE

52. SUECIA RE & MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED (FORMERLY HANSA RE & MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY (UK) LIMITED AND HANSA MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY (UK) LIMITED)

53. SWISS RE SPECIALTY (UK) LIMITED FORMERLY KNOWN AS THREADNEEDLE INSURANCE COMPANY LTD

54. THE ANGLO SAXON INSURANCE ASSOCIATION

55. THE BALOISE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

56. THE BRITISH AVIATION INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

57. THE DOMINION INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

58. THE HOME INSURANCE COMPANY

59. UMA POOL, THE WORLD MARINE & GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD, THE ROYAL SCOTTISH INSURANCE COMPANY LTD, TRENT INSURANCE COMPANY LTD

60. UNION ASSURANCE DE PARIS

61. WAIG

62. WAIG 3141/05 POOL

Other Insurers

1. EVANSTON INSURANCE COMPANY
2. EVEREST REINSURANCE COMPANY (F/K/A PRUDENTIAL REINSURANCE COMPANY)
3. FIRST STATE INSURANCE COMPANY
4. HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY
5. NEW ENGLAND REINSURANCE CORPORATION
6. THE NORTH RIVER INSURANCE COMPANY
7. TIG INSURANCE COMPANY (SUCCESSOR BY MERGERS TO INTERNATIONAL SURPLUS LINES INSURANCE COMPANY, INTERNATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY AND MT. MCKINLEY INSURANCE (F/K/A GIBRALTAR CASUALTY COMPANY))
8. UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY

SCHEDULE B
NON-ASBESTOS NOTICE OF REVISION OR DISALLOWANCE

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT OF FRONTENAC

No.: 235-11-000008-259

SUPERIOR COURT
Commercial Division

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C., 1985, C. C-36, OF:

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED

Debtor/Co-Applicant

-and-

CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON

-and-

TENECOM LIMITED

-and-

THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

-and-

NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED

-and-

THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

CLMI/Co-Applicants

-and-

RAYMOND CHABOT INC.

Monitor

NON-ASBESTOS NOTICE OF REVISION OR DISALLOWANCE OF A NON-ASBESTOS CLAIM

Particulars of Claimant

Legal Name of Claimant:	
Attention:	
Address:	
Number and Street (line 1):	

Number and Street (line 2):	
City:	
Province / State:	
Postal / Zip Code	
Country:	
Phone Number:	
Email Address:	
Reference number for this Notice:	

(the "**Claimant**")

Reference is made to the **Non-Asbestos** Claims Review and Adjudication Order issued by the Superior Court of Québec (Commercial Division) (the "**Court**") and dated **December 12,** 2026 (the "**Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order**"). All capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order.

Amount of Revision or Disallowance

In accordance with the Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, Raymond Chabot Inc., in its capacity as Monitor of the Debtor, hereby gives you notice that the Monitor, in consultation with the Co-Applicants, has reviewed the Non-Asbestos Proof of Claim and supporting evidence submitted by the Claimant and have revised or disallowed the Claimant's Non-asbestos Claim or the Claim against Directors and Officers as follows for the purpose of a distribution to be determined and approved at a later date:

CLAIM AGAINST	AS SUBMITTED		REVISED	
	Amount of Unsecured Claim	Amount of Secured Claim	Amount of Unsecured Claim	Amount of Secured Claim
ACL				
Directors and Officers of the Debtor				

Grounds for the Revision or Disallowance

The grounds for the determination of amount or disallowance of the Proof of Claim are as follows:

1) **[●]**

2) **[●]**

If you disagree with this Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance, you may dispute it by serving an Appeal Application with the Monitor and the Co-Applicants **within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of issuance of this Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance** at the following addresses:

a) **To the Co-Applicants**:

With a copy to:

Asbestos Corporation Limited
C/o Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP
800, rue du Square-Victoria
Suite 3500
Montréal, Québec H3C 0B4

By email to: Me Luc Beliveau (lbeliveau@fasken.com)
By email to: Me Marc-André Morin (mamorin@fasken.com)
By email to : Me Éliane Dupéré-Tremblay (edtremblay@fasken.com)

And with a copy to:

CLMI
C/o Stikeman Elliott LLP
1155, boul. René-Lévesque West
Suite 4100
Montréal, Québec H3B 3V2

By email to: Me Guy P. Martel (gmartel@stikeman.com)
By email to: Me Nathalie Nouvet (nnouvet@stikeman.com)

b) **To the Monitor**:

In Canada:

Raymond Chabot Inc.
Attention: Asbestos Corporation Limited
National Bank Tower
600 De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite 2000
Montréal, Québec H3B 4L8

In the U.S.:

Grant Thornton NYC
Attention: Asbestos Corporation Limited
757 Third Ave
9th Floor
New York, NY 10017

By email to: (asbestoscorp@rcgt.com)

And with a copy to:

McCarthy Tétrault LLP
1000, rue De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite MZ400
Montréal, Québec H3B 0A2

By email to: Me Alain Tardif (atardif@mccarthy.ca)

By email to: Me Jocelyn Perreault (jperreault@mccarthy.ca)
By email to : Me Frédérique Drainville (fdrainville@mccarthy.ca)

If you file an Appeal Application, the Monitor may: (i) seek to resolve the Appeal Application consensually; or (ii) notify you that the adjudication of the Appeal Application will proceed before a Claims Officer or the Court.

If you do not serve an Appeal Application to the Monitor and the Co-Applicants within the period specified above, you shall be deemed to accept the Monitor's determination as to the validity and the amount of your Non-Asbestos Claim and/or Claim against Directors and Officers, if any, as set out in this Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance, and the determinations set out in this Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance will be final and binding upon you.

If you timely file and serve an Appeal Application and your Claim is adjudicated in accordance with the Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, the Monitor and the Co-Applicants each reserve the right to file and present any motion or request, as well as any other grounds for objection, as may be appropriate.

Montréal, **[●]**, 2026.

RAYMOND CHABOT INC.
In its capacity as Monitor of the Debtor, and not in its personal capacity

*****

SCHEDULE D
FORM OF APPEAL APPLICATION

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT OF FRONTENAC

No.: 235-11-000008-259

SUPERIOR COURT
Commercial Division

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C., 1985, C. C-36, OF:

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED

Debtor/Co-Applicant

-and-

CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON

-and-

TENECOM LIMITED

-and-

THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

-and-

NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED

-and-

THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

CLMI/Co-Applicants

-and-

RAYMOND CHABOT INC.

Monitor

APPEAL APPLICATION

Particulars of Claimant

Legal Name of Claimant:	
Doing business as (if different than Legal Name):	
Legal Counsel or representative (if applicable):	
Address:	

Number and Street (line 1):	
Number and Street (line 2):	
City:	
Province / State:	
Postal / Zip Code	
Country:	
Telephone number:	
Email Address:	
Attention (Contact):	

Pursuant to the Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order issued by the Superior Court of Québec (Commercial Division) (the "**Court**") and dated [], 2026 (the "**Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order**"), I/we hereby give you notice of our intention to appeal the Non-Asbestos Notice of Revision or Disallowance bearing reference number _____ and dated _____, issued by Raymond Chabot Inc., in its capacity as Court-appointed monitor of Asbestos Corporation Limited, in respect of my/our Proof of Claim (all undefined capitalized terms herein shall have the meaning attributed to them in the Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order).

CLAIM AGAINST	AMOUNT OF CLAIM AS PER NON-ASBESTOS NOTICE OF REVISION OR DISALLOWANCE		ALLEGED AMOUNT OF DISPUTED NON-ASBESTOS CLAIM	
	Amount of Unsecured Claim	Amount of Secured Claim	Amount of Unsecured Claim	Amount of Secured Claim
ACL				
Directors and Officers of the Debtor				

Grounds for Objection – Appeal Application

(Please attach additional pages and copies of all supporting documents, if necessary):
_____ _____ _____

Dated:	
Signature of Claimant:	
(*Please print name*):	
Signature of Witness:	
(*Please print name*):	

All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning assigned thereto in the Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order.

THIS FORM AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION ARE TO BE SERVED ON THE MONITOR AND THE CO-APPLICANTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROCEDURES OUTLINED IN THE NON-ASBESTOS CLAIMS REVIEW AND ADJUDICATION ORDER AT THE ADDRESS LISTED BELOW IN ORDER TO BE RECEIVED BY BOTH THE MONITOR AND THE CO-APPLICANTS WITHIN THIRTY

(30) CALENDAR DAYS OF THE DATE OF ISSUANCE OF THE NON-ASBESTOS NOTICE OF REVISION OR DISALLOWANCE.

Claimants shall be responsible for obtaining proof of delivery of such Appeal Application through their choice of delivery method. No acknowledgement of receipt will be provided by the Monitor.

Address for service of the Appeal Application:

a) **To the Co-Applicants**:

With a copy to:

Asbestos Corporation Limited
C/o Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP
800, rue du Square-Victoria
Suite 3500
Montréal, Québec H3C 0B4

By email to: Me Luc Beliveau (lbeliveau@fasken.com)
By email to: Me Marc-André Morin (mamorin@fasken.com)
By email to : Me Éliane Dupéré-Tremblay (edtremblay@fasken.com)

And with a copy to:

CLMI
C/o Stikeman Elliott LLP
1155, boul. René-Lévesque West
Suite 4100
Montréal, Québec H3B 3V2

By email to: Me Guy P. Martel (gmartel@stikeman.com)
By email to: Me Nathalie Nouvet (nnouvet@stikeman.com)

b) **To the Monitor**:

In Canada:

Raymond Chabot Inc.
Attention: Asbestos Corporation Limited
National Bank Tower
600 De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite 2000
Montréal, Québec H3B 4L8

In the U.S.:

Grant Thornton NYC
Attention: Asbestos Corporation Limited
757 Third Ave
9th Floor
New York, NY 10017

By email to: asbestoscorp@rcgt.com

And with a copy to:

McCarthy Tétrault LLP
1000, rue De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite MZ400
Montréal, Québec H3B 0A2

By email to:	Me Alain Tardif (atardif@mccarthy.ca)
By email to:	Me Jocelyn Perreault (jperreault@mccarthy.ca)
By email to :	Me Frédérique Drainville (fdrainville@mccarthy.ca)

EXHIBIT C

Order Extending the Stay Period to September 25, 2026

SUPERIOR COURT

(COMMERCIAL DIVISION)

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUEBEC
DISTRICT OF FRONTENAC

N° : 235-11-000008-259

DATE : May 12, 2026

PRESIDING : THE HONOURABLE JEAN-FRANÇOIS ÉMOND, J.S.C.

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C., 1985, C. C-36, OF:

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED

Debtor/ Co-Applicants

and

CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON

and

TENECOM LIMITED

and

THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

and

NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED

and

THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

CLMI/ Co-Applicants

and

RAYMOND CHABOT INC.

Monitor

ORDER (A) EXTENDING THE STAY PERIOD AND (B) APPROVING THE SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE INTERIM FACILITY

---

[1] **ON READING** the Co-Applicants' *Application for the Issuance of (I) an Asbestos Claims Review Adjudication Order, (II) a Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, and (III) an Order Extending The Stay Period* dated May 4, 2026 (the "**Application**") filed in respect of Asbestos Corporation Limited ("**ACL**" or the "**Debtor**") pursuant to the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36 (the "**CCAA**"), the sworn statement and the exhibits filed in support thereof;

[2] **CONSIDERING** the Fifth Report to the Court submitted by Raymond Chabot Inc. ("**RCI**" or the "**Monitor**") in its capacity as Monitor (the "**Monitor's Report**");

[3] **CONSIDERING** the Initial Order issued by this Court on May 6, 2025 (as rectified by the Court on May 7, 2025), the Amended and Restated Initial Order issued by this Court on May 15, 2025, the Order for the Appointment of a Chief Restructuring Officer, dated June 18, 2025, and the Second Amended and Restated Initial Order issued by this Court on September 4, 2025; the Order (a) Extending the Stay Period and (B) Approving an Interim Facility and Increasing the Interim Lender's Charge issued by this Court on December 12, 2026; the Stay Extension Order issued by this Court on April 21, 2026;

[4] **CONSIDERING** the notification of the Application;

[5] **CONSIDERING** the submissions of the attorneys present at the hearing on the Application and the testimony of the witnesses heard;

[6] **CONSIDERING** the provisions of the CCAA and the fact that the Application is well founded in fact and in law;

THE COURT HEREBY:

[7] **GRANTS** the Application.

[8] **ISSUES** an order pursuant to the CCAA (the "**Order**"), divided under the following headings:

I. Service
II. Definitions
III. Provisions of the Second ARIO
IV. Effective Time
V. Extension of the Stay of Proceedings
VI. Approval of the Interim Facility and Increase in the Interim Lender's Charge
VII. General

SERVICE

[9] **DECLARES** that sufficient prior notice of the presentation of this Application has been given by the Co-Applicants to interested parties, including the secured creditors which are likely to be affected by the charges created herein, and that the Application is properly returnable today.

[10] **ORDERS** that any prior delay for the presentation of the Application is hereby abridged and validated so that the Application is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof.

[11] **PERMITS** the service of this Order at any time and place and by any means whatsoever.

DEFINITIONS

[12] **ORDERS** that all capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Second Amended and Restated Initial Order issued by the honourable Élif Oral, J.S.C., dated September 4, 2025 (the "**Second ARIO**").

PROVISIONS OF THE SECOND ARIO

[13] **ORDERS** that, unless otherwise indicated in this Order, all other provisions of the Second ARIO, as may be amended from time to time, shall remain in full force and effect in accordance with the Second ARIO.

EFFECTIVE TIME

[14] **DECLARES** that this Order and all its provisions are effective as of 12:01 a.m. Québec time, province of Québec, on May 11, 2026 (the "**Effective Time**").

EXTENSION OF THE STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

[15] **ORDERS** that paragraph 15 of the Second ARIO shall be deleted and replaced with the following:

> "[15] **ORDERS** that, until and including September 25, 2026, or such later date as the Court may order (the "**Stay Period**"), no proceeding or enforcement process directly or indirectly concerning or related to the Debtor in any court or tribunal (each, a "**Proceeding**"), including but not limited to seizures, right to distrain, executions, writs of seizure or execution, judicial or extrajudicial right of resolution or resiliation, right of set-off or compensation of any and all claims, actions, applications, arbitration proceedings and other lawsuits against the Debtor, General Dynamics Corporation, or otherwise against CLMI[1], including their third-

[1] In accordance with the Application, "**CLMI**" is defined to include the following entities: Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London, and Tenecom Limited (as successor to Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company, formerly known as Accident & Casualty Insurance Company of Winterthur, Switzerland, and to Yasuda Fire and

party claims administrator Resolute Management Inc., and any insurers, including those insurers listed in Schedule A attached to this Order, and any other third-party claims administrators, in each case, in connection with or related to, directly or indirectly, the Debtor (collectively, the "**Stay Parties**" which definition includes, for greater certainty, counsel to the Stay Parties both in Canada and in the United States, whether such Proceedings involve the Stay Parties individually or with other Persons (as defined below)), shall be commenced or continued against or in respect of the Stay Parties, or affecting any of the Stay Parties' business operations and activities (the "**Business**") or any of the Property (as defined herein below), including as provided in paragraph [23] herein except with leave of this Court. Any and all Proceedings currently under way against or in respect of the Stay Parties or affecting the Business or the Property are hereby stayed and suspended pending further order of this Court, the whole subject to Section 11.1 of the CCAA. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Proceedings shall include all proceedings commenced or filed in Canada or in the United States of America or elsewhere or that may be commenced or filed against, *inter alia,* the Stay Parties, such as the Washington Proceeding, the South Carolina Proceeding, the California Proceeding or any other Proceedings, wherever instituted against the Stay Parties, that involve asbestos-related bodily injuries, or the marshalling or administration of insurance assets (including the London Policies and the policies issued by the insurers of ACL) of ACL (the "**Asbestos Proceedings**")."

APPROVAL OF THE SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE INTERIM FACILITY

[16] **APPROVES** the Second Amending Agreement to the Interim Financing Loan Agreement, Exhibit R-9 filed in support of the Application (the "**Second Amended Interim Financing Term Sheet**").

[17] **AUTHORIZES** the Debtor to sign any and all documents and take any necessary action to execute the Second Amended Interim Financing Term Sheet.

GENERAL

[18] **APPROVES** the activities of the Monitor, as described in the Monitor's Report and in his testimony at the hearing.

[19] **DECLARES** that this Order and any proceeding or sworn statement leading to this Order, shall not, in and of themselves, constitute a default or failure to comply by the Debtor under any statute, regulation, licence, permit, contract, permission, covenant, agreement, undertaking or other written document or requirement.

Marine Insurance Company (UK) Limited and now known as Tenecom Ltd.), The Ocean Marine Insurance Company (as successor to liabilities of Commercial Union Assurance Company Limited, The Edinburgh Assurance Company, The Indemnity Marine Assurance Company Limited, The Northern Assurance Company Limited, The Road Transport & General Insurance Company Limited, United Scottish Insurance Company Limited, and The Victoria Insurance Company Limited), NRG Victory Reinsurance Limited, as successor to liabilities of New London Reinsurance Company Limited, and The Scottish Lion Insurance Company Limited.

[20] **DECLARES** that the Debtor, CLMI and the Monitor may, from time to time, apply to this Court for directions concerning the exercise of their respective powers, duties and rights hereunder or in respect of the proper execution of this Order on notice only to each other.

[21] **DECLARES** that this Order and all other orders in these proceedings shall have full force and effect in all provinces and territories in Canada.

[22] **AUTHORIZES** the Debtor, CLMI or the Monitor to apply as they may consider necessary or desirable, with or without notice, to any other court or administrative body, whether in Canada, the United States of America, or elsewhere, for orders which aid and complement this Order and any subsequent orders of this Court, including, without limitation to the foregoing, an order under Chapter 15 of the *U.S. Bankruptcy Code*. All courts and administrative bodies of all such jurisdictions are hereby respectively requested to make such orders and to provide such assistance to the Debtor, CLMI, the Monitor and the Foreign Representative as may be deemed necessary or appropriate for that purpose.

[23] **REQUESTS** the aid and recognition of any Court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body in Canada or elsewhere, to give effect to this Order and to assist CLMI, the Debtor, the Monitor, and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order. All Courts, tribunals, regulatory and administrative bodies are hereby requested to make such orders and to provide such assistance to CLMI, the Debtor, and the Monitor as may be necessary or desirable to give effect to this Order, to assist CLMI, the Debtor, and the Monitor, and to act in aid of and to be complementary to this Court, in carrying out the terms of this Order.

[24] **ORDERS** the provisional execution of this Order notwithstanding any appeal and without security.

[25] **THE WHOLE WITHOUT COSTS.**

JEAN-FRANÇOIS ÉMOND, J.S.C.

EXHIBIT D

May 11, 2026 Hearing Minutes

ASSOCIATION OF TRANSLATORS AND INTERPRETERS OF ONTARIO
ATIO
ASSOCIATION DES TRADUCTEURS ET INTERPRÈTES DE L'ONTARIO

Translator's Declaration

I, the undersigned, Denys Mel, Certified Translator from French to English, member in good standing of the Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario, which is a member society of the Canadian Translators, Terminologists, Interpreters Council (CTTIC), hereby declare that I did translate into English the attached French document(s) and that it is, to the best of my knowledge, a true and accurate translation of the French source document(s).

Disclaimer: This statement is to certify the accuracy of the translation only. I do not make any claims or guarantees about the authenticity or content of the original document. Furthermore, I do not assume any liability for the way in which the translation is used by the customer or any third party, including end users of the translation.

List of translated document(s):
2026-05-11 - Procès-verbal(151143717.1)

May 28, 2026

This translation has been digitally signed. To verify the authenticity of this document, visit https://verify.atio.on.ca OR scan the QR code.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 1 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

C A N A D A
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 11, 2026

RECORDING

START: **09:02**
END: **16:30**

COURT: **Superior** DIVISION: **Commercial** STEP: **Procedural** COURTROOM: **3.42**

BEFORE **THE HONOURABLE JEAN-FRANÇOIS ÉMOND, j.c.s. (JE 0144)**

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT AND COMPROMISE OF:

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED	DEBTOR/ CO-APPLICANT
CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON **TENECOM LIMITED** **THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED** **NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED** **THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LTD.**	CO-APPLICANTS
CHARLES FORMAN, as trustee for THE DELAWARE BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF NATIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC. *ET ALS.*	ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS
RAYMOND CHABOT INC.	MONITOR

CLMI/CO-APPLICANTS	**Me Guy Martel** **Me Pierre-Paul Daunais** **Me Nathalie Nouvet** **Me Melis Celikaksoy**
☒ Appearances	*Stikeman Elliott s.e.n.c.r.l., s.r.l.*
DEBTOR/CO-APPLICANTS	**Me Luc Béliveau** **Me Marc-André Morin** **Me Jocelyn Perreault** **Me Éliane Dupéré-Tremblay**
☒ Appearances	*Fasken Martineau DuMoulin SENCRL, s.r.l.*
MONITOR ☒ Appearances	**Me Alain Tardif** **Me Jocelyn Perreault** **Me Frédérique Drainville** **Me Maud St-George** *McCarthy Tétrault s.e.n.c.r.l., s.r.l.*
ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS (Charles Forman, trustee for Delaware Bankruptcy Estate of National Service Industry, Dean Omar Branham Shirley LLP, Brayton Purcell LLP, Zamler, Shiffman & Karfis, P.C.)	**Me Christian Lachance** **Me Marc-André Boutin** **Me William Rodier-Dumais** **Me Emmanuelle Lanctôt**
☒ Appearances	*Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg s.e.n.c.r.l, s.r.l*

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 2 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 11, 2026

IMPLEADED PARTY (Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's) ☒ Appearances	**Me Roger P. Simard** *Dentons Canada LLP*
IMPLEADED PARTY (General Dynamics Corporation) ☒ Appearances	**Me Guy Sébastien** **Me Youssef Kabbaj** *Blakes, Cassels & Graydon s.e.n.c.r.l.*
CO-APPLICANTS (Accredited Insurance (Europe) Limited, Insurance Company *et al.*) ☒ Appearances	**Me Noah Zucker** *Norton Rose Fulbright Canada S.E.N.C.R.L.,s.r.l.*
CAUSE OF ACTION:	▪ **Motion for continuance** ▪ **Motion to dismiss the expert report by Dr. Stephanie Plancich, Ph.D.** ▪ **Application for the issuance of (i) Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, (ii) Non Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, and (iii) Order Extending the Stay Period**
COURT CLERK:	Marie-Josée Côté (TC 12874)

IN COURTROOM
Day 1

09 :02	Call of the case and appearances of the attorneys.
	Administrative matters
09 :04	Preliminary statements by Me Luc Béliveau.
09 :07	Preliminary statements by Me Marc-André Boutin.
	Exchange between Me Boutin, Me Béliveau, and the Court.
	1. Regarding the motion for continuance and the motion to dismiss the expert report by Dr. Stephanie Plancich
09 :24	Statements by Me Melis Celikaksoy.
09 :30	Statements by Me Alain Tardif.
09 :38	Exchange between Me Tardif and the Court.
09 :42	Additional statements by Me Marc-André Boutin. Exchange between Me Boutin and the Court.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 3 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 11, 2026

09 :50	Statements by M^e^ Christian Lachance.
09 :52	Additional statements by M^e^ Pierre-Paul Daunais.
09 :54	***Adjournment of the hearing***
10 :24	***Hearing resumes***
	JUDGMENT **(Regarding the motion for continuance and the motion to dismiss the expert report by Dr. Stephanie Plancich, Ph.D.)**
10 :24	*The full text of this judgment is attached to this record.*
10 :36	Statements by M^e^ Pierre-Paul Daunais and by M^e^ Christian Lachance.
10 :37	Statements by M^e^ Alain Tardif. M^e^ Christian Lachance requests a brief recess.
10 :39	***Adjournment of the hearing***
10 :57	***Hearing resumes***
	PROOF FROM THE MONITOR
10 :59	**Witness:** **Mr Jean Gagnon, Monitor** 600, rue de la Gauchetière Ouest Montréal (Québec) **The witness is duly sworn.**
Exhibit admitted 10 :59	**Exhibit R-10**: Fifth Report of the Monitor - May 4th, 2026.
	Examined by M^e^ Alain Tardif. Appendix F of the Monitor's Report No. 2 is filed with the Court's records.
11 :25	***Adjournment of the hearing***
11 :40	***Hearing resumes***
11 :40	**Continuation of the examination** by M^e^ Alain Tardif.
11 :45	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
Exhibit admitted 11 :56	**Exhibit R-11:** Summary of claims as of May 8, 2026.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 4 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 11, 2026

12 :17	The Court asks Me Alain Tardif for clarification.
12 :20	Clarification by Me Christian Lachance.
12 :24	***Adjournment of the hearing***
12 :35	***Hearing resumes***
12 :35	**Administrative matters.**
	Examination of the witness suspended.
12 :42	**ADJOURNMENT OF THE HEARING**
14 :00	**HEARING RESUMES**
14 :04	**Witness:** **Dr. Stephanie Plancich** 1166-1166 Av of Americas New York, New-York **The witness is duly sworn.**
14 :05	**Examined** by Me Alain Tardif.
	The Court recognizes Dr. Stephanie Plancich as an expert in economics.
14 :11	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
14 :14	The witness's report is submitted to the Court.
14 :25	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
14 :43	In response to a question from the Court, Me Jocelyn Perreault provides clarification.
Exhibits admitted 14 :47	**Exhibit R-12**: *Under confidential cover* - Excel sheet. **Exhibit R-13**: consumer Price Index for Ali Urban Consumers
14 :49	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
14 :50	**Exhibit R-14**: Data from case evaluation forms.
15 :07	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
15 :25	The Court asks the witness for clarification regarding Table 1.
15 :32	The report by the Honorable Melanie Cyganowski (Ret.) dated May 8, 2026, is submitted to the Court.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 5 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

May 11, 2026

15 :30	The questions are asked subject to the motion to dismiss the report by expert Cyganowski.
15 :33	***Adjournment of the hearing***
15 :51	***Hearing resumes***
15 :51	**Cross-examined** by Me Christian Lachance.
Objection 15 :58	**Objection** by Me Maud St-George re: relevance.
	The objection is **SUSTAINED** by the Court.
16 :01	Argument by Me Maud St-George. The witness has already answered that question.
Objection 16 :05	**Objection** by Me Maud St-George re: relevance.
	The question is rephrased by Me Christian Lachance.
16 :11	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
16 :15	The Court asks the witness for further clarification.
16 :17	**The witness is released by the Court.**
	Administrative matters.
	Draft orders regarding "Application for the issuance of (i) Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, (ii) Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, and (iii) Order Extending the Stay Period" will be submitted via email in Word format to the judge's office.
	Exchange between the Court and the attorneys involved regarding the next steps.
	SUSPENSION OF THE PROOF FROM THE MONITOR
16 :30	Adjournment of the hearing until May 12, 2026, beginning at 9 a.m., in the same courtroom.

[SIGNATURE]

Marie-Josée Côté, Court Clerk

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 6 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

May 11, 2026

Case No. 235-11-000008-258
Appendix to the record of proceedings of May 11, 2026

JUDGMENT

(Regarding the motion for continuance and the motion to dismiss the expert report by Dr. Stephanie Plancich, Ph.D.)

[1] The parties appear before the Court today to discuss three (3) motions filed by the debtor and its insurers, namely the *Application for the Issuance of an Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order* ("**Asbestos Claims Review**"), the *Application for the Issuance of a Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order* ("**Non-Asbestos Claims Review**"), and the *Application for an Order Extending the Stay Period* ("**Stay Period Order**"). It is already established that two of these three motions are not expected to be contested, namely the Non-Asbestos Claims Review and the Stay Period Order. However, the Asbestos Claims Review is the subject of a motion for continuance filed by the Québec lawyers (Davies), who themselves represent three law firms acting on behalf of 248 potential claimants from the United States out of more than 108,000 potential claimants (0.3% of the potential claimants).

[2] To justify their motion, the opposing Creditors explain that they wish to retain the services of an expert to contest Dr. Plancich's expert report. Since this report was served on them a few days before this hearing, they are requesting that the hearing be adjourned to a later date.

[3] The opposing Creditors have also filed a motion to dismiss Dr. Plancich's expert report, arguing that it is irregular. Indeed, they contend that this expert cannot determine the value of the claims because her field of expertise does not allow her to do so.

[4] At this stage of the hearing, the Court does not intend to grant either of these motions, which it considers premature.

First, regarding the motion to adjourn the hearing, the Court notes that two of the three motions filed today are uncontested. Furthermore, it is imperative that the Court hear from the Monitor to explain what has been done since December 2025.

[5] As for the adjournment of the hearing on the Asbestos Claims Review, the Court will first hear the evidence presented in support of it, including the testimony of expert Plancich. At the conclusion of this process, it will allow the opposing Creditors, who represent 0.3% of the total 108,000 potential claimants—need we remind you—to file a motion to stay the hearing in order to present, if necessary, a counter-expert. In doing so, the Court is taking into account the principles of expediency that must apply here, in the context of proceedings under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, as well as the opposing Creditors' right to assert their position and explain how the expert opinion they wish to present will be useful and relevant, provided that this does not prejudice the vast majority of potential claimants, namely 99.7% of them. In this way, the opposing Claimants will not be able to complain of a violation of their right to be heard.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 7 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 11, 2026

[6] As for the motion to dismiss Dr. Plancich's report, it will simply be rejected on the grounds set forth by the undersigned judge in the judgment *Raymond Chabot Grant Thornton* c. *Directeur général des élections du Québec.*[1]

[7] More specifically, the Court refers to the following passages:

> [16] [...] Article 241 of the *Code of Civil Procedure* has already given rise to extensive case law since the new *Code of Civil Procedure* came into force. A review of this case law reveals that on several occasions, the courts have expressed some reluctance to dismiss an expert report at the preliminary stage, except in clear cases of irregularity, serious error, or bias.
>
> [17] In many cases, judges have preferred to act cautiously by referring the motion to dismiss to the trial judge.
>
> [18] This has proven particularly true when the grounds for irregularity, serious error, or bias were less apparent.
>
> [19] This caution was often explained by the fact that the grounds for dismissal invoked concerned matters of probative value.
>
> [21] In short, it is safe to say that, generally speaking, judges grant motions to dismiss expert reports on grounds of irregularity prior to the pretrial hearing only in the most obvious cases. In other situations, judges do not hesitate to refer the motion for dismissal filed before the preliminary hearing to the trial judge, knowing that the latter retains final authority when it comes to assessing probative value.
>
> [26] While Articles 241 and 294 impose a duty to request the dismissal of an expert report on grounds of irregularity prior to the preliminary hearing, it cannot be concluded that a party who has not exercised this right within the prescribed time limits is precluded from invoking the grounds for dismissal at trial to challenge the probative value of the report without requesting its dismissal. This is all the more true given that the expert report may now serve in lieu of the expert's testimony. An expert report marred by an irregularity, a serious error, or bias would have little probative value at any stage of the proceedings, whether before or during the preliminary inquiry. Thus, a party will always be entitled to invoke these deficiencies to challenge the probative value of an expert report.

FOR THESE REASONS, THE COURT:

[8] **DEFERS** ruling on the opposing Creditors' motion to present a counter-expert opinion until after the Debtor and its insurers have presented their evidence;

[9] **DENIES** the motion to dismiss Dr. Stephanie Plancich's report.

[SIGNATURE]

JEAN-FRANÇOIS EMOND, j.c.s.

[1] 2018 QCCS 5697.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 8 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

11 mai 2026

ENREGISTREMENT

DÉBUT : **09 :02**
FIN : **16:30**

COUR : **Supérieure** CHAMBRE : **Commerciale** ÉTAPE : **Pratique** SALLE : **3.42**

PRÉSIDÉ PAR : **L'HONORABLE JEAN-FRANÇOIS ÉMOND, j.c.s. (JE 0144)**

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT AND COMPROMISE OF:

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED	DEBTOR/ CO-APPLICANT
CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON **TENECOM LIMITED** **THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED** **NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED** **THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LTD.**	CO-APPLICANTS
CHARLES FORMAN, as trustee for THE DELAWARE BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF NATIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC. *ET ALS.*	ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS
RAYMOND CHABOT INC.	MONITOR

CLMI/CO-APPLICANTS ☒ Présents	**Me Guy Martel** **Me Pierre-Paul Daunais** **Me Nathalie Nouvet** **Me Melis Celikaksoy** *Stikeman Elliott s.e.n.c.r.l., s.r.l.*
DEBTOR/CO-APPLICANTS ☒ Présents	**Me Luc Béliveau** **Me Marc-André Morin** **Me Jocelyn Perreault** **Me Éliane Dupéré-Tremblay** *Fasken Martineau DuMoulin SENCRL, s.r.l.*
MONITOR ☒ Présents	**Me Alain Tardif** **Me Jocelyn Perreault** **Me Frédérique Drainville** **Me Maud St-George** *McCarthy Tétrault s.e.n.c.r.l., s.r.l.*
ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS (Charles Forman, trustee for Delaware Bankruptcy Estate of National Service Industry, Dean Omar Branham Shirley LLP, Brayton Purcell LLP, Zamler, Shiffman & Karfis, P.C.) ☒ Présents	**Me Christian Lachance** **Me Marc-André Boutin** **Me William Rodier-Dumais** **Me Emmanuelle Lanctôt** *Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg s.e.n.c.r.l, s.r.l*

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 9 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

11 mai 2026

MIS EN CAUSE (Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's) ☒ Présent	**Me Roger P. Simard** *Dentons Canada LLP*
MIS EN CAUSE (General Dynamics Corporation) ☒ Présents	**Me Guy Sébastien** **Me Youssef Kabbaj** *Blakes, Cassels & Graydon s.e.n.c.r.l.*
CO-APPLICANTS (Accredited Insurance (Europe) Limited, Insurance Company *et al.*) ☒ Présent	**Me Noah Zucker** *Norton Rose Fulbright Canada S.E.N.C.R.L.,s.r.l.*
NATURE DE LA CAUSE :	▪ **Demande de remise de l'audition** ▪ **Demande en rejet du rapport d'expertise de la Dre Stephanie Plancich, Ph. D.** ▪ **Application for the issuance of (i) Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, (ii) Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, and (iii) Order Extending the Stay Period**
GREFFIÈRE-AUDIENCIÈRE :	Marie-Josée Côté (TC 12874)

EN SALLE D'AUDIENCE

Jour 1

09 :02	Appel du dossier et identification des avocats.
	<u>Questions d'intendance</u>
09 :04	Représentations préliminaires de Me Luc Béliveau.
09 :07	Représentations préliminaires de Me Marc-André Boutin.
	Échanges entre Me Boutin, Me Béliveau et le Tribunal.
	1. Sur la demande de remise de l'audition et la demande en rejet du rapport d'expertise de la Dre Stephanie Plancich
09 :24	Représentations de Me Melis Celikaksoy.
09 :30	Représentations de Me Alain Tardif.
09 :38	Échanges entre Me Tardif et le Tribunal.
09 :42	Représentations complémentaires de Me Marc-André Boutin. Échanges entre Me Boutin et le Tribunal.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 10 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

11 mai 2026

09 :50	Représentations de Me Christian Lachance.
09 :52	Représentations complémentaires de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais.
09 :54	***Suspension de l'audience***
10 :24	***Reprise de l'audience***
	JUGEMENT
	(Sur la demande de remise audition et demande en rejet du rapport d'expertise du Dre Stephanie Plancich, Ph. D.)
10 :24	*Ce jugement est joint au présent procès-verbal dans son entièreté.*
10 :36	Représentations de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais et de Me Christian Lachance.
10 :37	Représentations de Me Alain Tardif. Me Christian Lachance demande une brève suspension.
10 :39	***Suspension de l'audience***
10 :57	***Reprise de l'audience***
	PREUVE DU CONTRÔLEUR
10 :59	**Témoin :** **Monsieur Jean Gagnon, contrôleur** 600, rue de la Gauchetière Ouest Montréal (Québec) **Le témoin est dûment assermenté.**
Pièce produite 10 :59	**Pièce R-10**: Fifth Report of the Monitor – May 4th, 2026.
	Interrogé par Me Alain Tardif.
	L'annexe F du rapport N° 2 du contrôleur est déposée au dossier de la Cour.
11 :25	***Suspension de l'audience***
11 :40	***Reprise de l'audience***
11 :40	**Suite de l'interrogatoire** par Me Alain Tardif.
11 :45	Le Tribunal s'adresse au témoin pour une précision.
Pièce produite 11 :56	**Pièce R-11**: sommaire des réclamations au 8 mai 2026.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 11 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

11 mai 2026

12 :17	Le Tribunal s'adresse à Me Alain Tardif pour des précisions.
12 :20	Précision de Me Christian Lachance.
12 :24	***Suspension de l'audience***
12 :35	***Reprise de l'audience***
12 :35	**Intendance.**
	Suspension de l'interrogatoire du témoin.
12 :42	**SUSPENSION DE L'AUDIENCE**
14 :00	**REPRISE DE L'AUDIENCE**
14 :04	**Témoin** : **Dre Stephanie Plancich** 1166-1166 Av of Americas New York, New-York **La témoin est dûment assermentée.**
14 :05	**Interrogée** par Me Alain Tardif.
	Le Tribunal reconnaît Dre Stephanie Plancich comme experte en en économie.
14 :11	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour une précision.
14 :14	Le rapport de la témoin est référé au Tribunal.
14 :25	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour une précision.
14 :43	À une question du Tribunal, précision de Me Jocelyn Perreault.
Pièce produites 14 :47	**Pièce R-12** : *Sous pli confidentiel* Excell sheet. **Pièce R-13** : consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers
14 :49	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour des précisions.
14 :50	**Pièce R-14** : données des formulaire d'évaluation des dossiers.
15 :07	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour des précisions.
15 :25	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour une précision sur Table 1.
15 :32	Le rapport de l'honorable Melanie Cyganowski (Ret.) du 8 mai 2026 est référé au Tribunal.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 12 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

11 mai 2026

15 :30	Les questions sont posées sous réserve de la demande en rejet du rapport de l'experte de l'experte Cyganowski.
15 :33	***Suspension de l'audience***
15 :51	***Reprise de l'audience***
15 :51	**Contre-interrogée** par Me Christian Lachance.
Objection 15 :58	**Objection** de Me Maud St-George re : pertinence.
	L'objection est **MAINTENUE** par la Cour.
16 :01	Intervention de Me Maud St-George. La témoin a déjà répondu à cette question.
Objection 16 :05	**Objection** de Me Maud St-George re : pertinence.
	La question est reformulée par Me Christian Lachance.
16 :11	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour une précision.
16 :15	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour des précision.
16 :17	**La témoin est libérée par la Cour.**
	Intendance.
	Des projects d'ordonnance sur "Application for the issuance of (i) Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, (ii) Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, and (iii) Order Extending the Stay Period" seront communiqués via courriel en format Word au bureau du juge.
	Échanges entre le Tribunal les avocats au dossier pour la suite des choses.
	SUSPENSION DE LA PREUVE DU CONTRÔLEUR
16 :30	Ajournement de l'audience au 12 mai 2026, à compter de 9 h, à la même salle.

Marie-Josée Côté

Marie-Josée Côté, greffière-audiencière

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 13 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

11 mai 2026

Dossier No 235-11-000008-258
Annexe au procès-verbal du 11 mai 2026

JUGEMENT

(Sur la demande de remise de l'audition et sur la demande en rejet du rapport d'expertise de la Dre Stephanie Plancich, Ph. D.)

[1] Les parties se présentent ce jour devant le Tribunal pour débattre de trois (3) demandes présentées par la débitrice et ses assureurs, à savoir l'*Application for the Issuance of a Asbestos Claims Review and Abjudication Order* (« **Asbestos Claims Review** »), l'*Application for the Issuance of a Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Abjudication Order* (« **Non-Asbestos Claims Review** ») et *l'Application for a Order Extending the Stay Period* (« **Stay Period Order** »). Il est d'ores et déjà acquis que deux de ces trois demandes ne devraient pas faire l'objet d'une contestation, soit la Non-Asbestos Claims Review et la Stay Period Order. Par contre, l'Asbestos Claims Review fait l'objet d'une demande de remise des avocats québécois (Davies), qui représentent eux-mêmes trois bureaux d'avocats agissant pour le compte de 248 réclamants potentiels des États-Unis parmi plus de 108 000 réclamants potentiels (33 % des réclamants potentiels.

[2] Pour justifier leur demande, les Créanciers opposants expliquent qu'ils souhaitent retenir les services d'un expert pour contester l'expertise de la Dre Plancich. Comme cette expertise leur a été signifiée quelques jours avant la présente audition, ils demandent que celle-ci soit reportée à une date ultérieure.

[3] Les Créanciers opposants ont aussi déposé une demande en rejet de l'expertise de Dre Plancich, jugeant celle-ci irrégulière. En effet, ils estiment que cette experte ne peut se prononcer sur la valeur des réclamations, parce que son domaine d'expertise ne lui permettait pas de le faire.

[4] À ce stade-ci du déroulement d'une audience, le Tribunal n'entend pas faire droit à l'une ou l'autre de ces demandes qu'il juge prématurées.

D'abord, en ce qui a trait à la demande de remise de l'audition, le Tribunal rappelle que deux des trois demandes présentées ce jour ne sont pas contestées. Il est par ailleurs impératif qu'il entende le contrôleur venir expliquer ce qui a été fait depuis le mois de décembre 2025.

[5] Quant au report de l'audition portant l'Asbestos Claims Review, le Tribunal entendra d'abord la preuve présentée au soutien de celle-ci, dont le témoignage de l'experte Plancich. Au terme de cet exercice, il permettra aux Créanciers opposants qui sont au nombre de 0,3 % de la totalité des réclamants potentiels au nombre de 108,000, faut-il le rappeler, de présenter une demande de suspension de l'audition pour présenter, le cas échéant, un contre-expert. En procédant ainsi, le Tribunal tient compte des principes de célérité qui doivent s'appliquer ici, dans le contexte d'une procédure entreprise en vertu de la *Loi sur les arrangements avec les créanciers des compagnies,* mais aussi du droit des Créanciers opposants de faire valoir leur position et d'expliquer en quoi l'expertise qu'ils souhaitent présenter sera utile et pertinente, pourvu que cela ne préjudicie pas à la grande majorité des réclamants potentiels, soit 99,7 % de ceux-ci. De cette façon, les Réclamants opposants ne pourront se plaindre d'une violation de leur droit à se faire entendre.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 14 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

11 mai 2026

[6] Quant à la demande de rejet du rapport de la Dre Plancich, elle sera simplement rejetée et cela pour les motifs du juge soussigné dans le jugement *Raymond Chabot Grant Thornton* c. *Directeur général des élections du Québec*[1].

[7] Plus particulièrement, le Tribunal se réfère à ces passages :

> [16] (…) L'article 241 *C.p.c.* a déjà donné lieu à une abondante jurisprudence depuis l'entrée en vigueur du nouveau *Code de procédure civile*. L'étude de cette jurisprudence laisse voir qu'à plusieurs reprises, les tribunaux ont manifesté un certain inconfort à décider du rejet d'un rapport d'expertise au stade préliminaire, hormis les situations évidentes d'irrégularité, d'erreur grave ou de partialité.
>
> [17] Dans bien des cas, les juges ont préféré user de prudence en déférant la demande en rejet au juge du procès.
>
> [18] Cela s'est révélé particulièrement vrai lorsque les causes d'irrégularité, d'erreur grave ou de partialité étaient moins apparentes.
>
> [19] Cette réserve s'est souvent expliquée par le fait que les motifs de rejet invoqués touchaient à des questions de valeur probante.
>
> [21] En somme, on peut affirmer sans trop se tromper que généralement les juges n'accueillent les demandes en rejet de rapport d'expertise pour cause d'irrégularité avant l'instruction que dans les cas les plus évidents. Dans les autres situations, les juges n'hésitent pas à déferrer la demande en rejet présentée avant instruction au juge du procès, sachant que celui-ci demeure souverain lorsqu'il est question d'en apprécier la valeur probante.
>
> [26] Si les articles 241 et 294 imposent le devoir de demander le rejet d'un rapport d'expertise pour cause d'irrégularité avant l'instruction, l'on ne saurait conclure que la partie qui ne s'est pas prévalue de ce droit dans les délais prévus soit privée d'invoquer les causes de rejet au procès pour contester la valeur probante du rapport sans demander son exclusion. Cela est d'autant plus vrai que le rapport d'expertise peut désormais tenir lieu du témoignage de l'expert. Une expertise entachée d'une irrégularité, d'une erreur grave ou de partialité ne serait avoir, à toutes les étapes du déroulement des procédures, que cela soit avant ou pendant l'instruction, une grande valeur probante. Ainsi, une partie sera toujours recevable à invoquer ces lacunes pour remettre en question la valeur probante d'un rapport d'expertise.

POUR CES MOTIFS, LE TRIBUNAL :

[8] **REPORTE** la demande des Créanciers opposants de présenter une contre-expertise à la fin de la présentation de la preuve de la débitrice et de ses assureurs;

[9] **REJETTE** la demande en rejet du rapport de la Dre Stephanie Plancich.

JEAN-FRANÇOIS ÉMOND, j.c.s.

[1] 2018 QCCS 5697.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-28 9:34 AM
Verification Code:
3F=RS+p4vAV7UCp1FN

Page 15 of 15
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

EXHIBIT E

May 12, 2026 Hearing Minutes

ASSOCIATION OF TRANSLATORS AND INTERPRETERS OF ONTARIO
ATIO
ASSOCIATION DES TRADUCTEURS ET INTERPRÈTES DE L'ONTARIO

Translator's Declaration

I, the undersigned, Denys Mel, Certified Translator from French to English, member in good standing of the Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario, which is a member society of the Canadian Translators, Terminologists, Interpreters Council (CTTIC), hereby declare that I did translate into English the attached French document(s) and that it is, to the best of my knowledge, a true and accurate translation of the French source document(s).

Disclaimer: This statement is to certify the accuracy of the translation only. I do not make any claims or guarantees about the authenticity or content of the original document. Furthermore, I do not assume any liability for the way in which the translation is used by the customer or any third party, including end users of the translation.

List of translated document(s):
2026-05-12 - Procès-verbal(151143718.1)

May 30, 2026

This translation has been digitally signed. To verify the authenticity of this document, visit https://verify.atio.on.ca OR scan the QR code.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 1 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 12, 2026

RECORDING

START: **09 : 02**
END: **18 : 08**

COURT: **Superior** DIVISION: **Commercial** STEP: **Procedural** COURTROOM: **3.42**

BEFORE **THE HONOURABLE JEAN-FRANÇOIS ÉMOND, j.c.s. (JE 0144)**

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT AND COMPROMISE OF:

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED	DEBTOR/ CO-APPLICANT
CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON **TENECOM LIMITED** **THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED** **NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED** **THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LTD.**	CO-APPLICANTS
CHARLES FORMAN, as trustee for THE DELAWARE BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF NATIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC. *ET ALS.*	ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS
RAYMOND CHABOT INC.	MONITOR

CLMI/CO-APPLICANTS ☒ Appearances	**Me Guy Martel** **Me Pierre-Paul Daunais** **Me Nathalie Nouvet** **Me Melis Celikaksoy** *Stikeman Elliott s.e.n.c.r.l., s.r.l.*
DEBTOR/CO-APPLICANTS ☒ Appearances	**Me Luc Béliveau** **Me Marc-André Morin** **Me Jocelyn Perreault** **Me Éliane Dupéré-Tremblay** *Fasken Martineau DuMoulin SENCRL, s.r.l.*
MONITOR ☒ Appearances	**Me Alain Tardif** **Me Jocelyn Perreault** **Me Frédérique Drainville** **Me Maud St-George** *McCarthy Tétrault s.e.n.c.r.l., s.r.l.*
ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS (Charles Forman, trustee for Delaware Bankruptcy Estate of National Service Industry, Dean Omar Branham Shirley LLP, Brayton Purcell LLP, Zamler, Shiffman & Karfis, P.C.) ☒ Appearances	**Me Christian Lachance** **Me Marc-André Boutin** **Me William Rodier-Dumais** **Me Emmanuelle Lanctôt** *Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg s.e.n.c.r.l, s.r.l*

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 2 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

C A N A D A
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 12, 2026

IMPLEADED PARTY (Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's) ☒ Appearances	**Me Roger P. Simard** *Dentons Canada LLP*
IMPLEADED PARTY (General Dynamics Corporation) ☒ Appearances	**Me Guy Sébastien** **Me Youssef Kabbaj** *Blakes, Cassels & Graydon s.e.n.c.r.l.*
CO-APPLICANTS (Accredited Insurance (Europe) Limited, Insurance Company *et al.)* ☒ Appearances	**Me Noah Zucker** *Norton Rose Fulbright Canada S.E.N.C.R.L.,s.r.l.*
CAUSE OF ACTION:	▪ **Motion for continuance** ▪ **Motion to dismiss the expert report by Dr. Stephanie Plancich, Ph.D.** ▪ **Application for the issuance of (i) Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, (ii) Non Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, and (iii) Order Extending the Stay Period**
COURT CLERK:	Marie-Josée Côté (TC 12874)

IN COURTROOM

Day 2

09 :02 Call of the case and appearances of the attorneys.

Administrative matters

Statements by Me Alain Tardif regarding the question posed by the Court to expert Dr. Stephanie Plancich concerning the number of judgments involving ACL. The Court asks Me Lachance to confirm their position by the end of the hearing.

Exhibit R-15: Judgments extracted from the ACL database.

Exhibit admitted
09 :08

09 :09 Statements by Me Jocelyn Perreault regarding clarifications in Dr. Stephanie Plancich's report.

09 :14 Me Christian Lachance asks for clarification on certain figures in the report. Exchange between the Court and the attorneys.

09 :17 Me Alain Tardif requests permission to call certain U.S. witnesses via videoconference.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 3 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

C A N A D A
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

May 12, 2026

	The Court asks the opposing claimant to cross-check the information provided by the Monitor regarding the amounts of the judgments rendered against ACL between 1978 and 2011.
	CONTINUATION OF THE PROOF FROM THE MONITOR
09 :18	**Witness:** **Mr Jean Gagnon, Monitor** 600, rue de la Gauchetière Ouest Montréal (Québec)
	The witness is duly sworn.
09 :18	**Examined by** Me Alain Tardif.
09 :22	The Monitor's Report No. 5 has been referred to the Court.
09 :25	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
09 :35	In response to a question from the Court, an exchange took place between Me Pierre-Paul Daunais, Me Luc Béliveau, Me Alain Tardif, Me Marc-André Boutin, and the Court.
09 :45	Appendix I of the report is submitted to the Court.
Exhibits admitted	**Exhibit R-16:** Exchange between the attorneys and the Monitor.
	Exhibit R-17: Exchange between the attorneys and the Monitor.
Objection 09 :49	**Objection** by Me Marc-André Boutin re: preferential exchange.
	The objection is sustained, subject to further consideration.
09 :55	Statements by Me Marc-André Boutin.
10 :19	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
10 :25	The Court asks Me Alain Tardif for clarification.
10 :31	The Court asks Me Alain Tardif for clarification.
10 :40	Statements by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais.
Preemptive objections 10 :45	**Objections** by Me Marc-André Boutin re: hearsay and the best evidence rule.
	The objection is **DISMISSED** by the Court.
10 :49	Clarification by Me Frédérique Drainville regarding the average values (Exhibit 3).
10 :52	***Adjournment of the hearing***

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 4 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

C A N A D A
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 12, 2026

11 :13	***Hearing resumes***
11 :14	**Administrative matters.**
	Me Alain Tardif informs the Court that Dr. Stephanie Plancich will be available for the hearing on May 15 in the courtroom.
Exhibits admitted 11 :16	**Exhibit R-18:** Order of Judge Martin Glenn, Southern District of New York, dated May 11, 2026.
	Exhibit R-19: Response from Judge Jean H. Toal, South Carolina Court, via email dated May 11, 2026.
11 :18	Clarifications provided by Me Nathalie Nouvet.
11 :20	**Cross-examination continued** by Me Alain Tardif.
11 :44	**Cross-examined** by Me Christian Lachance.
11 :45	The witness leaves the courtroom for a discussion between the attorneys and the Court.
11 :47	The witness returns to the courtroom and the cross-examination continues.
11 :53	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
11 :56	Statements by Me Maud St-George and discussions between the Court and the attorneys regarding the names of medical specialists who could be called upon.
12 :02	Discussion between the attorneys and the Court regarding an exhibit presented for production by opponents of Asbestos in the United States.
Exhibit admitted 12 :04	**Exhibit AC-4:** Letter from Me Graham dated May 12, 2026.
12 :05	Additional statements by Me Christian Lachance.
12 :06	***Adjournment of the hearing***
12 :14	***Hearing resumes***
12 :14	The Court asks the witness for clarification regarding the letter he received today at 9:02 a.m.
12 :15	Statements by Me Jocelyn Perreault.
12 :20	In response to a question from the Court, clarification provided by Me Alain Tardif.
12 :20	The Court states that it is concerned about potential claimants, as the silent majority accounts for 99.7% of potential claimants.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 5 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

C A N A D A
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

May 12, 2026

12 :21	**The witness is released by the Court.**
	Administrative matters.
	SUSPENSION OF THE PROOF FROM THE MONITOR
	PROOF FROM OPPONENTS OF ASBESTOS
12 :23	**ADJOURNMENT OF THE HEARING**
14 :00	**HEARING RESUMES**
	Administrative matters.
14 :03	Me Alain Tardif informs the Court that another letter was received today. Exchange between the Court and Me Christian Lachance. Me Pierre-Paul Daunais asks the Court
14 :09	**Witness:** **Ms Melanie Cyganowski** 230, Park Avenue New-York, New-York **The witness is duly sworn.**
14 :09	**Examined** by Me Christian Lachance.
14 :18	Regarding the expert's qualifications, statements by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais.
General objection 14 :24	**Objection** by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re: expert status. The Court will hear the witness and then determine whether or not she qualifies as an expert.
14 :25	The report by the Honourable Melanie Cyganowski is referred to the Court.
14 :27	***Adjournment of the hearing***
15 :08	***Hearing resumes*** Me Alain Tardif confirms that the report by the Honorable Melanie Cyganowski is not being contested and suggests that it may not be necessary to hear from the witness.
15 :12	The Court addresses the witness regarding her report and confirms that it will likely have a few questions.
15 :15	Me Pierre-Paul Daunais notes that this report is under objection.
15 :18	Statements by Me Alain Tardif.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 6 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

C A N A D A
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

May 12, 2026

15 :19	**Continuation of examination** by Me Christian Lachance.
15 :21	The Tribunal asks the witness for clarification.
15 :26	Statements by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais and exchange between the Court and Me Daunais.
General objection 15 :33	**Objection** by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re: relevance.
15 :36	The Court asks Me Christian Lachance to clarify his questions.
15 :38	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
General objection 15 :40	**Objection** by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re: relevance.
15 :42	Statements by Me Alain Tardif and Me Luc Béliveau.
General objection 15 :45	**Objection** by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re: relevance.
15 :46	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
15 :50	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
General objection 15 :56	**Objection** by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re: relevance.
Objection 15 :56	**Objection** by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re: leading question.
	The objection is sustained, subject to further consideration.
16 :07	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
16 :09	**Exhibit D-2** is shown to the witness.
Objection 15 :56	**Objection** by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re: leading question.
	The objection is **SUSTAINED** by the Court.
16 :12	***Adjournment of the hearing***
16 :24	***Hearing resumes***
16 :24	**Cross-examined** by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 7 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

C A N A D A
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 12, 2026

Objection 16 :56	**Objection** by M^e^ Christian Lachance re: relevance.
	The question is reformulated by M^e^ Pierre-Paul Daunais.
16 :31	The witness report is shown to him.
Exhibit admitted 16 :38	**Exhibit R-20**: Press release from the Justice Department of the United States.
Objection 16 :46	**Objection** by M^e^ Christian Lachance re: relevance.
	The objection is **DISMISSED** by the Court.
Exhibit admitted 16 :47	**Exhibit R-21**: Press release from the Justice Department of the United States concerning the Kaser Gipson Bankruptcy Case.
Exhibit admitted 16 :50	**Exhibit R-22**: Press release from the Justice Department of the United States dated September 13, 2018.
16:54	The witness's report is shown again.
17 :27	The Court asks a question regarding Exhibits R-21 and R-22.
17 :39	Clarification by M^e^ Nathalie Nouvet.
17 :41	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
17 :45	**Cross-examined** by M^e^ Alain Tardif.
17 :48	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
17 :54	The "Claimants' Reclamation" submitted at the time is shown to the witness.
Objection 17 :55	**Objection** by M^e^ Christian Lachance re: relevance. The objection is **DISMISSED** by the Court.
18:00	**The witness is released by the Court.** *THE OPPOSING PARTIES' CASE IS CLOSED* *(subject to the motion for a stay)*
	Administrative matters regarding the order of motions for the hearing on May 15. M^e^ Alain Tardif informs the Court that a second letter was received today.
18 :04	**Witness**: **Mr Jean Gagnon**

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 8 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

C A N A D A
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 12, 2026

	The witness is under the same oath.
18 :05	The Court asks the witness for clarification.
	The witness is released by the Court.
18 :08	The hearing is adjourned until **May 15, 2026**, in **Courtroom 3.39**, beginning at 9:00 a.m.

[Signature]

Marie-Josée Côté, Court Clerk

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 9 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

12 mai 2026

ENREGISTREMENT

DÉBUT : **09 :02**
FIN : **18 :08**

COUR : **Supérieure** CHAMBRE : **Commerciale** ÉTAPE : **Pratique** SALLE : **3.42**

PRÉSIDÉ PAR : **L'HONORABLE JEAN-FRANÇOIS ÉMOND, j.c.s. (JE 0144)**

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT AND COMPROMISE OF:

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED	DEBTOR/ CO-APPLICANT
CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON **TENECOM LIMITED** **THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED** **NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED** **THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LTD.**	CO-APPLICANTS
CHARLES FORMAN, as trustee for THE DELAWARE BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF NATIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC. *ET ALS.*	ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS
RAYMOND CHABOT INC.	MONITOR

CLMI/CO-APPLICANTS ☒ Présents	**Me Guy Martel** **Me Pierre-Paul Daunais** **Me Nathalie Nouvet** **Me Melis Celikaksoy** *Stikeman Elliott s.e.n.c.r.l., s.r.l.*
DEBTOR/CO-APPLICANTS ☒ Présents	**Me Luc Béliveau** **Me Marc-André Morin** **Me Jocelyn Perreault** **Me Éliane Dupéré-Tremblay** *Fasken Martineau DuMoulin SENCRL, s.r.l.*
MONITOR ☒ Présents	**Me Alain Tardif** **Me Jocelyn Perreault** **Me Frédérique Drainville** **Me Maud St-George** *McCarthy Tétrault s.e.n.c.r.l., s.r.l.*
ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS (Charles Forman, trustee for Delaware Bankruptcy Estate of National Service Industry, Dean Omar Branham Shirley LLP, Brayton Purcell LLP, Zamler, Shiffman & Karfis, P.C.) ☒ Présents	**Me Christian Lachance** **Me Marc-André Boutin** **Me William Rodier-Dumais** **Me Emmanuelle Lanctôt** *Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg s.e.n.c.r.l, s.r.l*

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 10 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

12 mai 2026

MIS EN CAUSE (Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's) ☒ Présent	**Me Roger P. Simard** *Dentons Canada LLP*
MIS EN CAUSE (General Dynamics Corporation) ☒ Présents	**Me Guy Sébastien** **Me Youssef Kabbaj** *Blakes, Cassels & Graydon s.e.n.c.r.l.*
CO-APPLICANTS (Accredited Insurance (Europe) Limited, Insurance Company *et al.*) ☒ Présent	**Me Noah Zucker** *Norton Rose Fulbright Canada S.E.N.C.R.L.,s.r.l.*
NATURE DE LA CAUSE :	▪ **Demande de remise de l'audition** ▪ **Demande en rejet du rapport d'expertise de la Dre Stephanie Plancich, Ph. D.** ▪ **Application for the issuance of (i) Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, (ii) Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, and (iii) Order Extending the Stay Period**
GREFFIÈRE-AUDIENCIÈRE :	Marie-Josée Côté (TC 12874)

EN SALLE D'AUDIENCE

Jour 2

09 :02 Appel du dossier et identification des avocats.

Questions d'intendance

Représentations de Me Alain Tardif sur la question posée à l'experte Dre Stephanie Plancich par le Tribunal sur le nombre des jugements concernant ACL. Le Tribunal demande à Me Lachance de confirmer leur position d'ici la fin de l'audition.

Pièce produite
09 :08 **Pièce R-15** : jugements extraits de la Banque de données de ACL.

09 :09 Représentations de Me Jocelyn Perreault sur des précisions dans le rapport de Dre Stephanie Plancich.

09 :14 Me Christian Lachance demande une précision sur certains chiffres dans le rapport. Échanges entre le Tribunal et les avocats.

09 :17 Me Alain Tardif demande la permission de faire témoigner certains témoins américains via visioconférence. Ce qui, si cela

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 11 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

12 mai 2026

Le Tribunal demande réclamant opposant de contre-vérifier l'information fournie par le contrôleur en ce qui a trait aux montants des condamnation prononcés contre ACL entre les années 1978 et 2011.

SUITE DE LA PREUVE DU CONTRÔLEUR

09 :18 **Témoin :** **Monsieur Jean Gagnon, contrôleur**
600, rue de la Gauchetière Ouest
Montréal (Québec)

Le témoin est dûment assermenté.

09 :18 **Interrogé** par Me Alain Tardif.

09 :22 Le rapport No 5 du contrôleur est référé au Tribunal.

09 :25 Le Tribunal s'adresse au témoin pour une précision.

09 :35 À une question du Tribunal, échanges entre Me Pierre-Paul Daunais, Me Luc Béliveau, Me Alain Tardif, Me Marc-André Boutin et le Tribunal.

09 :45 L'Annexe I du rapport est référée au Tribunal.

Pièces produites **Pièce R-16** : échanges entre les procureurs et le contrôleur.

Pièce R-17 : échanges entre les procureurs et le contrôleur.

Objection 09 :49 **Objection** de Me Marc-André Boutin re : échanges privilégiés.

L'objection est prise sous réserve.

09 :55 Intervention de Me Marc-André Boutin.

10 :19 Le Tribunal s'adresse au témoin pour des précisions.

10 :25 Le Tribunal s'adresse à Me Alain Tardif pour une précision.

10 :31 Le Tribunal s'adresse à Me Alain Tardif pour une précision.

10 :40 Intervention de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais.

Objections préventives 10 :45 **Objections** de Me Marc-André Boutin re : ouïe dire et règle de la meilleure preuve.

L'objection est **REJETÉE** par la Cour.

10 :49 Précisons de Me Frédérique Drainville sur les valeurs moyennes (Exhibit 3).

10 :52 ***Suspension de l'audience***

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 12 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

12 mai 2026

11 :13	***Reprise de l'audience***
11 :14	**Intendance.** M^e^ Alain Tardif informe le Tribunal que la Dre Stephanie Plancich sera disponible pour l'audition du 15 mai prochain, en salle d'audience.
Pièces produites 11 :16	**Pièce R-18** : ordonnance du juge Martin Glenn, Southern District of New York, du 11 mai 2026. **Pièce R-19** : réponse de la juge Jean H. Toal, South Carolina Court, par courriel du 11 mai 2026.
11 :18	Précisions de M^e^ Nathalie Nouvet.
11 :20	**Suite de l'interrogatoire** par M^e^ Alain Tardif.
11 :44	**Contre-interrogé** par M^e^ Christian Lachance.
11 :45	Pour une discussion entre avocats et le Tribunal, le témoin quitte la salle d'audience.
11 :47	Le témoin revient dans la salle d'audience et le contre-interrogatoire se poursuit.
11 :53	Le Tribunal s'adresse au témoin pour des précisions.
11 :56	Intervention M^e^ Maud St-George et discussions entre le Tribunal et les avocats sur le nom des médecins spécialistes qui pourraient être retenus.
12 :02	Discussion entre les avocats et le Tribunal sur une pièce qui est présentée pour production par les opposants d'Asbestos aux États-Unis.
Pièce produite 12 :04	**Pièce AC-4** : lettre de Me Graham du 12 mai 2026.
12 :05	Représentations complémentaires de M^e^ Christian Lachance.
12 :06	***Suspension de l'audience***
12 :14	***Reprise de l'audience***
12 :14	Le Tribunal s'adresse au témoin pour des précisions concernant la lettre qu'il a reçue ce jour à 9 h 02.
12 :15	Représentations de M^e^ Jocelyn Perreault.
12 :20	À une question du Tribunal, précisions de M^e^ Alain Tardif.
12 :20	Le Tribunal précise se préoccuper des réclamants potentiels, la majorité silencieuse étant 99,7 % des réclamants potentiels.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 13 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

12 mai 2026

12 :21	**Le témoin est libéré par la Cour.**
	Intendance.
	PREUVE DU CONTRÔLEUR EST SUSPENDUE
	PREUVE DES OPPOSANTS D'ASBESTOS
12 :23	**SUSPENSION DE L'AUDIENCE**
14 :00	**REPRISE DE L'AUDIENCE**
	Intendance.
14 :03	Me Alain Tardif informe le Tribunal qu'une autre lettre a été reçue ce jour. Échanges entre le Tribunal et Me Christian Lachance. Me Pierre-Paul Daunais demande au Tribunal
14 :09	**Témoin :** **Madame Melanie Cyganowski** 230, Park Avenue New-York, New-York **La témoin est dûment assermentée.**
14 :09	**Interrogée** par Me Christian Lachance.
14 :18	Sur la qualification d'expert, représentations de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais.
Objection générale 14 :24	**Objection** de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re : qualité d'expert. Le Tribunal va entendre la témoin et, par la suite, il déterminera sa qualité d'expert ou non.
14 :25	Le rapport de l'honorable Melanie Cyganowski est référé au Tribunal.
14 :27	***Suspension de l'audience***
15 :08	***Reprise de l'audience*** Me Alain Tardif confirme que le rapport de l'hon. Melanie Cyganowski n'est pas contesté et il propose que d'entendre la témoin n'est peut-être pas nécessaire.
15 :12	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin sur son rapport et il confirme qu'il aura probablement quelques questions.
15 :15	Me Pierre-Paul Daunais rappelle que ce rapport est sous une objection.
15 :18	Représentations de Me Alain Tardif.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 14 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

12 mai 2026

15 :19	**Suite de l'interrogatoire** par Me Christian Lachance
15 :21	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour une précision.
15 :26	Intervention de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais et échange entre le Tribunal et celui-ci.
Objection générale 15 :33	**Objection** de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re : pertinence.
15 :36	Le Tribunal demande à Me Christian Lachance de préciser ses questions.
15 :38	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour une précision.
Objection générale 15 :40	**Objection** de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re : pertinence.
15 :42	Représentations de Me Alain Tardif et de Me Luc Béliveau.
Objection générale 15 :45	**Objection** de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re : pertinence.
15 :46	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour des précisions.
15 :50	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour des précisions.
Objection générale 15 :56	**Objection** de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re : pertinence.
Objection 15 :56	**Objection** de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re : question suggestive.
	L'objection est prise sous réserve..
16 :07	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour des précisions.
16 :09	La **pièce D-2** est exhibée à la témoin.
Objection 15 :56	**Objection** de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais re : question suggestive.
	L'objection est **MAINTENUE** par la Cour.
16 :12	***Suspension de l'audience***
16 :24	***Reprise de l'audience***
16 :24	**Contre-interrogée** par Me Pierre-Paul Daunais.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 15 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

12 mai 2026

Objection 16 :56	**Objection** de Me Christian Lachance re : pertinence.
	La question est reformulée par Me Pierre-Paul Daunais.
16 :31	Le rapport de la témoin lui est exhibé.
Pièce produite 16 :38	**Pièce R-20**: Press release from the Justice Department of the United States.
Objection 16 :46	**Objection** de Me Christian Lachance re : pertinence.
	L'objection **REJETÉE** par la Cour.
Pièce produite 16 :47	**Pièce R-21**: Press release from the Justice Department of the United States concerning the Kaser Gipson Bankruptcy Case.
Pièce produite 16 :50	**Pièce R-22**: Press release from the Justice Department of the United States dated September 13, 2018.
16:54	Le rapport de la témoin est exhibé à nouveau.
17 :27	Le Tribunal adresse une question sur les pièces R-21 et R-22.
17 :39	Précision de Me Nathalie Nouvet.
17 :41	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour des précisions.
17 :45	**Contre-interrogée** par Me Alain Tardif.
17 :48	Le Tribunal s'adresse à la témoin pour des précisions.
17 :54	La « Claimants' Reclamation » soumise à l'époque est exhibée à la témoin.
Objection 17 :55	**Objection** de Me Christian Lachance re : pertinence.
	L'objection est **REJETÉE** par la Cour.
18:00	**La témoin est libérée par la Cour.**
	PREUVE DES OPPOSANTS CLOSE *(sous réserve de la demande de suspension)*
	Intendance sur l'ordre des demandes pour l'audition du 15 mai prochain.
	Me Alain Tardif informe le Tribunal qu'une deuxième lettre a été reçue ce jour.
18 :04	**Témoin :** **Monsieur Jean Gagnon**

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 16 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

12 mai 2026

Le témoin est sous le même serment.

18 :05 Le Tribunal s'adresse au témoin pour des précisions.

Le témoin est libéré par la Cour.

18 :08 Ajournement de l'audience au **15 mai 2026**, **en salle 3.39** à compter de 9 h.

Marie-Josée Côté

Marie-Josée Côté, greffière-audiencière

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:02 AM
Verification Code:
sDLYjuvm+ZrCvhqrki

Page 17 of 17
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

EXHIBIT F

May 15, 2026 Hearing Minutes

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUEBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 15, 2026

RECORDING

START: 09:05
END: 12:30

COURT: Superior | DIVISION: Commercial | STAGE: Procedural | COURTROOM: 3.39

PRESIDING: THE HONOURABLE JEAN-FRANÇOIS ÉMOND, S.C.J. (JE 0144)

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT AND COMPROMISE OF:

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED	DEBTOR/CO-APPLICANT
CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON TENECOM LIMITED THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LTD.	CO-APPLICANTS
CHARLES FORMAN, as trustee for THE DELAWARE BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF NATIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC. *ET ALS.*	ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS
RAYMOND CHABOT INC.	MONITOR

CLMI/CO-APPLICANTS	
☒Present	Guy Martel Pierre-Paul Daunais Nathalie Nouvet Melis Celikaksoy *Stikeman Elliott LLP*
DEBTOR/CO-APPLICANTS	
☒ Present	Luc Béliveau Marc-André Morin Jocelyn Perreault Éliane Dupéré-Tremblay *Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP*
MONITOR	
☒ Present	Alain Tardif Jocelyn Perreault Frédérique Drainville Maud St-George *McCarthy Tétrault LLP*
ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS (Charles Forman, trustee for Delaware Bankruptcy Estate of National Service Industry, Dean Omar Branham Shirley LLP, Brayton Purcell LLP, Zamler, Shiffman & Karfis, P.C.)	Christian Lachance Marc-André Boutin William Rodier-Dumais Emmanuelle Lanctôt
☒ Present	*Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg LLP*

[I certify the above to be an accurate translation of the original French. Translated by Charles LeMoyne, C. Tr., member (no. 33339) of the Ordre des traducteurs, terminologues et interprètes agréés du Québec (OTTIAQ), 2026-06-09]

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUEBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 15, 2026

MIS EN CAUSE (Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's) ☒ Present	**Roger P. Simard** *Dentons Canada LLP*
MIS EN CAUSE (General Dynamics Corporation) ☒ Present	**Guy Sébastien** **Youssef Kabbaj** *Blakes,* Cassels & *Graydon LLP*
CO-APPLICANTS (Accredited Insurance (Europe) Limited, Insurance Company et *al.)* ☒ Present	**Guillaume Roux-Spitz** *Norton Rose Fulbright Canada LLP*
NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING:	▪ **Request for hearing postponement** ▪ **Motion to dismiss the expert report by Dr. Stephanie Plancich, PhD** ▪ **Application for the issuance of (i) Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, (ii) Non-Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order, and (iii) Order Extending the Stay Period**
COURT CLERK:	Marie-Josée Côté (TC 12874)

HYBRID HEARING

Day 3

09:05 Call of the case and identification of counsel.

Housekeeping

09:07 The Court addresses Mr. Daunais for clarification.

Exhibit filed
09:08 Exhibit **R-15** is filed in the Court record under seal.

CONTINUATION OF MONITOR'S EVIDENCE

09:14 **Witness:** **Dr. Stephanie Plancich**
1166 Avenue of Americas
New York, New York

The witness is duly sworn.

09:14 **Examined** by Alain Tardif.

[I certify the above to be an accurate translation of the original French. Translated by Charles LeMoyne, C. Tr., member (no. 33339) of the Ordre des traducteurs, terminologues et interprètes agréés du Québec (OTTIAQ), 2026-06-09]

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUEBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 15, 2026

09:18 The Court addresses Alain Tardif for clarification regarding the number in the table provided.

No questions from Christian Lachance.

09:21 **The witness is released by the Court.**

GENERAL CLOSURE OF EVIDENCE
(subject to motion for stay)

09:22 ***Housekeeping for the continuation of proceedings.***

Discussions between the Court and Counsel.

10:22 ***Recess***

10:45 ***Hearing resumes.***

10:45 Following the Court's comments, statements by Christian Lachance and Nathalie Nouvet regarding the remaining matters to be discussed.

Discussions between the Court and Counsel.

10:57 After the parties had presented their evidence, the Court invited them to review Exhibit D-2 (side-by-side version) of the proposed order *(Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order)* in order to assess, together with the parties, the remaining discrepancy regarding the amendments sought by the opposing claimants.

During this process, the Court informed the parties not of its decision regarding the opposing claimants' arguments, but rather of its initial impressions. At the end of this process, it became apparent that several issues could be resolved.

For example, counsel for the opposing claimants acknowledged that, provided the Court agreed that a vote would have to be held or that the Court's approval would be required at the end of the process, the direct claims against the insurers could be withdrawn. In another example, it was suggested that Appendix B of the proposed order could be discussed with an expert, and this discussion could take place, if necessary, during the claims adjudication, on appeal, or even during the approval of the plan to challenge the admissibility of the claims. This issue will also have to be discussed between counsel for the opposing claimants and their clients.

In short, the Court insisted that the parties allow themselves several days to review the proposed order so as to eliminate as many points of contention as possible and to narrow the scope of any remaining objections. The Court informed Counsel that these issues could be resolved on Friday, May 22.

[I certify the above to be an accurate translation of the original French. Translated by Charles LeMoyne, C. Tr., member (no. 33339) of the Ordre des traducteurs, terminologues et interprètes agréés du Québec (OTTIAQ), 2026-06-09]

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUEBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 15, 2026

After some consideration, Counsel indicated that they agree with this approach. The remaining issue is the confidentiality requirement imposed by the monitor to allow access to internal documents.

CLOSING ARGUMENTS

11:05 **Argument** by Marc-André Boutin.

For the opposing parties, a Plan of Argument and Book of Authorities are filed in the Court record.

11:16 In response to a question from the Court, Pierre-Paul Daunais provides clarification.

11:30 In response to a question from the Court, Jocelyn Perreault provides clarification.

11:44 Alain Tardif provides clarification.

11:50 Comment by Pierre-Paul Daunais.

11:55 Certain issues are discussed between Counsel and the Court.

11:59 **Argument** by Jocelyn Perreault.

12:08 Case law is filed in the Court record.

12:16 In response to a question from the Court, Alain Tardif provides clarification.

12:20 **Argument** by Marc-André Morin.

12:24 **Reply** by Marc-André Boutin.

The case is taken under advisement.

12:28 Hearing adjourned to **May 22, 2026, in Courtroom 3.39,** starting at 9:00 a.m.

[SIGNATURE]
Marie-Josée Côté, Court Clerk

[I certify the above to be an accurate translation of the original French. Translated by Charles LeMoyne, C. Tr., member (no. 33339) of the Ordre des traducteurs, terminologues et interprètes agréés du Québec (OTTIAQ), 2026-06-09]

EXHIBIT G

May 22, 2026 Hearing Minutes

ASSOCIATION OF TRANSLATORS AND INTERPRETERS OF ONTARIO
ATIO
ASSOCIATION DES TRADUCTEURS ET INTERPRÈTES DE L'ONTARIO

Translator's Declaration

I, the undersigned, Denys Mel, Certified Translator from French to English, member in good standing of the Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario, which is a member society of the Canadian Translators, Terminologists, Interpreters Council (CTTIC), hereby declare that I did translate into English the attached French document(s) and that it is, to the best of my knowledge, a true and accurate translation of the French source document(s).

Disclaimer: This statement is to certify the accuracy of the translation only. I do not make any claims or guarantees about the authenticity or content of the original document. Furthermore, I do not assume any liability for the way in which the translation is used by the customer or any third party, including end users of the translation.

List of translated document(s):
2026-05-22 - Procès-verbal(151183266.1)

May 30, 2026

This translation has been digitally signed. To verify the authenticity of this document, visit https://verify.atio.on.ca OR scan the QR code.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:05 AM
Verification Code:
Cw6XmEHd/05w6o1bSw

Page 1 of 9
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

C A N A D A
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

May 22, 2026

RECORDING

START: **09 : 50**
END: **11 : 48**

COURT: **Superior** DIVISION: **Commercial** **STEP:** Procedural COURTROOM: **3.39**

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE **JEAN-FRANÇOIS ÉMOND, j.c.s. (JE 0144)**

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT AND COMPROMISE OF:

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED	DEBTOR/ CO-APPLICANT
CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON **TENECOM LIMITED** **THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED** **NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED** **THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LTD.**	CO-APPLICANTS
CHARLES FORMAN, as trustee for THE DELAWARE BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF NATIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC. *ET ALS.*	ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS
RAYMOND CHABOT INC.	MONITOR

CLMI/CO-APPLICANTS ☒ Appearances	**Me Pierre-Paul Daunais** **Me Nathalie Nouvet** **Me Melis Celikaksoy** *Stikeman Elliott s.e.n.c.r.l., s.r.l.*
DEBTOR/CO-APPLICANTS ☒ Appearances	**Me Luc Béliveau** **Me Marc-André Morin** **Me Jocelyn Perreault** *Fasken Martineau DuMoulin SENCRL, s.r.l.*
MONITOR ☒ Appearances	**Me Alain Tardif** **Me Jocelyn Perreault** **Me Frédérique Drainville** *McCarthy Tétrault s.e.n.c.r.l., s.r.l.*
ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS (Charles Forman, trustee for Delaware Bankruptcy Estate of National Service Industry, Dean Omar Branham Shirley LLP, Brayton Purcell LLP, Zamler, Shiffman & Karfis, P.C.) ☒ Appearances	**Me Christian Lachance** **Me Marc-André Boutin** **Me William Rodier-Dumais** *Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg s.e.n.c.r.l, s.r.l*
IMPLEADED PARTY (Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's) ☒ Absent	**Me Roger P. Simard** *Dentons Canada LLP*

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:05 AM
Verification Code:
Cw6XmEHd/05w6o1bSw

Page 2 of 9
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

C A N A D A
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

May 22, 2026

IMPLEADED PARTY (General Dynamics Corporation) ☒ Appearances	**Me Guy Sébastien** *Blakes, Cassels & Graydon s.e.n.c.r.l.*
CO-APPLICANTS (Accredited Insurance (Europe) Limited, Insurance Company *et al.)* ☒ Absent	**Me Guillaume Roux-Spitz** *Norton Rose Fulbright Canada S.E.N.C.R.L.,s.r.l.*
CAUSE OF ACTION:	**Debate on the draft order *Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order***
COURT CLERK:	Marie-Josée Côté (TC 12874)

IN A HYBRID COURTROOM

Day 4

09 :50 Call of the case and appearances of the attorneys.

Additional counsel are also present virtually and are not identified in the Record of Proceedings because they will not be making any submissions.

Administrative matters

09 :51 Statements by Me Marc-André Morin and by Me Christian Lachance.

Exhibits admitted

Exhibit R-23: clean version of the Co-Applicants' draft order.

Exhibit R-24: comparative version of the draft order with Davies' comments.

Exhibit R-25: clean version of Davies' draft order.

09 :55 Me Pierre-Paul Daunais informs the Court that the motion to dismiss the expert opinion project is withdrawn from the Court file.

ORAL ARGUMENTS

On the comparative version of the draft order (Exhibit R-24)

09 :56 For the Co-Applicants, submissions by Me Marc-André Morin.

For the Opposing Parties, submissions by Me Christian Lachance.

10 :00 Clarification by Me Alain Tardif, on the proposed Appendix B. Discussions between the Court and the attorneys.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:05 AM
Verification Code:
Cw6XmEHd/05w6o1bSw

Page 3 of 9
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

C A N A D A
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

May 22, 2026

10 :09	Statements by Me Marc-André Morin.
10 :13	For the Monitor, statements by Me Jocelyn Perreault.
10 :15	On Schedule B, discussions between the Court and the attorneys.
10 :21	Regarding item 13(f), statements by Me Marc-André Morin and Me Christian Lachance. Discussions with the Court.
10 :29	Regarding item 14: statements by Me Marc-André Morin and Me Christian Lachance. Statements by Me Alain Tardif. Statements by Me Nathalie Nouvet. Clarification by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais. Discussions with the Court.
10 :38	Regarding paragraph 19 (struck through), statements by Me Marc-André Morin. Statements by Me Christian Lachance.
10 :40	Regarding paragraph 17: statements by Me Marc-André Morin. Statements by Me Marc-André Boutin. Statements by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais. Discussions with the Court.
10 :48	The Court asks Me Marc-André Boutin for clarification regarding a possible discussion of Dr. Stephanie Plancich's expert report. Statements by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais. Discussions between the parties and the Court.
10 :52	Additional statements by Me Alain Tardif regarding the quantum. Statements by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais. Statements by Me Marc-André Boutin. Discussions between the Court and the attorneys.
10 :57	The Court addresses the attorneys, statements by Me Luc Béliveau.
10 :58	On paragraph 21 (struck through), statements by Me Marc-André Morin. Statements by Me Christian Lachance.
11 :01	Statements by Me Alain Tardif. Statements by Me Pierre-Paul Daunais and statements by Me Christian Lachance. Reply from Me Alain Tardif.
11 :04	On paragraphs 18 and 19, statements by Me Marc-André Morin. Statements by Me Christian Lachance. Reply by Me Marc-André Morin. Clarification by Me Alain Tardif.
11 :08	On paragraph 28, discussions between the attorneys and the Court.
11 :08	On Schedule C, statements by Me Marc-André Morin. Statements by Me Christian Lachance.
11 :10	Comment from Me Sébastien Guy. It was agreed that Me Guy would send a draft of his suggestion to the Court. Discussion between the attorneys and the Court.
11 :14	***Adjournment of the hearing***

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:05 AM
Verification Code:
Cw6XmEHd/05w6o1bSw

Page 4 of 9
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

C A N A D A
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT: **Frontenac**
No.: **235-11-000008-259**

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

May 22, 2026

11 :40	***Hearing resumes***
11 :40	For the Co-Applicants, statements by Me Luc Béliveau. A copy of R-23 will be sent to the Court and to the other attorneys. A written argument and a book of authorities are filed with the Court.
11 :42	For the Opposing Parties, statements by Me Christian Lachance. A written argument and a book of authorities are filed with the Court.
11 :44	Me Marc-André Boutin informs the Court that a supplementary written argument will be sent by email to the Court's office and to the other attorneys.
11 :48	End of hearing.

[Signature]
Marie-Josée Côté, Court Clerk

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:05 AM
Verification Code:
Cw6XmEHd/05w6o1bSw

Page 5 of 9
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

22 mai 2026

ENREGISTREMENT

DÉBUT : **09 :50**
FIN : **11 :48**

COUR : **Supérieure** CHAMBRE : **Commerciale** ÉTAPE : **Pratique** SALLE : **3.39**

PRÉSIDÉ PAR : **L'HONORABLE JEAN-FRANÇOIS ÉMOND, j.c.s. (JE 0144)**

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT AND COMPROMISE OF:

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LIMITED	DEBTOR/ CO-APPLICANT
CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON **TENECOM LIMITED** **THE OCEAN MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED** **NRG VICTORY REINSURANCE LIMITED** **THE SCOTTISH LION INSURANCE COMPANY LTD.**	CO-APPLICANTS
CHARLES FORMAN, as trustee for THE DELAWARE BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF NATIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC. *ET ALS.*	ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS
RAYMOND CHABOT INC.	MONITOR

CLMI/CO-APPLICANTS ☒ Présents	**M^e^ Pierre-Paul Daunais** **M^e^ Nathalie Nouvet** **M^e^ Melis Celikaksoy** *Stikeman Elliott s.e.n.c.r.l., s.r.l.*
DEBTOR/CO-APPLICANTS ☒ Présents	**M^e^ Luc Béliveau** **M^e^ Marc-André Morin** **M^e^ Jocelyn Perreault** *Fasken Martineau DuMoulin SENCRL, s.r.l.*
MONITOR ☒ Présents	**M^e^ Alain Tardif** **M^e^ Jocelyn Perreault** **M^e^ Frédérique Drainville** *McCarthy Tétrault s.e.n.c.r.l., s.r.l.*
ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS (Charles Forman, trustee for Delaware Bankruptcy Estate of National Service Industry, Dean Omar Branham Shirley LLP, Brayton Purcell LLP, Zamler, Shiffman & Karfis, P.C.) ☒ Présents	**M^e^ Christian Lachance** **M^e^ Marc-André Boutin** **M^e^ William Rodier-Dumais** *Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg s.e.n.c.r.l, s.r.l*
MIS EN CAUSE (Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's) ☒ Absent	**M^e^ Roger P. Simard** *Dentons Canada LLP*

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:05 AM
Verification Code:
Cw6XmEHd/05w6o1bSw

Page 6 of 9
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

22 mai 2026

MIS EN CAUSE (General Dynamics Corporation) ☒ Présent	**Me Guy Sébastien** *Blakes, Cassels & Graydon s.e.n.c.r.l.*
CO-APPLICANTS (Accredited Insurance (Europe) Limited, Insurance Company *et al.*) ☒ Absent	**Me Guillaume Roux-Spitz** *Norton Rose Fulbright Canada S.E.N.C.R.L.,s.r.l.*
NATURE DE LA CAUSE :	**Débat sur le projet d'ordonnance *Asbestos Claims Review and Adjudication Order***
GREFFIÈRE-AUDIENCIÈRE :	Marie-Josée Côté (TC 12874)

EN SALLE SEMI-VIRTUELLE

Jour 4

09 :50 Appel du dossier et identification des avocats.

Des avocats sont également présents en salle virtuelle et ils ne sont pas identifiés au procès-verbal puisqu'ils ne feront pas de représentations.

Questions d'intendance

09 :51 Représentations de Me Marc-André Morin et de Me Christian Lachance.

Pièces produites

Pièce R-23: version propre du projet d'ordonnance des Co-Applicants.

Pièce R-24 : version comparée du projet d'ordonnance avec les commentaires de Davies.

Pièce R-25 : version propre du projet d'ordonnance de Davies.

09 :55 Me Pierre-Paul Daunais informe le Tribunal que la demande de rejet du projet d'expertise est retirée du dossier de la Cour.

PLAIDOIRIES

Sur la version comparée du projet d'ordonnance (pièce R-24)

09 :56 Pour les Co-Applicants, représentations de Me Marc-André Morin.

Pour les Opposants, représentations de Me Christian Lachance.

10 :00 Précision de Me Alain Tardif, sur l'Annexe B proposée. Discussions entre le Tribunal et les avocats.

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:05 AM
Verification Code:
Cw6XmEHd/05w6o1bSw

Page 7 of 9
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

22 mai 2026

10 :09	Représentations de Me Marc-André Morin.
10 :13	Pour le contrôleur, représentations de Me Jocelyn Perreault.
10 :15	Sur la Schedule B, discussions entre le Tribunal et les avocats.
10 :21	Sur le point 13 f), représentations de Me Marc-André Morin et de Me Christian Lachance. Discussions avec le Tribunal.
10 :29	Sur le point 14, représentations de Me Marc-André Morin et de Me Christian Lachance. Représentations de Me Alain Tardif. Représentations de Me Nathalie Nouvet. Précision de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais. Discussions avec le Tribunal
10 :38	Sur le paragraphe 19 (rayé), représentations de Me Marc-André Morin. Représentations de Me Christian Lachance.
10 :40	Sur le paragraphe 17, représentations de Me Marc-André Morin. Représentations de Me Marc-André Boutin. Représentations de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais. Discussions avec le Tribunal.
10 :48	Le Tribunal s'adresse à Me Marc-André Boutin pour une précision concernant un débat possible du rapport d'expert de Dre Stephanie Plancich. Représentations de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais. Discussions entre ceux-ci et le Tribunal.
10 :52	Représentations complémentaires de Me Alain Tardif sur le quantum. Représentations de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais. Représentations de Me Marc-André Boutin. Discussions entre le Tribunal et les avocats.
10 :57	Le Tribunal s'adresse aux avocats, représentations de Me Luc Béliveau.
10 :58	Sur le paragraphe 21 (rayé), représentations de Me Marc-André Morin. Représentations de Me Christian Lachance.
11 :01	Représentations de Me Alain Tardif. Représentations de Me Pierre-Paul Daunais et représentations de Me Christian Lachance. Réplique de Me Alain Tardif.
11 :04	Sur les paragraphes 18 et 19, représentations de Me Marc-André Morin. Représentations de Me Christian Lachance. Réplique de Me Marc-André Morin. Précision de Me Alain Tardif.
11 :08	Sur le paragraphe 28, discussions entre les avocats et le Tribunal.
11 :08	Sur la Schedule C, représentations de Me Marc-André Morin. Représentations de Me Christian Lachance.
11 :10	Commentaire de Me Sébastien Guy. Il est convenu que Me Guy enverra un projet de sa suggestion au Tribunal. Discussion entre les avocats et le Tribunal.
11 :14	***Suspension de l'audience***

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:05 AM
Verification Code:
Cw6XmEHd/05w6o1bSw

Page 8 of 9
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca

PROCÈS-VERBAL D'AUDIENCE

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT : **Frontenac**
N° : **235-11-000008-259**

22 mai 2026

11 :40	***Reprise de l'audience***
11 :40	Pour les Co-Applicants, représentations de M[e] Luc Béliveau. Une version R-23 sera transmise au Tribunal et aux autres avocats. Un plan d'argumentation et un cahier des autorités sont déposés au dossier de la Cour.
11 :42	Pour les opposants, représentations de M[e] Christian Lachance. Un plan d'argumentation et un cahier des autorités sont déposés au dossier de la Cour.
11 :44	M[e] Marc-André Boutin informe le Tribunal qu'un plan d'argumentation complémentaire sera communiqué par courriel au bureau du Tribunal ainsi qu'aux autres avocats.
11 :48	Fin de l'audience.

Marie-Josée Côté, greffière-audiencière

Association of Translators and Interpreters of Ontario
FR-EN
Certified Translator
atio
Denys Mel
6955

Digitally signed by
Denys Mel
Date: 2026-05-30 5:05 AM
Verification Code:
Cw6XmEHd/05w6o1bSw

Page 9 of 9
Verify this document at
verify.atio.on.ca